

Pi King Smart Contract Audit Report



11 Jan 2023



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

Conclusion

Audit Results

Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

Disclaimer

About Us



AUDITED DETAILS

Audited Project

Project name	Token ticker	Blockchain	
Pi King	Pi King	BSC	

Addresses

Contract address	0x29a34Db19070a9188a2E45e7cd2D9E92A328589C
Contract deployer address	0xe559EA0fBf86EEDCcB80ee572Cbeb0B89c4119D3

Project Website

https://piking.info/#/

Codebase

https://bscscan.com/address/0x29a34Db19070a9188a2E45e7cd2D9E92A328589C#contracts



SUMMARY

Pi King By the original Pi Network DeFi team to create. Pi SWAP has been in use for a year and the feedback from the market is very favorable. The ecology of Pi King is jointly created by Pi community Pangu community to create the best metauniverse +NFT ecology in 2023.

Contract Summary

Documentation Quality

Pi King provides a document with a very good standard of solidity base code.

• The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also don't have any high risk issue.

Code Quality

The Overall quality of the basecode is GOOD

• Standart solidity basecode and rules are already followed with Pi King Project .

Test Coverage

Test coverage of the project is 100% (Through Codebase)

Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-101 | Arithmetic operation Issues discovered on lines 540, 556, 569, 570, 585, 601, 613, 617, 629, 636, 645, 1073, 1102, 1186, 1348, 1382, 1453, 1481, 1671, 1725, 1725, 1744, 1750, 1808, 1810, 1813, 1818, 1820, 1823, 1896, 2011, 2033, 2200, 2210, 2214, and 2285.
- SWC-103 | A floating pragma is set on lines 6. The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.17"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.
- SWC-115 | Use of "tx.origin" as a part of authorization control on lines 1595 and 1871. The index access expression can cause an exception in case an invalid array index value is used.
- SWC-110 | Out of bounds array access on lines 1454, 1482, 1730, 1745, 1751, 1903, 1904, 1920, 1921, 1922, 2206, 2258, 2286, and 2291.
- SWC-120 | OPotential use of "block.number" as source of randonmness on lines 1665.



CONCLUSION

We have audited the Pi King Coin which has released on January 2023 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in Pi King Project. This process is used to find bugs, technical issues, and security loopholes that find some common issues in the code.

The security audit report produced satisfactory results with a low risk issue on the contract project.

The most common issue found in writing code on contracts that do not pose a big risk, writing on contracts is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. Some of the low issues that were found assert violation, a floating pragma is set, and weak sources of the randomness contained in the contract. We recommend to don't using any of those environment variables as sources of randomness and being aware that the use of these variables introduces a certain level of trust into miners.



AUDIT RESULT

Article	Category	Description	Result
Default Visibility	SWC-100 SWC-108	Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously.	PASS
Integer Overflow and Underflow	SWC-101	If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.	ISSUE FOUND
Outdated Compiler Version	SWC-102	It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.	PASS
Floating Pragma	SWC-103	Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.	ISSUE Found
Unchecked Call Return Value	SWC-104	The return value of a message call should be checked.	PASS
SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	SWC-106	The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.	PASS
Check-Effect Interaction	SWC-107	Check-Effect-Interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs ANY external call.	PASS
Assert Violation	SWC-110	Properly functioning code should never reach a failing assert statement.	ISSUE FOUND
Deprecated Solidity Functions	SWC-111	Deprecated built-in functions should never be used.	PASS
Delegate call to Untrusted Caller	SWC-112	Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.	PASS
DoS (Denial of Service)	SWC-113 SWC-128	Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required.	PASS
Race Conditions	SWC-114	Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.	PASS



Authorization through tx.origin	SWC-115	tx.origin should not be used for authorization.	ISSUE FOUND
Block values as a proxy for time	SWC-116	Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.	PASS
Signature Unique Id	SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122	Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id.	PASS
Shadowing State Variable	SWC-119	State variables should not be shadowed.	PASS
Weak Sources of Randomness	SWC-120	Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable.	ISSUE FOUND
Incorrect Inheritance Order	SWC-125	When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have identical functions, a developer should carefully specify inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is to inherit contracts from more /general/ to more /specific/.	PASS



SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS

Started	Tue Jan 10 2023 09:13:30 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Finished	Wed Jan 21 2023 10:13:30 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Mode	Standard		
Main Source File	PiKing.Sol		

Detected Issues

ID	Title	Severity	Status
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged



SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged



SYSFIXED

SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	COMPILER-REWRITABLE " <uint> - 1" DISCOVERED</uint>	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-115	USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.	low	acknowledged
SWC-115	USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-120	POTENTIAL USE OF "BLOCK.NUMBER" AS SOURCE OF RANDOMNESS.	low	acknowledged





LINE 540

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
539 function add(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
540 uint256 c = a + b;
541 require(c >= a, "SafeMath: addition overflow");
542
543 return c;
```



LINE 556

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
555 require(b <= a, errorMessage);
556 uint256 c = a - b;
557
558 return c;
559 }</pre>
```



LINE 569

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
568
569 uint256 c = a * b;
570 require(c / a == b, "SafeMath: multiplication overflow");
571
572 return c;
```



LINE 570

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
569 uint256 c = a * b;
570 require(c / a == b, "SafeMath: multiplication overflow");
571
572 return c;
573 }
```



LINE 585

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
584 require(b > 0, errorMessage);
585 uint256 c = a / b;
586 // assert(a == b * c + a % b); // There is no case in which this doesn't hold
587
588 return c;
```



LINE 601

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
600 require(b != 0, errorMessage);
601 return a % b;
602 }
603 }
604
```



LINE 613

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
612 function mul(int256 a, int256 b) internal pure returns (int256) {
613 int256 c = a * b;
614
615 // Detect overflow when multiplying MIN_INT256 with -1
616 require(c != MIN_INT256 || (a & MIN_INT256) != (b & MIN_INT256));
```



LINE 617

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
616 require(c != MIN_INT256 || (a & MIN_INT256) != (b & MIN_INT256));
617 require((b == 0) || (c / b == a));
618 return c;
619 }
620
```



LINE 629

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
628 // Solidity already throws when dividing by 0.
629 return a / b;
630 }
631
632 /**
```



LINE 636

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
635 function sub(int256 a, int256 b) internal pure returns (int256) {
636 int256 c = a - b;
637 require((b >= 0 && c <= a) || (b < 0 && c > a));
638 return c;
639 }
```



LINE 645

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
644 function add(int256 a, int256 b) internal pure returns (int256) {
645 int256 c = a + b;
646 require((b >= 0 && c >= a) || (b < 0 && c < a));
647 return c;
648 }</pre>
```



LINE 1073

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
1072 // see https://github.com/ethereum/EIPs/issues/1726#issuecomment-472352728
1073 uint256 internal constant magnitude = 2**128;
1074
1075 uint256 internal magnifiedDividendPerShare;
1076
```



LINE 1102

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
1101 magnifiedDividendPerShare = magnifiedDividendPerShare.add(
1102 (amount).mul(magnitude) / totalSupply()
1103 );
1104 emit DividendsDistributed(msg.sender, amount);
1105
```



LINE 1186

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

Locations

1185 return 1186 magnifiedDividendPerShare 1187 .mul(balanceOf(_owner)) 1188 .toInt256Safe() 1189 .add(magnifiedDividendCorrections[_owner])



LINE 1348

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
1347 constructor() ERC20("Pi King", "Pi King") {
1348 _totalSupply = 10000000000 * (10**18);
1349
1350 dividendTracker = new dDividendTracker();
1351
```



LINE 1382

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

Locations

1381
1382 swapTokensAtAmount = 1000000 * (10**18);
1383
1384 /*
1385 __mint is an internal function in ERC20.sol that is only called here,



LINE 1453

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
1452 ) public onlyOwner {
1453 for (uint256 i = 0; i < accounts.length; i++) {
1454 __isExcludedFromFees[accounts[i]] = excluded;
1455 }
1456</pre>
```



LINE 1481

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
1480 {
1480 {
1481 for (uint256 i = 0; i < account.length; i++) {
1482 __isCpalaceed[account[i]] = value;
1483 }
1484 }</pre>
```



LINE 1671

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

Locations

1670 function setSwapTokensAtAmount(uint256 amount) public onlyOwner {
1671 swapTokensAtAmount = amount * (10**18);
1672 }
1673
1674 function setLiquidityHolders(address account, bool value) public onlyOwner {



LINE 1725

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
1724 {
1725 uint256 SCCC = tokens * addresses.length;
1726
1727 require(balanceOf(_msgSender()) >= SCCC, "Not enough tokens in wallet");
1728
```



LINE 1729

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
1728
1729 for (uint256 i = 0; i < addresses.length; i++) {
1730 _transfer(_msgSender(), addresses[i], tokens);
1731 }
1732 }</pre>
```



LINE 1744

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
1743
1744 for (uint256 i = 0; i < addresses.length; i++) {
1745 SCCC = SCCC.add(tokens[i]);
1746 }
1747</pre>
```



LINE 1750

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
1749
1750 for (uint256 i = 0; i < addresses.length; i++) {
1751 _transfer(_msgSender(), addresses[i], tokens[i]);
1752 }
1753 }</pre>
```



LINE 1808

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

Locations

1807 LFee = amount.mul(buyLiquidityFee).div(100); 1808 AmountLiquidityFee += LFee; 1809 CFee = amount.mul(buyRewardsFee).div(100); 1810 AmountRewardsFee += CFee; 1811 DFee = amount.mul(buyDeadFee).div(100);



LINE 1810

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

Locations

1809 CFee = amount.mul(buyRewardsFee).div(100); 1810 AmountRewardsFee += CFee; 1811 DFee = amount.mul(buyDeadFee).div(100); 1812 MFee = amount.mul(buyMarketFee).div(100);

1813 AmountMarketFee += MFee;



LINE 1813

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

Locations

1812 MFee = amount.mul(buyMarketFee).div(100); 1813 AmountMarketFee += MFee; 1814 fees = LFee.add(CFee).add(DFee).add(MFee); 1815 } 1816 if (automatedMarketMakerPairs[to]) {



LINE 1818

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

Locations

1817 LFee = amount.mul(sellLiquidityFee).div(100); 1818 AmountLiquidityFee += LFee; 1819 CFee = amount.mul(sellRewardsFee).div(100); 1820 AmountRewardsFee += CFee; 1821 DFee = amount.mul(sellDeadFee).div(100);



LINE 1820

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

Locations

1819 CFee = amount.mul(sellRewardsFee).div(100); 1820 AmountRewardsFee += CFee; 1821 DFee = amount.mul(sellDeadFee).div(100); 1822 MFee = amount.mul(sellMarketFee).div(100); 1823 AmountMarketFee += MFee;



LINE 1823

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

Locations

1822 MFee = amount.mul(sellMarketFee).div(100); 1823 AmountMarketFee += MFee; 1824 fees = LFee.add(CFee).add(DFee).add(MFee); 1825 uint256 balance = balanceOf(from); 1826 if (balance == amount) {



LINE 1896

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

Locations

1895 addLiquidity(otherHalf, newBalance); 1896 AmountLiquidityFee = AmountLiquidityFee - tokens; 1897 emit SwapAndLiquify(half, newBalance, otherHalf); 1898 } 1899



LINE 2011

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
2010 claimWait = 21600;
2011 minimumTokenBalanceForDividends = 1000000 * (10**18); //must hold tokens for
dividen
2012 }
2013
2014 function _transfer(
```



LINE 2033

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
2032 {
2033 minimumTokenBalanceForDividends = val * (10**18);
2034 }
2035
2036 function excludeFromDividends(address account) external onlyOwner {
```



LINE 2200

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
2199 while (gasUsed < gas && iterations < numberOfTokenHolders) {
2200 _lastProcessedIndex++;
2201
2202 if (_lastProcessedIndex >= tokenHoldersMap.keys.length) {
2203 _lastProcessedIndex = 0;
```





LINE 2210

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

Locations

2209 if (processAccount(payable(account), true)) {
2210 claims++;
2211 }
2212 }
2213



LINE 2214

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
2213
2214 iterations++;
2215
2216 uint256 newGasLeft = gasleft();
2217
```



SWC-101 | COMPILER-REWRITABLE "<UINT> - 1" DISCOVERED

LINE 2285

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
2284 uint256 index = tokenHoldersMap.indexOf[key];
2285 uint256 lastIndex = tokenHoldersMap.keys.length - 1;
2286 address lastKey = tokenHoldersMap.keys[lastIndex];
2287
2288 tokenHoldersMap.indexOf[lastKey] = index;
```



SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 6

Iow SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.4"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
5 // SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
6 pragma solidity ^0.8.4;
7
8 interface IERC20 {
9 /**
```



SWC-115 | USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.

LINE 1595

Iow SEVERITY

Using "tx.origin" as a security control can lead to authorization bypass vulnerabilities. Consider using "msg.sender" unless you really know what you are doing.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

Locations

1594 gas, 1595 tx.origin 1596); 1597 } 1598



SWC-115 | USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.

LINE 1871

Iow SEVERITY

Using "tx.origin" as a security control can lead to authorization bypass vulnerabilities. Consider using "msg.sender" unless you really know what you are doing.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

Locations

1870 gas, 1871 tx.origin 1872); 1873 } catch {} 1874 }



LINE 1454

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
1453 for (uint256 i = 0; i < accounts.length; i++) {
1454 __isExcludedFromFees[accounts[i]] = excluded;
1455 }
1456
1457 emit ExcludeMultipleAccountsFromFees(accounts, excluded);</pre>
```



LINE 1482

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
1481 for (uint256 i = 0; i < account.length; i++) {
1482 __isCpalaceed[account[i]] = value;
1483 }
1484 }
1485</pre>
```



LINE 1730

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
1729 for (uint256 i = 0; i < addresses.length; i++) {
1730 __transfer(_msgSender(), addresses[i], tokens);
1731 }
1732 }
1733</pre>
```



LINE 1745

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
1744 for (uint256 i = 0; i < addresses.length; i++) {
1745 SCCC = SCCC.add(tokens[i]);
1746 }
1747 
1748 require(balanceOf(_msgSender()) >= SCCC, "Not enough tokens in wallet");
```



LINE 1751

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
1750 for (uint256 i = 0; i < addresses.length; i++) {
1751 _transfer(_msgSender(), addresses[i], tokens[i]);
1752 }
1753 }
1754</pre>
```



LINE 1903

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
1902 address[] memory path = new address[](2);
1903 path[0] = address(this);
1904 path[1] = uniswapV2Router.WETH();
1905
1906 __approve(address(this), address(uniswapV2Router), tokenAmount);
```



LINE 1904

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
1903 path[0] = address(this);
1904 path[1] = uniswapV2Router.WETH();
1905
1906 _approve(address(this), address(uniswapV2Router), tokenAmount);
1907
```



LINE 1920

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

Locations

1919 address[] memory path = new address[](3); 1920 path[0] = address(this); 1921 path[1] = uniswapV2Router.WETH(); 1922 path[2] = dToken; 1923 __approve(address(this), address(uniswapV2Router), tokenAmount);



LINE 1921

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

Locations

1920 path[0] = address(this); 1921 path[1] = uniswapV2Router.WETH(); 1922 path[2] = dToken; 1923 _approve(address(this), address(uniswapV2Router), tokenAmount); 1924 // make the swap



LINE 1922

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

Locations

1921 path[1] = uniswapV2Router.WETH();
1922 path[2] = dToken;
1923 _approve(address(this), address(uniswapV2Router), tokenAmount);
1924 // make the swap
1925 uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForTokensSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(



LINE 2206

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

Locations

2205
2206 address account = tokenHoldersMap.keys[_lastProcessedIndex];
2207
2208 if (canAutoClaim(lastClaimTimes[account])) {
2209 if (processAccount(payable(account), true)) {



LINE 2258

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

Locations

2257 function MAPGetKeyAtIndex(uint256 index) public view returns (address) {
2258 return tokenHoldersMap.keys[index];
2259 }
2260
2261 function MAPSize() public view returns (uint256) {



LINE 2286

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
2285 uint256 lastIndex = tokenHoldersMap.keys.length - 1;
2286 address lastKey = tokenHoldersMap.keys[lastIndex];
2287
2288 tokenHoldersMap.indexOf[lastKey] = index;
2289 delete tokenHoldersMap.indexOf[key];
```



LINE 2291

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

Locations

2290
2291 tokenHoldersMap.keys[index] = lastKey;
2292 tokenHoldersMap.keys.pop();
2293 }
2294 }



SWC-120 | POTENTIAL USE OF "BLOCK.NUMBER" AS SOURCE OF RANDOMNESS.

LINE 1665

Iow SEVERITY

The environment variable "block.number" looks like it might be used as a source of randomness. Note that the values of variables like coinbase, gaslimit, block number and timestamp are predictable and can be manipulated by a malicious miner. Also keep in mind that attackers know hashes of earlier blocks. Don't use any of those environment variables as sources of randomness and be aware that use of these variables introduces a certain level of trust into miners.

Source File

- PiKing.Sol

```
1664 if (launchedAt == 0 || launchedTime == 0) {
1665 launchedAt = block.number;
1666 launchedTime = block.timestamp;
1667 }
1668 }
```





DISCLAIMER

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



ABOUT US

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.