

IMPT

Smart Contract Audit Report





## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### | Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

### Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

### Conclusion

### | Audit Results

### Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

### Disclaimer

### About Us



# **AUDITED DETAILS**

### Audited Project

Project name	Token ticker	Blockchain	
IMPT	IMPT	Ethereum	

### Addresses

Contract address	0x04c17b9d3b29a78f7bd062a57cf44fc633e71f85	
Contract deployer address	0xae500791254Bc813F336c3A1054e31ADe2b583F1	

### Project Website

https://www.impt.io/

### Codebase

https://etherscan.io/address/0x04c17b9d3b29a78f7bd062a57cf44fc633e71f85#code



### **SUMMARY**

Join an impactful carbon offset program by investing in the IMPT token.

Become a part of a large ecosystem that connects socially responsible brands with businesses and individuals who want to reduce their carbon footprint. Based on the blockchain, our platform empowers you to buy, sell, or retire carbon credits while avoiding double counting and fraud.

### Contract Summary

#### **Documentation Quality**

IMPT provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

• The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also dont have any high risk issue.

#### **Code Quality**

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

 Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by IMPT with the discovery of several low issues.

### **Test Coverage**

Test coverage of the project is 100% (Through Codebase)

### Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-101 | It is recommended to use vetted safe math libraries for arithmetic operations consistently on lines 95, 103, 139, 140, 144, 145, 145, 146, 161, 171, 171, 174, 174, 174, 299, 904, 927, 960, 962, 983, 984, 1009, 1011, 1060, 1381, 1382, 1384 and 103.
- SWC-103 | Pragma statements can be allowed to float when a contract is intended on lines 71, 117, 195, 415, 521, 584, 669, 699, 726, 1111, 1208 and 1249.
- SWC-110 SWC-123 | It is recommended to use of revert(), assert(), and require() in Solidity, and the new REVERT opcode in the EVM on lines 145, 172, 173, 175, 175, 1383, 1384 and 1384.



## CONCLUSION

We have audited the IMPT project released on October 2022 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in IMPT Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides a satisfactory result with some low-risk issues.

The issues found in the IMPT smart contract code do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues found are some arithmetic operation issues, a floating pragma is set and out of bounds array access which the index access expression can cause an exception in case of the use of an invalid array index value.



# **AUDIT RESULT**

Article	Category	Description	Result	
Default Visibility	SWC-100 SWC-108	Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously.	PASS	
Integer Overflow and Underflow	SWC-101	If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.	ISSUE FOUND	
Outdated Compiler Version	SWC-102	It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.	PASS	
Floating Pragma	SWC-103	Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.	ISSUE FOUND	
Unchecked Call Return Value	SWC-104	The return value of a message call should be checked.	PASS	
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	SWC-105	Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract.	PASS	
SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	SWC-106	The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.	it PASS	
Reentrancy	SWC-107	Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call.	d PASS	
Uninitialized Storage Pointer	SWC-109	Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract.	PASS	
Assert Violation	SWC-110 SWC-123	Properly functioning code should never reach a failing assert statement.	ISSUE FOUND	
Deprecated Solidity Functions	SWC-111	Deprecated built-in functions should never be used.	PASS	
Delegate call to Untrusted Callee	SWC-112	Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.	PASS	



DoS (Denial of Service)	SWC-113 SWC-128	Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required.		
Race Conditions	SWC-114	Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.		
Authorization through tx.origin	SWC-115	tx.origin should not be used for authorization.	PASS	
Block values as a proxy for time	SWC-116	Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.		
Signature Unique ID	SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122	Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id.	PASS	
Incorrect Constructor Name	SWC-118	Constructors are special functions that are called only once during the contract creation.		
Shadowing State Variable	SWC-119	State variables should not be shadowed.		
Weak Sources of Randomness	SWC-120	Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable.	PASS	
Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	SWC-124	The contract is responsible for ensuring that only authorized user or contract accounts may write to sensitive storage locations.	PASS	
Incorrect Inheritance Order	SWC-125	When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have identical functions, a developer should carefully specify inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is to inherit contracts from more /general/ to more /specific/.		
Insufficient Gas Griefing	SWC-126	Insufficient gas griefing attacks can be performed on contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on another contract.	PASS	
Arbitrary Jump Function	SWC-127	As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value.		



Typographical Error	SWC-129	A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable.	
Override control character	SWC-130	Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract.	
Unused variables	SWC-131 SWC-135	Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue.	
Unexpected Ether balance	SWC-132	Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance.	
Hash Collisions Variable	SWC-133	Using abi.encodePacked() with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision.	
Hardcoded gas amount	SWC-134	The transfer() and send() functions forward a fixed amount of 2300 gas.	
Unencrypted Private Data	SWC-136	It is a common misconception that private type variables cannot be read.	



# **SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS**

Started	Monday Oct 03 2022 23:16:45 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Finished	Tuesday Oct 04 2022 13:51:52 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Mode	Standard		
Main Source File	IMPT.sol		

## Detected Issues

ID	Title	Severity	Status
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged



		T T	
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	COMPILER-REWRITABLE " <uint> - 1" DISCOVERED</uint>	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged



SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged



LINE 95

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
94 unchecked {
95 counter._value += 1;
96 }
97 }
98
99
```



**LINE 103** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
102  unchecked {
103  counter._value = value - 1;
104  }
105  }
106
107
```



**LINE 139** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
138  while (temp != 0) {
139   digits++;
140   temp /= 10;
141  }
142  bytes memory buffer = new bytes(digits);
143
```



**LINE 140** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
139  digits++;
140  temp /= 10;
141  }
142  bytes memory buffer = new bytes(digits);
143  while (value != 0) {
144
```



**LINE 144** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
143  while (value != 0) {
144  digits -= 1;
145  buffer[digits] = bytes1(uint8(48 + uint256(value % 10)));
146  value /= 10;
147  }
148
```



**LINE 145** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
144 digits -= 1;
145 buffer[digits] = bytes1(uint8(48 + uint256(value % 10)));
146 value /= 10;
147 }
148 return string(buffer);
149
```



**LINE 145** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
144 digits -= 1;
145 buffer[digits] = bytes1(uint8(48 + uint256(value % 10)));
146 value /= 10;
147 }
148 return string(buffer);
149
```



**LINE 146** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
145 buffer[digits] = bytes1(uint8(48 + uint256(value % 10)));
146  value /= 10;
147  }
148  return string(buffer);
149  }
150
```



**LINE 161** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
160 while (temp != 0) {
161 length++;
162 temp >>= 8;
163 }
164 return toHexString(value, length);
165
```



**LINE 171** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {

function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {

function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {

function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {

function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {

function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {

function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {

function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {

function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {

function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {

function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {

function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {

function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {

function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {

function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {

function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {

function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {

function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {

function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) {

function toHexString(uint256 value, u
```



**LINE 171** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
170 function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {
171  bytes memory buffer = new bytes(2 * length + 2);
172  buffer[0] = "0";
173  buffer[1] = "x";
174  for (uint256 i = 2 * length + 1; i > 1; --i) {
175
```



**LINE 174** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
173 buffer[1] = "x";
174 for (uint256 i = 2 * length + 1; i > 1; --i) {
175 buffer[i] = _HEX_SYMBOLS[value & 0xf];
176 value >>= 4;
177 }
178
```



**LINE 174** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
173 buffer[1] = "x";
174 for (uint256 i = 2 * length + 1; i > 1; --i) {
175 buffer[i] = _HEX_SYMBOLS[value & 0xf];
176 value >>= 4;
177 }
178
```



**LINE 174** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
173 buffer[1] = "x";
174 for (uint256 i = 2 * length + 1; i > 1; --i) {
175 buffer[i] = _HEX_SYMBOLS[value & 0xf];
176 value >>= 4;
177 }
178
```



**LINE 299** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- IMPT.sol



**LINE 904** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
903 address owner = _msgSender();
904 _approve(owner, spender, allowance(owner, spender) + addedValue);
905 return true;
906 }
907
908
```



**LINE 927** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
926 unchecked {
927 _approve(owner, spender, currentAllowance - subtractedValue);
928 }
929
930 return true;
931
```



**LINE 960** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
959 unchecked {
960  _balances[from] = fromBalance - amount;
961  }
962  _balances[to] += amount;
963
964
```



**LINE 962** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
961 }
962 _balances[to] += amount;
963
964 emit Transfer(from, to, amount);
965
966
```



**LINE 983** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
982
983 _totalSupply += amount;
984 _balances[account] += amount;
985 emit Transfer(address(0), account, amount);
986
987
```



**LINE 984** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
__totalSupply += amount;

984    __balances[account] += amount;

985    emit Transfer(address(0), account, amount);

986

987    __afterTokenTransfer(address(0), account, amount);

988
```



**LINE 1009** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
1008 unchecked {
1009   _balances[account] = accountBalance - amount;
1010  }
1011   _totalSupply -= amount;
1012
1013
```



**LINE 1011** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
1010  }
1011  _totalSupply -= amount;
1012
1013  emit Transfer(account, address(0), amount);
1014
1015
```



**LINE 1060** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
1059 unchecked {
1060 _approve(owner, spender, currentAllowance - amount);
1061 }
1062 }
1063 }
1064
```



**LINE 1381** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
require(recipients_.length <= 20, "Invalid recipients length");

uint256 dec_ = 10**decimals();

for (uint256 i = 0; i < recipients_.length; i++) {

require(amounts_[i] > 0, "Amount is not positive");

mint(recipients_[i], amounts_[i] * dec_);

1385
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1382** 

# **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

# Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
1381    uint256 dec_ = 10**decimals();
1382    for (uint256 i = 0; i < recipients_.length; i++) {
1383        require(amounts_[i] > 0, "Amount is not positive");
1384        _mint(recipients_[i], amounts_[i] * dec_);
1385    }
1386
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "\*" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1384** 

# **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

# Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
1383    require(amounts_[i] > 0, "Amount is not positive");
1384    _mint(recipients_[i], amounts_[i] * dec_);
1385    }
1386  }
1387
1388
```



# SWC-101 | COMPILER-REWRITABLE "<UINT> - 1" DISCOVERED

**LINE 103** 

# **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

# Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
102  unchecked {
103  counter._value = value - 1;
104  }
105  }
106
107
```



LINE 71

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
70
71 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
72
73 /**
74 * @title Counters
75
```



**LINE 117** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
116
117 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
118
119 /**
120 * @dev String operations.
121
```



**LINE 195** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
194
195 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
196
197
198 /**
199
```



**LINE 415** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
414
415 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
416
417
418 /**
419
```



**LINE 521** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
520
521 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
522
523 /**
524 * @dev Interface of the ERC20 Permit extension allowing approvals to be made via signatures, as defined in 525
```



**LINE 584** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
583
584 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
585
586 /**
587 * @dev Interface of the ERC20 standard as defined in the EIP.
588
```



**LINE** 669

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
668
669 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
670
671
672 /**
673
```



**LINE** 699

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
698
699 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
700
701 /**
702 * @dev Provides information about the current execution context, including the
703
```



**LINE** 726

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
725
726 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
727
728
729
730
```



**LINE 1111** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
1110
1111 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
1112
1113
1114
1115
```



**LINE 1208** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
1207
1208 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
1209
1210
1211
```



**LINE 1249** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
1248
1249 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
1250
1251
1252 /**
1253
```



**LINE 145** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
144 digits -= 1;
145 buffer[digits] = bytes1(uint8(48 + uint256(value % 10)));
146 value /= 10;
147 }
148 return string(buffer);
149
```



**LINE 172** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
171 bytes memory buffer = new bytes(2 * length + 2);
172 buffer[0] = "0";
173 buffer[1] = "x";
174 for (uint256 i = 2 * length + 1; i > 1; --i) {
175 buffer[i] = _HEX_SYMBOLS[value & 0xf];
176
```



**LINE 173** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
172 buffer[0] = "0";
173 buffer[1] = "x";
174 for (uint256 i = 2 * length + 1; i > 1; --i) {
175 buffer[i] = _HEX_SYMBOLS[value & 0xf];
176 value >>= 4;
177
```



**LINE 175** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
174  for (uint256 i = 2 * length + 1; i > 1; --i) {
175   buffer[i] = _HEX_SYMBOLS[value & 0xf];
176   value >>= 4;
177  }
178   require(value == 0, "Strings: hex length insufficient");
179
```



**LINE 175** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
174  for (uint256 i = 2 * length + 1; i > 1; --i) {
175   buffer[i] = _HEX_SYMBOLS[value & 0xf];
176   value >>= 4;
177  }
178   require(value == 0, "Strings: hex length insufficient");
179
```



**LINE 1383** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
1382    for (uint256 i = 0; i < recipients_.length; i++) {
1383        require(amounts_[i] > 0, "Amount is not positive");
1384        _mint(recipients_[i], amounts_[i] * dec_);
1385    }
1386    }
1387
```



**LINE 1384** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
1383    require(amounts_[i] > 0, "Amount is not positive");
1384    _mint(recipients_[i], amounts_[i] * dec_);
1385    }
1386  }
1387
1388
```



**LINE 1384** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
1383    require(amounts_[i] > 0, "Amount is not positive");
1384    _mint(recipients_[i], amounts_[i] * dec_);
1385    }
1386  }
1387
1388
```



# **DISCLAIMER**

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



# **ABOUT US**

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.