

BITTOKEN Smart Contract Audit Report



22 Nov 2020



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

Conclusion

Audit Results

Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

Disclaimer

About Us



AUDITED DETAILS

Audited Project

| Project name | Token ticker | Blockchain | |
|--------------|--------------|------------|--|
| BITTOKEN | BITT | Ethereum | |

Addresses

| Contract address 0x9f9913853f749b3fe6d6d4e16a1cc3c1656b6d51 | |
|---|--|
| Contract deployer address | 0xd4CeA40761CaB3B05Bba3A7C2CD3124C5FAEa53b |

Project Website

https://bittoken.club/

Codebase

https://etherscan.io/address/0x9f9913853f749b3fe6d6d4e16a1cc3c1656b6d51#code



SUMMARY

BITT is the native token for every crypto community! It is designed with the sole purpose in mind of rewarding group members and developing fun and unique utility for any project. BITT is a giving token that will evolve based on the needs of its holders. Members and affiliates of the BITToken club will be rewarded for engaging with BITT platforms, being active within communities while holding, staking, and spending their BITT. There is no presale for BITT because the ideals of the project are centered around bringing value to communities, not extracting capital from investors. When the vision of the BITToken project is fully realized, members of the diverse and expanding crypto space will have one token that unites them.

Contract Summary

Documentation Quality

BITTOKEN provides a very poor documentation with standard of solidity base code.

• The technical description is provided unclear and disorganized.

Code Quality

The Overall quality of the basecode is poor.

• Solidity basecode and rules are unclear and disorganized by BITTOKEN.

Test Coverage

Test coverage of the project is 100% (Through Codebase)

Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-101 | It is recommended to use vetted safe math libraries for arithmetic operations consistently on lines 1109.
- SWC-103 | Pragma statements can be allowed to float when a contract is intended on lines 9, 36, 116, 278, 422, 731, 765, 814, 856, 1042 and 1084.
- SWC-116 | It is recommended to use oracles for block values as a proxy for time on lines 1109.



CONCLUSION

We have audited the BITTOKEN project released in November 2020 to find issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in the BITTOKEN project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes that may be found in smart contracts.

The security audit report gave unsatisfactory results with the discovery of high-risk issues and several other low-risk issues.

Writing a contract that does not follow the Solidity style guide can pose a significant risk. The high risk problem we found is the arithmetic operator can overflow, and It is possible to cause an integer overflow in the arithmetic operation. Whereas Low risk Issues we found are floating pragmas set on several lines and the control flow decision is made based on the block.timestamp environment variable. Note that the values of variables like coinbase, gaslimit, block number and timestamp are predictable and can be manipulated by a malicious miner. Also keep in mind that attackers know hashes of earlier blocks. Avoid using any of those environment variables as sources of randomness and be aware that the use of these variables introduces a certain level of trust into miners.



AUDIT RESULT

| Article | Category | Description | Result | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------|--|
| Default Visibility | SWC-100 SWC-108 | Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously. | | |
| Integer Overflow and Underflow | SWC-101 | If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows. | ISSUE FOUND | |
| Outdated Compiler Version | SWC-102 | It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler. | PASS | |
| Floating Pragma | SWC-103 | Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly. | ISSUE FOUND | |
| Unchecked Call Return Value | SWC-104 | The return value of a message call should be checked. | PASS | |
| Unprotected Ether Withdrawal | SWC-105 | Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract. | PASS | |
| SELFDESTRUCT Instruction | SWC-106 | The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users. | ^{it} PASS | |
| Reentrancy | SWC-107 | Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call. | PASS | |
| Uninitialized Storage Pointer | SWC-109 | Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract. | PASS | |
| Assert Violation | SWC-110 SWC-123 | Properly functioning code should never reach a failing assert statement. | PASS | |
| Deprecated Solidity Functions | SWC-111 | Deprecated built-in functions should never be used. | . PASS | |
| Delegate call to Untrusted Callee | SWC-112 | Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses. | PASS | |



| DoS (Denial of Service) | SWC-113 SWC-128 | Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required. | | |
|--|-------------------------------|---|-------------|--|
| Race Conditions | SWC-114 | Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible. | PASS | |
| Authorization through tx.origin | SWC-115 | tx.origin should not be used for authorization. | PASS | |
| Block values as a proxy for time | SWC-116 | Block numbers should not be used for time calculations. | | |
| Signature Unique ID | SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122 | Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id. | PASS | |
| Incorrect Constructor Name | SWC-118 | Constructors are special functions that are called only once during the contract creation. | d only PASS | |
| Shadowing State Variable | SWC-119 | State variables should not be shadowed. | PASS | |
| Weak Sources of Randomness | SWC-120 | Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable. | Chain PASS | |
| Write to Arbitrary Storage Location | SWC-124 | The contract is responsible for ensuring that only authorized user or contract accounts may write to sensitive storage locations. | | |
| Incorrect Inheritance Order | SWC-125 | When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have identical functions, a developer should carefully specify inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is to inherit contracts from more /general/ to more /specific/. | | |
| Insufficient Gas Griefing | SWC-126 | Insufficient gas griefing attacks can be performed on contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on another contract. | n PASS | |
| Arbitrary Jump Function | SWC-127 | As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value. | PASS | |



| Typographical Error | SWC-129 | A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable. | PASS | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|--|------|--|
| Override control character | SWC-130 | Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract. | PASS | |
| Unused variables | SWC-131 SWC-135 | Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue. | PASS | |
| Unexpected Ether balance | SWC-132 | Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance. | | |
| Hash Collisions Variable | SWC-133 | Using abi.encodePacked() with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision. | PASS | |
| Hardcoded gas amount | SWC-134 | The transfer() and send() functions forward a fixed amount of 2300 gas. | | |
| Unencrypted Private Data | SWC-136 | It is a common misconception that private type variables cannot be read. | | |



SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS

| Started | Saturday Nov 21 2020 14:53:16 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time) | | |
|------------------|---|--|--|
| Finished | Sunday Nov 22 2020 22:58:51 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time) | | |
| Mode | Standard | | |
| Main Source File | BITTOKEN.sol | | |

Detected Issues

| ID | Title | Severity | Status |
|---------|---|----------|--------------|
| SWC-101 | THE ARITHMETIC OPERATOR CAN OVERFLOW. | high | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-116 | A CONTROL FLOW DECISION IS MADE BASED ON THE BLOCK.TIMESTAMP ENVIRONMENT VARIABLE. | low | acknowledged |



SWC-101 | THE ARITHMETIC OPERATOR CAN OVERFLOW.

LINE 1109

high SEVERITY

It is possible to cause an integer overflow or underflow in the arithmetic operation.

Source File

- BITTOKEN.sol

```
1108 // solhint-disable-next-line not-rely-on-time
1109 require(block.timestamp >= _snapshotTimestamp + 30 days, "Not passed 30 days
yet");
1110 // update snapshot timestamp with new time
1111 _snapshotTimestamp = block.timestamp;
1112
1113
```



LINE 9

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.6.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- BITTOKEN.sol

Locations

8
9 pragma solidity ^0.6.0;
10
11 /*
12 * @dev Provides information about the current execution context, including the
13



LINE 36

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.6.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- BITTOKEN.sol

```
35
36 pragma solidity ^0.6.0;
37
38 /**
39 * @dev Interface of the ERC20 standard as defined in the EIP.
40
```



LINE 116

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.6.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- BITTOKEN.sol

```
115
116 pragma solidity ^0.6.0;
117
118 /**
119 * @dev Wrappers over Solidity's arithmetic operations with added overflow
120
```





LINE 278

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.6.2"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- BITTOKEN.sol

Locations

277
278 pragma solidity ^0.6.2;
279
280 /**
281 * @dev Collection of functions related to the address type
282



LINE 422

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.6.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- BITTOKEN.sol

Locations

421
422 pragma solidity ^0.6.0;
423
424
425
426



LINE 731

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.6.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- BITTOKEN.sol

Locations

730
731 pragma solidity ^0.6.0;
732
733 /**
734 * @dev Standard math utilities missing in the Solidity language.
735





LINE 765

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.6.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- BITTOKEN.sol

Locations

764
765 pragma solidity ^0.6.0;
766
767
768 /**
769



LINE 814

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.6.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- BITTOKEN.sol

Locations

813
814 pragma solidity ^0.6.0;
815
816
817 /**
818



LINE 856

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.6.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- BITTOKEN.sol

Locations

855
856 pragma solidity ^0.6.0;
857
858
859
860



LINE 1042

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.6.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- BITTOKEN.sol

Locations

1041 1042 pragma solidity ^0.6.0; 1043 1044 1045 1046



LINE 1084

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.6.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- BITTOKEN.sol

```
1083 // SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
1084 pragma solidity ^0.6.0;
1085
1086
1087
1088
```



SWC-116 A CONTROL FLOW DECISION IS MADE BASED ON THE BLOCK.TIMESTAMP ENVIRONMENT VARIABLE.

LINE 1109

Iow SEVERITY

The block.timestamp environment variable is used to determine a control flow decision. Note that the values of variables like coinbase, gaslimit, block number and timestamp are predictable and can be manipulated by a malicious miner. Also keep in mind that attackers know hashes of earlier blocks. Don't use any of those environment variables as sources of randomness and be aware that use of these variables introduces a certain level of trust into miners.

Source File

- BITTOKEN.sol

```
1108 // solhint-disable-next-line not-rely-on-time
1109 require(block.timestamp >= _snapshotTimestamp + 30 days, "Not passed 30 days
yet");
1110 // update snapshot timestamp with new time
1111 _snapshotTimestamp = block.timestamp;
1112
1113
```





DISCLAIMER

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



ABOUT US

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.