



Agave

# Smart Contract Audit Report

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## [Audited Details](#)

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

## [Summary](#)

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

## [Conclusion](#)

## [Audit Results](#)

## [Smart Contract Analysis](#)

- Detected Vulnerabilities

## [Disclaimer](#)

## [About Us](#)

# AUDITED DETAILS

## Audited Project

Project name	Token ticker	Blockchain
Agave	AGVE	Arbitrum

## Addresses

Contract address	0x848e0ba28b637e8490d88bae51fa99c87116409b
Contract deployer address	0xdec0DED0606B7d0560ADEBD6C3a919a671dB4D66

## Project Website

<a href="https://agave.finance/">https://agave.finance/</a>
-------------------------------------------------------------

## Codebase

<a href="https://arbiscan.io/address/0x848e0ba28b637e8490d88bae51fa99c87116409b#code">https://arbiscan.io/address/0x848e0ba28b637e8490d88bae51fa99c87116409b#code</a>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

# SUMMARY

Agave is a decentralized non-custodial money market protocol where users can participate as depositors or borrowers. It is a fork of Aave protocol-v2, deployed on xDAI, and is being developed by active members of the 1hive community.

## Contract Summary

### Documentation Quality

Agave provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

- The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also don't have any high risk issue.

### Code Quality

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

- Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by Agave with the discovery of several low issues.

### Test Coverage

Test coverage of the project is 100% ( Through Codebase )

## Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-101 | It is recommended to use vetted safe math libraries for arithmetic operations consistently on lines 45, 45, 56, 56, 56, 96, 101, 102, 156, 671, 683, 1056, 1281, 1346, 1347, 1409, 1411, 1430, 1432, 1623, 1635, 101, 102 and 156.
- SWC-103 | Pragma statements can be allowed to float when a contract is intended on lines 8, 19, 64, 112, 164, 282, 308, 527, 611, 711, 748, 785, 979, 992 and 1585.
- SWC-110 SWC-123 | It is recommended to use of `revert()`, `assert()`, and `require()` in Solidity, and the new REVERT opcode in the EVM on lines 93, 101, 137, 156 and 1057.

## CONCLUSION

We have audited the Agave project released in October 2022 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in Agave Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides satisfactory results with low-risk issues.

The issues found in the Agave smart contract code do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues found are some arithmetic operation issues, a floating pragma is set, and out-of-bounds array access which the index access expression can cause an exception in case an invalid array index value is used.

# AUDIT RESULT

Article	Category	Description	Result
Default Visibility	SWC-100 SWC-108	Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously.	PASS
Integer Overflow and Underflow	SWC-101	If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.	ISSUE FOUND
Outdated Compiler Version	SWC-102	It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.	PASS
Floating Pragma	SWC-103	Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.	ISSUE FOUND
Unchecked Call Return Value	SWC-104	The return value of a message call should be checked.	PASS
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	SWC-105	Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract.	PASS
SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	SWC-106	The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.	PASS
Reentrancy	SWC-107	Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call.	PASS
Uninitialized Storage Pointer	SWC-109	Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract.	PASS
Assert Violation	SWC-110 SWC-123	Properly functioning code should never reach a failing assert statement.	ISSUE FOUND
Deprecated Solidity Functions	SWC-111	Deprecated built-in functions should never be used.	PASS
Delegate call to Untrusted Callee	SWC-112	Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.	PASS

DoS (Denial of Service)	SWC-113 SWC-128	Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required.	PASS
Race Conditions	SWC-114	Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.	PASS
Authorization through tx.origin	SWC-115	tx.origin should not be used for authorization.	PASS
Block values as a proxy for time	SWC-116	Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.	PASS
Signature Unique ID	SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122	Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id.	PASS
Incorrect Constructor Name	SWC-118	Constructors are special functions that are called only once during the contract creation.	PASS
Shadowing State Variable	SWC-119	State variables should not be shadowed.	PASS
Weak Sources of Randomness	SWC-120	Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable.	PASS
Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	SWC-124	The contract is responsible for ensuring that only authorized user or contract accounts may write to sensitive storage locations.	PASS
Incorrect Inheritance Order	SWC-125	When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have identical functions, a developer should carefully specify inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is to inherit contracts from more /general/ to more /specific/.	PASS
Insufficient Gas Griefing	SWC-126	Insufficient gas grieving attacks can be performed on contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on another contract.	PASS
Arbitrary Jump Function	SWC-127	As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value.	PASS

Typographical Error	SWC-129	A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable.	PASS
Override control character	SWC-130	Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract.	PASS
Unused variables	SWC-131 SWC-135	Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue.	PASS
Unexpected Ether balance	SWC-132	Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance.	PASS
Hash Collisions Variable	SWC-133	Using abi.encodePacked() with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision.	PASS
Hardcoded gas amount	SWC-134	The transfer() and send() functions forward a fixed amount of 2300 gas.	PASS
Unencrypted Private Data	SWC-136	It is a common misconception that private type variables cannot be read.	PASS



# SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS

Started	Friday Oct 08 2021 16:31:45 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)
Finished	Saturday Oct 09 2021 23:13:33 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)
Mode	Standard
Main Source File	ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Detected Issues

ID	Title	Severity	Status
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged





# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED

LINE 45

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
44 // (a + b) / 2 can overflow.  
45 return (a & b) + (a ^ b) / 2;  
46 }  
47  
48 /**  
49
```

# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED

LINE 45

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
44 // (a + b) / 2 can overflow.  
45 return (a & b) + (a ^ b) / 2;  
46 }  
47  
48 /**  
49
```

# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED

LINE 56

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
55 // (a + b - 1) / b can overflow on addition, so we distribute.
56 return a / b + (a % b == 0 ? 0 : 1);
57 }
58 }
59
60
```

# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED

LINE 56

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
55 // (a + b - 1) / b can overflow on addition, so we distribute.
56 return a / b + (a % b == 0 ? 0 : 1);
57 }
58 }
59
60
```

# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED

LINE 56

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
55 // (a + b - 1) / b can overflow on addition, so we distribute.  
56 return a / b + (a % b == 0 ? 0 : 1);  
57 }  
58 }  
59  
60
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED

LINE 96

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
95     } else {  
96         low = mid + 1;  
97     }  
98 }  
99  
100
```

# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 101

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
100 // At this point `low` is the exclusive upper bound. We will return the inclusive
    upper bound.
101 if (low > 0 && array[low - 1] == element) {
102     return low - 1;
103 } else {
104     return low;
105 }
```

# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 102

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
101  if (low > 0 && array[low - 1] == element) {  
102  return low - 1;  
103  } else {  
104  return low;  
105  }  
106
```

# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 156

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
155     uint256[] storage ids = _snapshots.ids;
156     return ids.length > 0 ? ids[ids.length - 1] : 0;
157 }
158 }
159
160
```

# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED

LINE 671

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
670     ) internal {  
671         uint256 newAllowance = token.allowance(address(this), spender) + value;  
672         _callOptionalReturn(token, abi.encodeWithSelector(token.approve.selector, spender,  
newAllowance));  
673     }  
674  
675
```

# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 683

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
682     require(oldAllowance >= value, "SafeERC20: decreased allowance below zero");
683     uint256 newAllowance = oldAllowance - value;
684     _callOptionalReturn(token, abi.encodeWithSelector(token.approve.selector, spender,
newAllowance));
685   }
686 }
687
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

LINE 1056

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
1055  _defaultOperatorsArray = defaultOperators_;
1056  for (uint256 i = 0; i < defaultOperators_.length; i++) {
1057    _defaultOperators[defaultOperators_[i]] = true;
1058  }
1059
1060
```

# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 1281

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
1280     require(currentAllowance >= amount, "ERC777: transfer amount exceeds allowance");
1281     _approve(holder, spender, currentAllowance - amount);
1282
1283     _callTokensReceived(spender, holder, recipient, amount, "", "", false);
1284
1285
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED

LINE 1346

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
1345 // Update state variables
1346 _totalSupply += amount;
1347 _balances[account] += amount;
1348
1349 _callTokensReceived(operator, address(0), account, amount, userData, operatorData,
requireReceptionAck);
1350
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED

LINE 1347

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
1346     _totalSupply += amount;  
1347     _balances[account] += amount;  
1348  
1349     _callTokensReceived(operator, address(0), account, amount, userData, operatorData,  
requireReceptionAck);  
1350  
1351
```

# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 1409

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
1408     unchecked {  
1409         _balances[from] = fromBalance - amount;  
1410     }  
1411     _totalSupply -= amount;  
1412  
1413
```

# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-=" DISCOVERED

LINE 1411

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
1410     }
1411     _totalSupply -= amount;
1412
1413     emit Burned(operator, from, amount, data, operatorData);
1414     emit Transfer(from, address(0), amount);
1415
```

# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 1430

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
1429     unchecked {  
1430         _balances[from] = fromBalance - amount;  
1431     }  
1432     _balances[to] += amount;  
1433  
1434
```

# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED

LINE 1432

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
1431     }  
1432     _balances[to] += amount;  
1433  
1434     emit Sent(operator, from, to, amount, userData, operatorData);  
1435     emit Transfer(from, to, amount);  
1436
```

# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED

LINE 1623

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
1622     require(  
1623         _amount + totalSupply() <= backingToken.balanceOf(address(this)),  
1624         "W37: Too large mint"  
1625     );  
1626     _mint(_recipient, _amount, "", "", false);  
1627
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

LINE 1635

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
1634 function snapshot() external returns (uint256) {  
1635     uint256 currentId = ++currentSnapshotId;  
1636     emit Snapshot(currentId);  
1637     return currentId;  
1638 }  
1639
```



# SWC-101 | COMPILER-REWRITABLE "<UINT> - 1" DISCOVERED

LINE 101

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
100 // At this point `low` is the exclusive upper bound. We will return the inclusive
    upper bound.
101 if (low > 0 && array[low - 1] == element) {
102     return low - 1;
103 } else {
104     return low;
105 }
```

# SWC-101 | COMPILER-REWRITABLE "<UINT> - 1" DISCOVERED

LINE 102

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
101     if (low > 0 && array[low - 1] == element) {  
102         return low - 1;  
103     } else {  
104         return low;  
105     }  
106
```

# SWC-101 | COMPILER-REWRITABLE "<UINT> - 1" DISCOVERED

LINE 156

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

## Locations

```
155     uint256[] storage ids = _snapshots.ids;
156     return ids.length > 0 ? ids[ids.length - 1] : 0;
157 }
158 }
159
160
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 8

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is `""^0.8.9""`. It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
7
8  pragma solidity ^0.8.9;
9
10 interface ISnapper {
11     function snapshot() external returns (uint256);
12
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 19

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is `""^0.8.0""`. It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
18
19  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
20
21  /**
22   * @dev Standard math utilities missing in the Solidity language.
23
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 64

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is `""^0.8.0""`. It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
63
64  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
65
66
67  /**
68
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 112

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is `""^0.8.9""`. It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
111
112  pragma solidity ^0.8.9;
113
114
115  /// @author Philippe Dumonet
116
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 164

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is `""^0.8.0""`. It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
163
164  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
165
166  /**
167   * @dev Interface of the global ERC1820 Registry, as defined in the
168
```



## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 282

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is `""^0.8.0""`. It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
281
282  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
283
284  /**
285   * @dev Provides information about the current execution context, including the
286
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 308

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is `""^0.8.0""`. It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
307
308  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
309
310  /**
311   * @dev Collection of functions related to the address type
312
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 527

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
526
527  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
528
529  /**
530   * @dev Interface of the ERC20 standard as defined in the EIP.
531
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 611

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is `""^0.8.0""`. It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
610
611  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
612
613
614
615
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 711

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
710
711  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
712
713  /**
714   * @dev Interface of the ERC777TokensSender standard as defined in the EIP.
715
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 748

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is `""^0.8.0""`. It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
747
748  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
749
750  /**
751   * @dev Interface of the ERC777TokensRecipient standard as defined in the EIP.
752
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 785

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
784
785  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
786
787  /**
788   * @dev Interface of the ERC777Token standard as defined in the EIP.
789
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 979

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is `""^0.8.9""`. It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
978
979  pragma solidity ^0.8.9;
980
981
982  interface IEasyMinter is IERC777 {
983
```



## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 992

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
991
992  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
993
994
995
996
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 1585

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is `""^0.8.9""`. It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
1584
1585  pragma solidity ^0.8.9;
1586
1587
1588
1589
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 93

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
92 // because Math.average rounds down (it does integer division with truncation).
93 if (array[mid] > element) {
94     high = mid;
95 } else {
96     low = mid + 1;
97 }
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 101

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
100 // At this point `low` is the exclusive upper bound. We will return the inclusive
    upper bound.
101 if (low > 0 && array[low - 1] == element) {
102     return low - 1;
103 } else {
104     return low;
105 }
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 137

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
136     } else {  
137         return (true, _snapshots.values[index]);  
138     }  
139 }  
140  
141
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 156

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
155     uint256[] storage ids = _snapshots.ids;
156     return ids.length > 0 ? ids[ids.length - 1] : 0;
157 }
158 }
159
160
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 1057

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- ERC777SnapshotWrapper.sol

### Locations

```
1056   for (uint256 i = 0; i < defaultOperators_.length; i++) {  
1057     _defaultOperators[defaultOperators_[i]] = true;  
1058   }  
1059  
1060   // register interfaces  
1061
```

# DISCLAIMER

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



## ABOUT US

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.