

IMPT Smart Contract Audit Report



04 Oct 2022



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

Conclusion

Audit Results

Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

Disclaimer

About Us



AUDITED DETAILS

Audited Project

| Project name | Token ticker | Blockchain | |
|--------------|--------------|------------|--|
| IMPT | IMPT | Ethereum | |

Addresses

| Contract address | 0x04c17b9d3b29a78f7bd062a57cf44fc633e71f85 | |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| Contract deployer address | 0xae500791254Bc813F336c3A1054e31ADe2b583F1 | |

Project Website

https://www.impt.io/

Codebase

https://etherscan.io/address/0x04c17b9d3b29a78f7bd062a57cf44fc633e71f85#code



SUMMARY

Join an impactful carbon offset program by investing in the IMPT token.

Become a part of a large ecosystem that connects socially responsible brands with businesses and individuals who want to reduce their carbon footprint. Based on the blockchain, our platform empowers you to buy, sell, or retire carbon credits while avoiding double counting and fraud.

Contract Summary

Documentation Quality

IMPT provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

• The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also dont have any high risk issue.

Code Quality

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

• Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by IMPT with the discovery of several low issues.

Test Coverage

Test coverage of the project is 100% (Through Codebase)

Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-101 | It is recommended to use vetted safe math libraries for arithmetic operations consistently on lines 95, 103, 139, 140, 144, 145, 145, 146, 161, 171, 171, 174, 174, 174, 299, 904, 927, 960, 962, 983, 984, 1009, 1011, 1060, 1381, 1382, 1384 and 103.
- SWC-103 | Pragma statements can be allowed to float when a contract is intended on lines 71, 117, 195, 415, 521, 584, 669, 699, 726, 1111, 1208 and 1249.
- SWC-110 SWC-123 | It is recommended to use of revert(), assert(), and require() in Solidity, and the new REVERT opcode in the EVM on lines 145, 172, 173, 175, 175, 1383, 1384 and 1384.



CONCLUSION

We have audited the IMPT project released on October 2022 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in IMPT Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides a satisfactory result with some low-risk issues.

The issues found in the IMPT smart contract code do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues found are some arithmetic operation issues, a floating pragma is set and out of bounds array access which the index access expression can cause an exception in case of the use of an invalid array index value.



AUDIT RESULT

| Article | Category | Description | Result | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---|----------------|--|
| Default Visibility | SWC-100 SWC-108 | Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously. | | |
| Integer Overflow and Underflow | SWC-101 | If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows. | ISSUE FOUND | |
| Outdated Compiler Version | SWC-102 | It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler. | PASS | |
| Floating Pragma | SWC-103 | Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly. | ISSUE FOUND | |
| Unchecked Call Return Value | SWC-104 | The return value of a message call should be checked. | PASS | |
| Unprotected Ether Withdrawal | SWC-105 | Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract. | PASS | |
| SELFDESTRUCT Instruction | SWC-106 | The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users. | it PASS | |
| Reentrancy | SWC-107 | Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call. | PASS | |
| Uninitialized Storage Pointer | SWC-109 | Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract. | PASS | |
| Assert Violation | SWC-110 SWC-123 | Properly functioning code should never reach aISSfailing assert statement.FOU | | |
| Deprecated Solidity Functions | SWC-111 | Deprecated built-in functions should never be used. | e used. PASS | |
| Delegate call to Untrusted Callee | SWC-112 | Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses. | PASS | |



| DoS (Denial of Service) | SWC-113 SWC-128 | Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required. | |
|--|-------------------------------|--|------|
| Race Conditions | SWC-114 | Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible. | |
| Authorization through tx.origin | SWC-115 | tx.origin should not be used for authorization. | |
| Block values as a proxy for time | SWC-116 | Block numbers should not be used for time calculations. | PASS |
| Signature Unique ID | SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122 | Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id. | PASS |
| Incorrect Constructor Name | SWC-118 | 8 Constructors are special functions that are called only once during the contract creation. | |
| Shadowing State Variable | SWC-119 | State variables should not be shadowed. | PASS |
| Weak Sources of Randomness | SWC-120 | Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable. | |
| Write to Arbitrary Storage Location | SWC-124 | The contract is responsible for ensuring that only authorized user or contract accounts may write to sensitive storage locations. | PASS |
| Incorrect Inheritance Order | SWC-125 | When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have identical functions, a developer should carefully specify inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is to inherit contracts from more /general/ to more /specific/. | |
| Insufficient Gas Griefing | SWC-126 | Insufficient gas griefing attacks can be performed on contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on another contract. | |
| Arbitrary Jump Function | SWC-127 | As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value. | PASS |



| Typographical Error | SWC-129 | A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable. | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|--|------|
| Override control character | SWC-130 | Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract. | |
| Unused variables | SWC-131 SWC-135 | Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue. | PASS |
| Unexpected Ether balance | SWC-132 | Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance. | |
| Hash Collisions Variable | SWC-133 | Using abi.encodePacked() with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision. | |
| Hardcoded gas amount | SWC-134 | The transfer() and send() functions forward a fixed amount of 2300 gas. | |
| Unencrypted Private Data | SWC-136 | It is a common misconception that private type variables cannot be read. | |



SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS

| Started | Monday Oct 03 2022 23:16:45 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time) | | |
|------------------|--|--|--|
| Finished | Tuesday Oct 04 2022 13:51:52 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time) | | |
| Mode | Standard | | |
| Main Source File | IMPT.sol | | |

Detected Issues

| ID | Title | Severity | Status |
|---------|--------------------------------------|----------|--------------|
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/=" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-=" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/=" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |



| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
|---------|---|-----|--------------|
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-=" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | COMPILER-REWRITABLE " <uint> - 1" DISCOVERED</uint> | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |



🗟 SYSFIXED

| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
|---------|----------------------------|-----|--------------|
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET. | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS | low | acknowledged |



LINE 95

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

Locations

94 unchecked {
95 counter._value += 1;
96 }
97 }
98
99



LINE 103

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
102 unchecked {
103 counter._value = value - 1;
104 }
105 }
106
107
```



LINE 139

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
138 while (temp != 0) {
139 digits++;
140 temp /= 10;
141 }
142 bytes memory buffer = new bytes(digits);
143
```



LINE 140

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
139 digits++;
140 temp /= 10;
141 }
142 bytes memory buffer = new bytes(digits);
143 while (value != 0) {
144
```



LINE 144

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
143 while (value != 0) {
144 digits -= 1;
145 buffer[digits] = bytes1(uint8(48 + uint256(value % 10)));
146 value /= 10;
147 }
148
```



LINE 145

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
144 digits -= 1;
145 buffer[digits] = bytes1(uint8(48 + uint256(value % 10)));
146 value /= 10;
147 }
148 return string(buffer);
149
```



LINE 145

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
144 digits -= 1;
145 buffer[digits] = bytes1(uint8(48 + uint256(value % 10)));
146 value /= 10;
147 }
148 return string(buffer);
149
```



LINE 146

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
145 buffer[digits] = bytes1(uint8(48 + uint256(value % 10)));
146 value /= 10;
147 }
148 return string(buffer);
149 }
150
```



LINE 161

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
160 while (temp != 0) {
161 length++;
162 temp >>= 8;
163 }
164 return toHexString(value, length);
165
```



LINE 171

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
170 function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {
171 bytes memory buffer = new bytes(2 * length + 2);
172 buffer[0] = "0";
173 buffer[1] = "x";
174 for (uint256 i = 2 * length + 1; i > 1; --i) {
175
```



LINE 171

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
170 function toHexString(uint256 value, uint256 length) internal pure returns (string
memory) {
171 bytes memory buffer = new bytes(2 * length + 2);
172 buffer[0] = "0";
173 buffer[1] = "x";
174 for (uint256 i = 2 * length + 1; i > 1; --i) {
175
```



LINE 174

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
173 buffer[1] = "x";
174 for (uint256 i = 2 * length + 1; i > 1; --i) {
175 buffer[i] = _HEX_SYMBOLS[value & 0xf];
176 value >>= 4;
177 }
178
```





LINE 174

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
173 buffer[1] = "x";
174 for (uint256 i = 2 * length + 1; i > 1; --i) {
175 buffer[i] = _HEX_SYMBOLS[value & 0xf];
176 value >>= 4;
177 }
178
```



LINE 174

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
173 buffer[1] = "x";
174 for (uint256 i = 2 * length + 1; i > 1; --i) {
175 buffer[i] = _HEX_SYMBOLS[value & 0xf];
176 value >>= 4;
177 }
178
```



LINE 299

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol



LINE 904

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
903 address owner = _msgSender();
904 _approve(owner, spender, allowance(owner, spender) + addedValue);
905 return true;
906 }
907
908
```



LINE 927

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
926 unchecked {
927 _approve(owner, spender, currentAllowance - subtractedValue);
928 }
929
930 return true;
931
```



LINE 960

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
959 unchecked {
960 _balances[from] = fromBalance - amount;
961 }
962 _balances[to] += amount;
963
964
```



LINE 962

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

Locations

961 }
961 }
962 _balances[to] += amount;
963
964 emit Transfer(from, to, amount);
965
966



LINE 983

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

Locations

982 983 _totalSupply += amount; 984 _balances[account] += amount; 985 emit Transfer(address(0), account, amount); 986 987



LINE 984

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

Locations

983 __totalSupply += amount; 984 __balances[account] += amount; 985 emit Transfer(address(0), account, amount); 986 987 __afterTokenTransfer(address(0), account, amount); 988



LINE 1009

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
1008 unchecked {
1009 _balances[account] = accountBalance - amount;
1010 }
1011 _totalSupply -= amount;
1012
1013
```



LINE 1011

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
1010 }
1011 _totalSupply -= amount;
1012
1013 emit Transfer(account, address(0), amount);
1014
1015
```



LINE 1060

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

Locations

1059 unchecked {
1060 _approve(owner, spender, currentAllowance - amount);
1061 }
1062 }
1063 }
1064



LINE 1381

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
1380 require(recipients_.length <= 20, "Invalid recipients length");
1381 uint256 dec_ = 10**decimals();
1382 for (uint256 i = 0; i < recipients_.length; i++) {
1383 require(amounts_[i] > 0, "Amount is not positive");
1384 __mint(recipients_[i], amounts_[i] * dec_);
1385
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

LINE 1382

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
1381 uint256 dec_ = 10**decimals();
1382 for (uint256 i = 0; i < recipients_.length; i++) {
1383 require(amounts_[i] > 0, "Amount is not positive");
1384 __mint(recipients_[i], amounts_[i] * dec_);
1385 }
1386
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED

LINE 1384

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
1383 require(amounts_[i] > 0, "Amount is not positive");
1384 __mint(recipients_[i], amounts_[i] * dec_);
1385 }
1386 }
1387
1388
```



SWC-101 | COMPILER-REWRITABLE "<UINT> - 1" DISCOVERED

LINE 103

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
102 unchecked {
103 counter._value = value - 1;
104 }
105 }
106
107
```



LINE 71

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

Locations

70
71 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
72
73 /**
74 * @title Counters
75



LINE 117

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

Locations

116
117 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
118
119 /**
120 * @dev String operations.
121



LINE 195

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

Locations

194 195 pragma solidity ^0.8.0; 196 197 198 /** 199



LINE 415

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

Locations

414 415 pragma solidity ^0.8.0; 416 417 418 /** 419



LINE 521

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
520
521 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
522
523 /**
524 * @dev Interface of the ERC20 Permit extension allowing approvals to be made via
signatures, as defined in
525
```





LINE 584

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

Locations

583
584 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
585
586 /**
587 * @dev Interface of the ERC20 standard as defined in the EIP.
588



LINE 669

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

Locations

668
669 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
670
671
672 /**
673



LINE 699

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

Locations

698
699 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
700
701 /**
702 * @dev Provides information about the current execution context, including the
703



LINE 726

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

Locations

725 726 pragma solidity ^0.8.0; 727 728 729 730



LINE 1111

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

Locations

1110 1111 pragma solidity ^0.8.0; 1112 1113 1114 1115



LINE 1208

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

Locations

1207 1208 pragma solidity ^0.8.0; 1209 1210 1211 1212



LINE 1249

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

Locations

1248 1249 pragma solidity ^0.8.0; 1250 1251 1252 /** 1253





LINE 145

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
144 digits -= 1;
145 buffer[digits] = bytes1(uint8(48 + uint256(value % 10)));
146 value /= 10;
147 }
148 return string(buffer);
149
```



LINE 172

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
171 bytes memory buffer = new bytes(2 * length + 2);
172 buffer[0] = "0";
173 buffer[1] = "x";
174 for (uint256 i = 2 * length + 1; i > 1; --i) {
175 buffer[i] = _HEX_SYMBOLS[value & 0xf];
176
```



LINE 173

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
172 buffer[0] = "0";
173 buffer[1] = "x";
174 for (uint256 i = 2 * length + 1; i > 1; --i) {
175 buffer[i] = _HEX_SYMBOLS[value & 0xf];
176 value >>= 4;
177
```



LINE 175

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
174 for (uint256 i = 2 * length + 1; i > 1; --i) {
175 buffer[i] = _HEX_SYMBOLS[value & 0xf];
176 value >>= 4;
177 }
178 require(value == 0, "Strings: hex length insufficient");
179
```



LINE 175

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
174 for (uint256 i = 2 * length + 1; i > 1; --i) {
175 buffer[i] = _HEX_SYMBOLS[value & 0xf];
176 value >>= 4;
177 }
178 require(value == 0, "Strings: hex length insufficient");
179
```



LINE 1383

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
1382 for (uint256 i = 0; i < recipients_.length; i++) {
1383 require(amounts_[i] > 0, "Amount is not positive");
1384 __mint(recipients_[i], amounts_[i] * dec_);
1385 }
1386 }
1387
```



LINE 1384

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
1383 require(amounts_[i] > 0, "Amount is not positive");
1384 __mint(recipients_[i], amounts_[i] * dec_);
1385 }
1386 }
1387
1388
```



LINE 1384

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- IMPT.sol

```
1383 require(amounts_[i] > 0, "Amount is not positive");
1384 __mint(recipients_[i], amounts_[i] * dec_);
1385 }
1386 }
1387
1388
```



DISCLAIMER

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



ABOUT US

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.