

FomoBSC

Smart Contract Audit Report



23 Dec 2022



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

Conclusion

| Audit Results

Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

Disclaimer

About Us



AUDITED DETAILS

Audited Project

| Project name | Token ticker | Blockchain | |
|--------------|--------------|------------|--|
| FomoBSC | FOMO | BSC | |

Addresses

| Contract address | 0xb93Dab50c96B90C63B590bcafa91Bf2FDd8403AF | |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| Contract deployer address | 0x4b1059d2cCeAB40326C6f78CA6C0486d6733bf27 | |

Project Website

https://fomobsc.io/

Codebase

https://bscscan.com/address/0xb93Dab50c96B90C63B590bcafa91Bf2FDd8403AF#contracts



SUMMARY

One-stop crypto investment, social intelligence, state-of-the-art community driven resource app with defi built tools for BNBChain. Think utility, Discover FomoBSC— Projects incubator & accelerator program with market management & cohort staking pools. Ten million supply 0 tax token, with a 3% utility accrued fee for token development. FomoBSC is developed as an improved version of the Ingress App.

Contract Summary

Documentation Quality

This project has a standard of documentation.

• Technical description provided.

Code Quality

The quality of the code in this project is up to standard.

• The official Solidity style guide is followed.

Test Scope

Project test coverage is 100% (Via Codebase).

Audit Findings Summary

Issues Found

- SWC-101 | Arithmetic operation issues discovered on lines 330, 353, 386, 389, 411, 414, 440, 442, and 492.
- SWC-103 | A floating pragma is set on lines 12, 97, 126, 153, 543, 626, 643, 663, 689, and 709, the current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"".



CONCLUSION

We have audited the FomoBSC project which has released on December 2022, to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in FomoBSC Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes that find some common issues in the code.

The security audit report produced satisfactory results with low-risk issues.

The most common issue found in writing code on contracts that do not pose a big risk, writing on contracts is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-level issues found are some arithmetic operation issues and a floating pragma is set in multiple lines.



AUDIT RESULT

| Article | Category | Description | Result | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---|----------------|--|
| Default Visibility | SWC-100 SWC-108 | Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously. | | |
| Integer Overflow and Underflow | SWC-101 | If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows. | ISSUE FOUND | |
| Outdated Compiler Version | SWC-102 | It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler. | PASS | |
| Floating Pragma | SWC-103 | Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly. | ISSUE FOUND | |
| Unchecked Call Return Value | SWC-104 | The return value of a message call should be checked. | PASS | |
| SELFDESTRUCT Instruction | SWC-106 | The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users. | PASS | |
| Check-Effect Interaction | SWC-107 | Check-Effect-Interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs ANY external call. | PASS | |
| Assert Violation | SWC-110 | Properly functioning code should never reach a failing assert statement. | PASS | |
| Deprecated Solidity Functions | SWC-111 | Deprecated built-in functions should never be used. | PASS | |
| Delegate call to Untrusted Caller | SWC-112 | Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses. | PASS | |
| DoS (Denial of Service) | SWC-113 SWC-128 | Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required. | PASS | |
| Race Conditions | SWC-114 | Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible. | PASS | |



| Authorization through tx.origin | SWC-115 | tx.origin should not be used for authorization. | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|------|
| Block values as a proxy for time | SWC-116 | Block numbers should not be used for time calculations. | |
| Signature Unique Id | SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122 | Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id. | PASS |
| Shadowing State Variable | SWC-119 | State variables should not be shadowed. | |
| Weak Sources of Randomness | SWC-120 | Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable. | |
| Incorrect Inheritance Order | SWC-125 | When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have identical functions, a developer should carefully specify inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is to inherit contracts from more /general/ to more /specific/. | PASS |



SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS

| Started | Thu Dec 22 2022 23:18:11 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time) | | |
|------------------|--|--|--|
| Finished | Fri Dec 23 2022 23:18:11 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time) | | |
| Mode | Standard | | |
| Main Source File | StandardBEP20.sol | | |

Detected Issues

| ID | Title | Severity | Status |
|---------|--------------------------------------|----------|--------------|
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-=" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |



| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
|---------|---------------------------|-----|--------------|
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED

LINE 330

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- StandardBEP20.sol

```
329 address owner = _msgSender();
330 _approve(owner, spender, allowance(owner, spender) + addedValue);
331 return true;
332 }
333
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 353

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- StandardBEP20.sol

```
352 unchecked {
353 _approve(owner, spender, currentAllowance - subtractedValue);
354 }
355
356 return true;
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 386

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- StandardBEP20.sol

```
unchecked {
    _balances[from] = fromBalance - amount;
    // Overflow not possible: the sum of all balances is capped by totalSupply, and the sum is preserved by
    // decrementing then incrementing.
    _balances[to] += amount;
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED

LINE 389

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- StandardBEP20.sol

```
388  // decrementing then incrementing.
389  _balances[to] += amount;
390  }
391
392  emit Transfer(from, to, amount);
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED

LINE 411

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- StandardBEP20.sol

```
410
411 _totalSupply += amount;
412 unchecked {
413 // Overflow not possible: balance + amount is at most totalSupply + amount, which is checked above.
414 _balances[account] += amount;
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED

LINE 414

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- StandardBEP20.sol

```
413  // Overflow not possible: balance + amount is at most totalSupply + amount, which
is checked above.
414  _balances[account] += amount;
415  }
416  emit Transfer(address(0), account, amount);
417
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 440

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- StandardBEP20.sol

```
unchecked {
440   _balances[account] = accountBalance - amount;
441   // Overflow not possible: amount <= accountBalance <= totalSupply.
442   _totalSupply -= amount;
443 }</pre>
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-=" DISCOVERED

LINE 442

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- StandardBEP20.sol

```
441  // Overflow not possible: amount <= accountBalance <= totalSupply.
442  _totalSupply -= amount;
443  }
444
445  emit Transfer(account, address(0), amount);</pre>
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 492

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- StandardBEP20.sol

```
491 unchecked {
492 _approve(owner, spender, currentAllowance - amount);
493 }
494 }
495 }
```



LINE 12

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- StandardBEP20.sol

```
11
12 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
13
14 /**
15 * @dev Interface of the ERC20 standard as defined in the EIP.
```



LINE 97

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- StandardBEP20.sol

```
96
97 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
98
99 /**
100 * @dev Interface for the optional metadata functions from the ERC20 standard.
```



LINE 126

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- StandardBEP20.sol

```
125
126 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
127
128 /**
129 * @dev Provides information about the current execution context, including the
```



LINE 153

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- StandardBEP20.sol

```
152
153 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
154
155
156
```



LINE 543

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- StandardBEP20.sol

```
542
543 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
544
545 /**
546 * @dev Contract module which provides a basic access control mechanism, where
```



LINE 626

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- StandardBEP20.sol

```
625
626 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
627
628 /**
629 * @title IBEP20
```



LINE 643

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- StandardBEP20.sol

```
642
643 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
644
645
646 /**
```



LINE 663

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- StandardBEP20.sol

```
662
663 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
664
665 /**
666 * @title ERC20Decimals
```



LINE 689

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- StandardBEP20.sol

```
688
689 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
690
691 interface IPayable {
692 function pay(string memory serviceName, bytes memory signature, address wallet)
external payable;
```



LINE 709

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- StandardBEP20.sol

```
708
709 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
710
711
712
```



DISCLAIMER

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



ABOUT US

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.