

Billiard Crypto Reward
Smart Contract
Audit Report





# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### | Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

### Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

### Conclusion

### | Audit Results

### Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

### Disclaimer

### About Us



# **AUDITED DETAILS**

### Audited Project

Project name	Token ticker	Blockchain
Billiard Crypto Reward	BICR	Binance Smart Chain

# Addresses

Contract address	0xedbac1830c1b3280882c73449198ebf6a35ede43	
Contract deployer address	0xA7618C49B0C419969F012B3e44a6DA9281744cc3	

### Project Website

https://billiardcrypto.com/

### Codebase

https://bscscan.com/address/0xedbac1830c1b3280882c73449198ebf6a35ede43#code



### **SUMMARY**

Billiards, any of various games played on a rectangular table with a designated number of small balls and a long stick called a cue. The table and the cushioned rail bordering the table are topped with a feltlike tight-fitting cloth. Carom, or French, billiards is played with three balls on a table that has no pockets. The other principal games are played on tables that have six pockets, one at each corner and one in each of the long sides; these games include English billiards, played with three balls; snooker, played with 21 balls and a cue ball; and pocket billiards, or pool, played with 15 balls and a cue ball. There are numerous varieties of each game—particularly of carom and pocket billiards.

### Contract Summary

#### **Documentation Quality**

Billiard Crypto Reward provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

• The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also dont have any high risk issue.

#### **Code Quality**

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

• Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by Billiard Crypto Reward with the discovery of several low issues.

#### **Test Coverage**

Test coverage of the project is 100% (Through Codebase)

### Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-100 SWC-108 | Explicitly define visibility for all state variables on lines 453.
- SWC-101 | It is recommended to use vetted safe math libraries for arithmetic operations consistently on lines 198, 220, 245, 276, 277, 292, 293, 315, 316, 460, 460, 529, 539, 550, 580, 589, 595, 604, 604, 611, 615, 615, 635, 636, 636, 638, 644, 645, 645, 647, 647, 655, 707, 707, 728, 736, 749, 767 and 770.
- SWC-103 | Pragma statements can be allowed to float when a contract is intended on lines 11.
- SWC-110 SWC-123 | It is recommended to use of revert(), assert(), and require() in Solidity, and the new REVERT opcode in the EVM on lines 667, 668, 750, 768 and 771.
- SWC-120 | It is recommended to use external sources of randomness via oracles on lines 580 and 714.



# CONCLUSION

We have audited the Billiard Crypto Reward project released in February 2021 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in Billiard Crypto Reward Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides satisfactory results with low-risk issues.

The Billiard Crypto Reward smart contract code issues do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues found are some arithmetic operation issues, a floating pragma is set, a state variable visibility is not set, the potential use of "block.number" as a source of randomness, and out-of-bounds array access which the index access expression can cause an exception in case of the use of an invalid array index value.



# **AUDIT RESULT**

Article	Category	Description	Result
Default Visibility	SWC-100 SWC-108	Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously.	ISSUE FOUND
Integer Overflow and Underflow	SWC-101	If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.	
Outdated Compiler Version	SWC-102	It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.	PASS
Floating Pragma	SWC-103	Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.	ISSUE FOUND
Unchecked Call Return Value	SWC-104	The return value of a message call should be checked.	
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	SWC-105	Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract.	
SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	SWC-106	The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.	
Reentrancy	SWC-107	Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call.	
Uninitialized Storage Pointer	SWC-109	Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract.	
Assert Violation	SWC-110 SWC-123	Properly functioning code should never reach a ISSU failing assert statement. FOUN	
Deprecated Solidity Functions	SWC-111	Deprecated built-in functions should never be used. PAS	
Delegate call to Untrusted Callee	SWC-112	Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.	PASS



DoS (Denial of Service)	SWC-113 SWC-128	Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required.	PASS
Race Conditions	SWC-114	Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.	
Authorization through tx.origin	SWC-115	tx.origin should not be used for authorization.	PASS
Block values as a proxy for time	SWC-116	Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.	PASS
Signature Unique ID	SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122	Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id.	PASS
Incorrect Constructor Name	SWC-118		PASS
Shadowing State Variable	SWC-119 State variables should not be shadowed.		PASS
Weak Sources of Randomness	SWC-120	Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable.	ISSUE FOUND
Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	SWC-124   authorized user or contract accounts may write to		PASS
Incorrect Inheritance Order	SWC-125	When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have identical functions, a developer should carefully specify inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is to inherit contracts from more /general/ to more /specific/.	PASS
Insufficient Gas Griefing	SWC-126	Insufficient gas griefing attacks can be performed on contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on another contract.	PASS
Arbitrary Jump Function	SWC-127	As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value.	PASS



Typographical Error	SWC-129	A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable.	
Override control character	SWC-130	Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract.	
Unused variables	SWC-131 SWC-135	chaca randance are anomed in committy and met pool	
Unexpected Ether balance	SWC-132	Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance.	
Hash Collisions Variable	SWC-133	Using abi.encodePacked() with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision.	
Hardcoded gas amount	SWC-134	The transfer() and send() functions forward a fixed amount of 2300 gas.	
Unencrypted Private Data	SWC-136	It is a common misconception that private type variables cannot be read.	PASS



# **SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS**

Started	Monday Feb 20 2023 18:58:55 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Finished	Tuesday Feb 21 2023 23:30:14 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Mode	Standard		
Main Source File	BilliardCryptoReward.sol		

# Detected Issues

ID	Title	Severity	Status
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged



SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged



SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-108	STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-120	POTENTIAL USE OF "BLOCK.NUMBER" AS SOURCE OF RANDOMNESS.	low	acknowledged
SWC-120	POTENTIAL USE OF "BLOCK.NUMBER" AS SOURCE OF RANDOMNESS.	low	acknowledged



**LINE 198** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
197  require(currentAllowance >= amount, "ERC20: transfer amount exceeds allowance");
198  _approve(sender, _msgSender(), currentAllowance - amount);
199
200  return true;
201  }
202
```



**LINE 220** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
219 {
220    _approve(_msgSender(), spender, _allowances[_msgSender()][spender] + addedValue);
221    return true;
222  }
223
224
```



**LINE 245** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
244    require(currentAllowance >= subtractedValue, "ERC20: decreased allowance below
zero");
245    _approve(_msgSender(), spender, currentAllowance - subtractedValue);
246
247    return true;
248  }
249
```



**LINE 276** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
275    require(senderBalance >= amount, "ERC20: transfer amount exceeds balance");
276    _balances[sender] = senderBalance - amount;
277    _balances[recipient] += amount;
278
279    emit Transfer(sender, recipient, amount);
280
```



**LINE 277** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
276   _balances[sender] = senderBalance - amount;
277   _balances[recipient] += amount;
278
279   emit Transfer(sender, recipient, amount);
280  }
281
```



**LINE 292** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
291
292  _totalSupply += amount;
293  _balances[account] += amount;
294  emit Transfer(address(0), account, amount);
295  }
296
```



**LINE 293** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
__totalSupply += amount;

293    __balances[account] += amount;

294    emit Transfer(address(0), account, amount);

295    }

296

297
```



**LINE 315** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
require(accountBalance >= amount, "ERC20: burn amount exceeds balance");

local balances[account] = accountBalance - amount;

local balances[account] = accountBalance - amount;

local burn amount exceeds balance");

local burn amount;

local burn amount exceeds balance");

local burn amount;

local bur
```



**LINE 316** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
315   _balances[account] = accountBalance - amount;
316   _totalSupply -= amount;
317
318   emit Transfer(account, address(0), amount);
319  }
320
```



**LINE 460** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
459
460 uint256 public tokenLiquidityThreshold = 1e4 * 10**18;
461
462 uint256 public genesis_block;
463 uint256 private deadline = 1;
464
```



**LINE 460** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
459
460 uint256 public tokenLiquidityThreshold = 1e4 * 10**18;
461
462 uint256 public genesis_block;
463 uint256 private deadline = 1;
464
```



**LINE 529** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
require(currentAllowance >= amount, "ERC20: transfer amount exceeds allowance");
   _approve(sender, _msgSender(), currentAllowance - amount);

return true;
}
```



**LINE 539** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
538 {
539    _approve(_msgSender(), spender, _allowances[_msgSender()][spender] + addedValue);
540    return true;
541 }
542
543
```



**LINE 550** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
549 require(currentAllowance >= subtractedValue, "ERC20: decreased allowance below
zero");
550 _approve(_msgSender(), spender, currentAllowance - subtractedValue);
551
552 return true;
553 }
554
```



**LINE 580** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
!exemptFee[recipient] &&

580 block.number < genesis_block + deadline;

581

582  //set fee to zero if fees in contract are handled or exempted

583  if (_interlock || exemptFee[sender] || exemptFee[recipient])

584</pre>
```



**LINE 589** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
588 feeswap =
589 sellTaxes.liquidity +
590 sellTaxes.marketing;
591 feesum = feeswap;
592 currentTaxes = sellTaxes;
593
```



**LINE 595** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
feeswap =
feeswap =
feeswap.
taxes.liquidity +
feesum.right
feesum = feeswap;
feesum = feeswap;
feesum.right
feeswap = feeswap = feeswap;
feesum.right
feeswap = feeswap = feeswap;
feesum.right
feeswap = feeswap;
feesum.right
feeswap = feeswap;
feeswap = feeswa
```



**LINE 604** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
603
604 fee = (amount * feesum) / 100;
605
606 //send fees if threshold has been reached
607 //don't do this on buys, breaks swap
608
```



**LINE 604** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
603
604 fee = (amount * feesum) / 100;
605
606 //send fees if threshold has been reached
607 //don't do this on buys, breaks swap
608
```



**LINE 611** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
610  //rest to recipient
611  super._transfer(sender, recipient, amount - fee);
612  if (fee > 0) {
613   //send the fee to the contract
614  if (feeswap > 0) {
615
```



**LINE 615** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
614 if (feeswap > 0) {
615  uint256 feeAmount = (amount * feeswap) / 100;
616  super._transfer(sender, address(this), feeAmount);
617  }
618
619
```



**LINE 615** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
614 if (feeswap > 0) {
615  uint256 feeAmount = (amount * feeswap) / 100;
616  super._transfer(sender, address(this), feeAmount);
617  }
618
619
```



**LINE 635** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
// Split the contract balance into halves
uint256 denominator = feeswap * 2;
uint256 tokensToAddLiquidityWith = (contractBalance * swapTaxes.liquidity) /
denominator;
uint256 toSwap = contractBalance - tokensToAddLiquidityWith;
```



**LINE 636** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
uint256 denominator = feeswap * 2;
uint256 tokensToAddLiquidityWith = (contractBalance * swapTaxes.liquidity) /
denominator;
uint256 toSwap = contractBalance - tokensToAddLiquidityWith;
```



**LINE 636** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
uint256 denominator = feeswap * 2;
uint256 tokensToAddLiquidityWith = (contractBalance * swapTaxes.liquidity) /
denominator;
uint256 toSwap = contractBalance - tokensToAddLiquidityWith;
```



**LINE 638** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
637 denominator;
638 uint256 toSwap = contractBalance - tokensToAddLiquidityWith;
639
640 uint256 initialBalance = IERCliquidityPairToken.balanceOf(address(liquifier));
641
642
```



**LINE 644** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
643
644  uint256 deltaBalance = IERCliquidityPairToken.balanceOf(address(liquifier)) -
initialBalance;
645  uint256 unitBalance = deltaBalance / (denominator - swapTaxes.liquidity);
646
647  uint256 marketingAmt = unitBalance * 2 * swapTaxes.marketing;
648
```



**LINE 645** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
644   uint256 deltaBalance = IERCliquidityPairToken.balanceOf(address(liquifier)) -
initialBalance;
645   uint256 unitBalance = deltaBalance / (denominator - swapTaxes.liquidity);
646
647   uint256 marketingAmt = unitBalance * 2 * swapTaxes.marketing;
648   if (marketingAmt > 0) {
649
```



**LINE 645** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
644   uint256 deltaBalance = IERCliquidityPairToken.balanceOf(address(liquifier)) -
initialBalance;
645   uint256 unitBalance = deltaBalance / (denominator - swapTaxes.liquidity);
646
647   uint256 marketingAmt = unitBalance * 2 * swapTaxes.marketing;
648   if (marketingAmt > 0) {
649
```



**LINE 647** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
646
647  uint256 marketingAmt = unitBalance * 2 * swapTaxes.marketing;
648  if (marketingAmt > 0) {
649   IERCliquidityPairToken.transferFrom(address(liquifier), marketingWallet,
marketingAmt);
650  }
651
```



**LINE 647** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
646
647  uint256 marketingAmt = unitBalance * 2 * swapTaxes.marketing;
648  if (marketingAmt > 0) {
649   IERCliquidityPairToken.transferFrom(address(liquifier), marketingWallet,
marketingAmt);
650  }
651
```



**LINE 655** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
654
655  uint256 ethPairToAddLiquidityWith = unitBalance * swapTaxes.liquidity;
656  if (ethPairToAddLiquidityWith > 0) {
657   // Add liquidity to pancake
658  addLiquidity(tokensToAddLiquidityWith, ethPairToAddLiquidityWith);
659
```



**LINE** 707

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
706  require(new_amount <= 1e5, "Swap threshold amount should be lower or equal to 1% of
tokens");
707  tokenLiquidityThreshold = new_amount * 10**decimals();
708  }
709
710  function EnableTrading() external onlyOwner {
711</pre>
```



**LINE** 707

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
706  require(new_amount <= 1e5, "Swap threshold amount should be lower or equal to 1% of
tokens");
707  tokenLiquidityThreshold = new_amount * 10**decimals();
708  }
709
710  function EnableTrading() external onlyOwner {
711</pre>
```



**LINE 728** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
727 taxes = Taxes(_marketing, _liquidity);
728 require((_marketing + _liquidity) <= 5, "Must keep fees at 5% or less");
729 }
730
731 function SetSellTaxes(
732</pre>
```



**LINE** 736

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
735  sellTaxes = Taxes(_marketing, _liquidity);
736  require((_marketing + _liquidity ) <= 5, "Must keep fees at 5% or less");
737  }
738
739  function updateMarketingWallet(address newWallet) external onlyOwner {
740</pre>
```



**LINE** 749

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
function bulkExemptFee(address[] memory accounts, bool state) external onlyOwner {
for (uint256 i = 0; i < accounts.length; i++) {
  exemptFee[accounts[i]] = state;
}

for (uint256 i = 0; i < accounts.length; i++) {
  exemptFee[accounts[i]] = state;
}
</pre>
```



**LINE** 767

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
766 ) public onlyOwner {
767  for (uint256 index; index < newAddr.length; index++) {
768  blackList[newAddr[index]] = true;
769 }
770  for (uint256 index; index < removedAddr.length; index++) {
771</pre>
```



**LINE 770** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
769 }
770 for (uint256 index; index < removedAddr.length; index++) {
771 blackList[removedAddr[index]] = false;
772 }
773 }
774
```



## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 11

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.17"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
10
11 pragma solidity ^0.8.17;
12
13 abstract contract Context {
14 function _msgSender() internal view virtual returns (address) {
15
```



## SWC-108 | STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.

**LINE 453** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "IERCliquidityPairToken" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
452 address public liquidityPairToken;
453 IERC20 IERCliquidityPairToken;
454 Liquifier public liquifier;
455
456 bool private _interlock = false;
457
```



**LINE 667** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
address[] memory path = new address[](2);
path[0] = address(this);
path[1] = liquidityPairToken;
669
approve(address(this), address(router), tokenAmount);
671
```



**LINE** 668

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
667 path[0] = address(this);
668 path[1] = liquidityPairToken;
669
670 _approve(address(this), address(router), tokenAmount);
671
672
```



**LINE 750** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
749  for (uint256 i = 0; i < accounts.length; i++) {
750   exemptFee[accounts[i]] = state;
751  }
752  }
753
754</pre>
```



**LINE** 768

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
for (uint256 index; index < newAddr.length; index++) {
    768    blackList[newAddr[index]] = true;
    769    }
    770    for (uint256 index; index < removedAddr.length; index++) {
    771    blackList[removedAddr[index]] = false;
    772</pre>
```



**LINE 771** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
for (uint256 index; index < removedAddr.length; index++) {
    771    blackList[removedAddr[index]] = false;
    772    }
    773    }
    774
    775</pre>
```



# SWC-120 | POTENTIAL USE OF "BLOCK.NUMBER" AS SOURCE OF RANDOMNESS.

**LINE 580** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The environment variable "block.number" looks like it might be used as a source of randomness. Note that the values of variables like coinbase, gaslimit, block number and timestamp are predictable and can be manipulated by a malicious miner. Also keep in mind that attackers know hashes of earlier blocks. Don't use any of those environment variables as sources of randomness and be aware that use of these variables introduces a certain level of trust into miners.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
579 !exemptFee[recipient] &&
580 block.number < genesis_block + deadline;
581
582  //set fee to zero if fees in contract are handled or exempted
583 if (_interlock || exemptFee[sender] || exemptFee[recipient])
584</pre>
```



# SWC-120 | POTENTIAL USE OF "BLOCK.NUMBER" AS SOURCE OF RANDOMNESS.

**LINE 714** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The environment variable "block.number" looks like it might be used as a source of randomness. Note that the values of variables like coinbase, gaslimit, block number and timestamp are predictable and can be manipulated by a malicious miner. Also keep in mind that attackers know hashes of earlier blocks. Don't use any of those environment variables as sources of randomness and be aware that use of these variables introduces a certain level of trust into miners.

#### Source File

- BilliardCryptoReward.sol

```
713 providingLiquidity = true;
714 genesis_block = block.number;
715 }
716
717 function updatedeadline(uint256 _deadline) external onlyOwner {
718
```



## **DISCLAIMER**

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



# **ABOUT US**

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.