

Agave

Smart Contract Audit Report





# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### | Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

### Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

### Conclusion

### | Audit Results

### Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

## Disclaimer

### About Us



# **AUDITED DETAILS**

## | Audited Project

| Project name | Token ticker | Blockchain |  |
|--------------|--------------|------------|--|
| Agave        | AGVE         | Arbitrum   |  |

## Addresses

| Contract address          | 0x848e0ba28b637e8490d88bae51fa99c87116409b |  |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| Contract deployer address | 0xdec0DED0606B7d0560ADEBD6C3a919a671dB4D66 |  |

## Project Website

https://agave.finance/

## Codebase

https://arbiscan.io/address/0x848e0ba28b637e8490d88bae51fa99c87116409b#code



## **SUMMARY**

Agave is a decentralized non-custodial money market protocol where users can participate as depositors or borrowers. It is a fork of Aave protocol-v2, deployed on xDAI, and is being developed by active members of the 1hive community.

### Contract Summary

### **Documentation Quality**

Agave provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

• The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also dont have any high risk issue.

#### **Code Quality**

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

 Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by Agave with the discovery of several low issues.

#### **Test Coverage**

Test coverage of the project is 100% (Through Codebase)

## Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-101 | It is recommended to use vetted safe math libraries for arithmetic operations consistently on lines 45, 45, 56, 56, 56, 96, 101, 102, 156, 671, 683, 1056, 1281, 1346, 1347, 1409, 1411, 1430, 1432, 1623, 1635, 101, 102 and 156.
- SWC-103 | Pragma statements can be allowed to float when a contract is intended on lines 8, 19, 64, 112, 164, 282, 308, 527, 611, 711, 748, 785, 979, 992 and 1585.
- SWC-110 SWC-123 | It is recommended to use of revert(), assert(), and require() in Solidity, and the new REVERT opcode in the EVM on lines 93, 101, 137, 156 and 1057.



## CONCLUSION

We have audited the Agave project released in October 2022 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in Agave Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides satisfactory results with low-risk issues.

The issues found in the Agave smart contract code do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues found are some arithmetic operation issues, a floating pragma is set, and out-of-bounds array access which the index access expression can cause an exception in case an invalid array index value is used.



# **AUDIT RESULT**

| Article                              | Category           | Description   | Result         |  |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---|----------------|--|
| Default Visibility                   | SWC-100<br>SWC-108 | Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously. | PASS           |  |
| Integer Overflow<br>and Underflow    | SWC-101            | If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.                          | ISSUE<br>FOUND |  |
| Outdated Compiler<br>Version         | SWC-102            | It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.   | PASS           |  |
| Floating Pragma                      | SWC-103            | Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.          | ISSUE<br>FOUND |  |
| Unchecked Call<br>Return Value       | SWC-104            | The return value of a message call should be checked.   | PASS           |  |
| Unprotected Ether<br>Withdrawal      | SWC-105            | Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract.                     | PASS           |  |
| SELFDESTRUCT<br>Instruction          | SWC-106            | The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.                                   | it PASS        |  |
| Reentrancy                           | SWC-107            | Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call.                              | d PASS         |  |
| Uninitialized<br>Storage Pointer     | SWC-109            | Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract.                      | PASS           |  |
| Assert Violation                     | SWC-110<br>SWC-123 | Properly functioning code should never reach a ISSUE failing assert statement.  |                |  |
| Deprecated Solidity Functions        | SWC-111            | Deprecated built-in functions should never be used.   | d. PASS        |  |
| Delegate call to<br>Untrusted Callee | SWC-112            | Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.  | usted PASS     |  |



| DoS (Denial of Service)                | SWC-113<br>SWC-128   | Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required.                                       |           |  |
|--|--|---|-----------|--|
| Race Conditions                        | SWC-114  | Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.   |           |  |
| Authorization<br>through tx.origin     | SWC-115  | tx.origin should not be used for authorization.   | ion. PASS |  |
| Block values as a proxy for time       | SWC-116  | Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.   |           |  |
| Signature Unique<br>ID                 | SWC-117<br>SWC-121<br>SWC-122  | Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id.                             |           |  |
| Incorrect<br>Constructor Name          | SWC-118  | Constructors are special functions that are called only once during the contract creation.  |           |  |
| Shadowing State<br>Variable            | SWC-119  | 19 State variables should not be shadowed.  |           |  |
| Weak Sources of<br>Randomness          | SWC-120  | Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable.  |           |  |
| Write to Arbitrary<br>Storage Location | SWC-124  | The contract is responsible for ensuring that only authorized user or contract accounts may write to sensitive storage locations. |           |  |
| Incorrect<br>Inheritance Order         | SWC-125  When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have identical functions, a developer should carefully specify inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is to inherit contracts from more /general/ to more /specific/. |   | PASS      |  |
| Insufficient Gas<br>Griefing           | SWC-126  | Insufficient gas griefing attacks can be performed on contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on another contract.   |           |  |
| Arbitrary Jump<br>Function             | SWC-127  | As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value.                   | PASS      |  |



| Typographical<br>Error        | SWC-129            | A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable.                                     |      |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|--|------|
| Override control<br>character | SWC-130            | Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract. | PASS |
| Unused variables              | SWC-131<br>SWC-135 | Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue.   | PASS |
| Unexpected Ether balance      | SWC-132            | Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance.   |      |
| Hash Collisions<br>Variable   | SWC-133            | Using abi.encodePacked() with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision.                                   |      |
| Hardcoded gas<br>amount       | SWC-134            | The transfer() and send() functions forward a fixed amount of 2300 gas.  |      |
| Unencrypted<br>Private Data   | SWC-136            | It is a common misconception that private type variables cannot be read.   | PASS |



# **SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS**

| Started          | Friday Oct 08 2021 16:31:45 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)   |  |  |
|------------------|---|--|--|
| Finished         | Saturday Oct 09 2021 23:13:33 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time) |  |  |
| Mode             | Standard  |  |  |
| Main Source File | ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol   |  |  |

## Detected Issues

| ID      | Title                                | Severity | Status       |
|---------|--------------------------------------|----------|--------------|
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED  | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED  | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED  | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED  | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED  | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED  | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED  | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED  | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED  | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED  | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED  | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED  | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED | low      | acknowledged |



| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED                | low | acknowledged |
|---------|---|-----|--------------|
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED                 | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-=" DISCOVERED                | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED                 | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED                | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED                 | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED                | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | COMPILER-REWRITABLE " <uint> - 1" DISCOVERED</uint> | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | COMPILER-REWRITABLE " <uint> - 1" DISCOVERED</uint> | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | COMPILER-REWRITABLE " <uint> - 1" DISCOVERED</uint> | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.                           | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.                           | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.                           | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.                           | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.                           | low | acknowledged |



| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.  | low | acknowledged |
|---------|----------------------------|-----|--------------|
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.  | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.  | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.  | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.  | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.  | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.  | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.  | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.  | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.  | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS | low | acknowledged |



LINE 45

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
44 // (a + b) / 2 can overflow.

45 return (a & b) + (a ^ b) / 2;

46 }

47

48 /**

49
```



LINE 45

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
44 // (a + b) / 2 can overflow.

45 return (a & b) + (a ^ b) / 2;

46 }

47

48 /**

49
```



LINE 56

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
55  // (a + b - 1) / b can overflow on addition, so we distribute.
56  return a / b + (a % b == 0 ? 0 : 1);
57  }
58  }
59
60
```



LINE 56

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
55  // (a + b - 1) / b can overflow on addition, so we distribute.
56  return a / b + (a % b == 0 ? 0 : 1);
57  }
58  }
59
60
```



LINE 56

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
55  // (a + b - 1) / b can overflow on addition, so we distribute.
56  return a / b + (a % b == 0 ? 0 : 1);
57  }
58  }
59
60
```



LINE 96

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
95 } else {
96  low = mid + 1;
97  }
98  }
99
100
```



**LINE 101** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
100  // At this point `low` is the exclusive upper bound. We will return the inclusive
upper bound.
101  if (low > 0 && array[low - 1] == element) {
102   return low - 1;
103  } else {
104   return low;
105
```



**LINE 102** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
101  if (low > 0 && array[low - 1] == element) {
102   return low - 1;
103  } else {
104   return low;
105  }
106
```



**LINE 156** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
155    uint256[] storage ids = _snapshots.ids;
156    return ids.length > 0 ? ids[ids.length - 1] : 0;
157    }
158    }
159
160
```



**LINE 671** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
670  ) internal {
671    uint256 newAllowance = token.allowance(address(this), spender) + value;
672    _callOptionalReturn(token, abi.encodeWithSelector(token.approve.selector, spender,
newAllowance));
673  }
674
675
```



**LINE 683** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
682 require(oldAllowance >= value, "SafeERC20: decreased allowance below zero");
683 uint256 newAllowance = oldAllowance - value;
684 _callOptionalReturn(token, abi.encodeWithSelector(token.approve.selector, spender, newAllowance));
685 }
686 }
687
```



**LINE 1056** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
1055  _defaultOperatorsArray = defaultOperators_;
1056   for (uint256 i = 0; i < defaultOperators_.length; i++) {
1057   _defaultOperators[defaultOperators_[i]] = true;
1058   }
1059
1060</pre>
```



**LINE 1281** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
1280 require(currentAllowance >= amount, "ERC777: transfer amount exceeds allowance");
1281 _approve(holder, spender, currentAllowance - amount);
1282
1283 _callTokensReceived(spender, holder, recipient, amount, "", "", false);
1284
1285
```



**LINE 1346** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
1345  // Update state variables
1346  _totalSupply += amount;
1347  _balances[account] += amount;
1348
1349  _callTokensReceived(operator, address(0), account, amount, userData, operatorData, requireReceptionAck);
1350
```



**LINE 1347** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
1346  _totalSupply += amount;
1347  _balances[account] += amount;
1348
1349  _callTokensReceived(operator, address(0), account, amount, userData, operatorData, requireReceptionAck);
1350
1351
```



**LINE 1409** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
1408 unchecked {
1409  _balances[from] = fromBalance - amount;
1410  }
1411  _totalSupply -= amount;
1412
1413
```



**LINE 1411** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
1410  }
1411  _totalSupply -= amount;
1412
1413  emit Burned(operator, from, amount, data, operatorData);
1414  emit Transfer(from, address(0), amount);
1415
```



**LINE 1430** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
1429 unchecked {
1430   _balances[from] = fromBalance - amount;
1431  }
1432   _balances[to] += amount;
1433
1434
```



**LINE 1432** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
1431  }
1432  _balances[to] += amount;
1433
1434  emit Sent(operator, from, to, amount, userData, operatorData);
1435  emit Transfer(from, to, amount);
1436
```



**LINE 1623** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
1622 require(
1623 _amount + totalSupply() <= backingToken.balanceOf(address(this)),
1624 "W37: Too large mint"
1625 );
1626 _mint(_recipient, _amount, "", "", false);
1627</pre>
```



**LINE 1635** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
function snapshot() external returns (uint256) {
   uint256 currentId = ++currentSnapshotId;
   emit Snapshot(currentId);
   return currentId;
   }
   1638  }
   1639
```



## SWC-101 | COMPILER-REWRITABLE "<UINT> - 1" DISCOVERED

**LINE 101** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
100  // At this point `low` is the exclusive upper bound. We will return the inclusive
upper bound.
101  if (low > 0 && array[low - 1] == element) {
102   return low - 1;
103  } else {
104   return low;
105
```



# SWC-101 | COMPILER-REWRITABLE "<UINT> - 1" DISCOVERED

**LINE 102** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
101  if (low > 0 && array[low - 1] == element) {
102   return low - 1;
103  } else {
104   return low;
105  }
106
```



## SWC-101 | COMPILER-REWRITABLE "<UINT> - 1" DISCOVERED

**LINE 156** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
155    uint256[] storage ids = _snapshots.ids;
156    return ids.length > 0 ? ids[ids.length - 1] : 0;
157    }
158    }
159
160
```



## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 8

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.9"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
7
8 pragma solidity ^0.8.9;
9
10 interface ISnapper {
11 function snapshot() external returns (uint256);
12
```



LINE 19

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
18
19 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
20
21 /**
22 * @dev Standard math utilities missing in the Solidity language.
23
```



LINE 64

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
63
64 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
65
66
67 /**
68
```



**LINE 112** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.9"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
111
112 pragma solidity ^0.8.9;
113
114
115 /// @author Philippe Dumonet
116
```



**LINE 164** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
163
164 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
165
166 /**
167 * @dev Interface of the global ERC1820 Registry, as defined in the
168
```



**LINE 282** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
281
282 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
283
284 /**
285 * @dev Provides information about the current execution context, including the
286
```



**LINE 308** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
307
308 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
309
310 /**
311 * @dev Collection of functions related to the address type
312
```



**LINE 527** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
526
527 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
528
529 /**
530 * @dev Interface of the ERC20 standard as defined in the EIP.
531
```



**LINE 611** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
610
611 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
612
613
614
615
```



**LINE 711** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
710
711 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
712
713 /**
714 * @dev Interface of the ERC777TokensSender standard as defined in the EIP.
715
```



**LINE 748** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
747
748 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
749
750 /**
751 * @dev Interface of the ERC777TokensRecipient standard as defined in the EIP.
752
```



**LINE** 785

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
784
785 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
786
787 /**
788 * @dev Interface of the ERC777Token standard as defined in the EIP.
789
```



**LINE** 979

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.9"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
978
979 pragma solidity ^0.8.9;
980
981
982 interface IEasyMinter is IERC777 {
983
```



**LINE** 992

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
991
992 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
993
994
995
996
```



**LINE 1585** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.9"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
1584
1585 pragma solidity ^0.8.9;
1586
1587
1588
1589
```



LINE 93

## **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
92  // because Math.average rounds down (it does integer division with truncation).
93  if (array[mid] > element) {
94   high = mid;
95  } else {
96   low = mid + 1;
97
```



**LINE 101** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
100  // At this point `low` is the exclusive upper bound. We will return the inclusive
upper bound.
101  if (low > 0 && array[low - 1] == element) {
102   return low - 1;
103  } else {
104   return low;
105
```



**LINE 137** 

## **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
136  } else {
137  return (true, _snapshots.values[index]);
138  }
139  }
140
141
```



**LINE 156** 

## **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
155    uint256[] storage ids = _snapshots.ids;
156    return ids.length > 0 ? ids[ids.length - 1] : 0;
157    }
158    }
159
160
```



**LINE 1057** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

```
1056  for (uint256 i = 0; i < defaultOperators_.length; i++) {
1057   _defaultOperators[defaultOperators_[i]] = true;
1058  }
1059
1060  // register interfaces
1061</pre>
```



## **DISCLAIMER**

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



## **ABOUT US**

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.