

Kodexa

Smart Contract Audit Report





# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### | Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

### Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

### Conclusion

### | Audit Results

### Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

### Disclaimer

### About Us



# **AUDITED DETAILS**

# Audited Project

Project name	Token ticker	Blockchain	
Kodexa	Kodexa	Binance Smart Chain	

# Addresses

Contract address	0xb007549db2a335364dfdce86001ee3b081051f03	
Contract deployer address	0xC5a80c2F0BEe434362cdf3b97a19726DC7A98424	

# Project Website

https://mosaicalpha.com/

# Codebase

https://bscscan.com/address/0xb007549db2a335364dfdce86001ee3b081051f03#code



## **SUMMARY**

DeFi Solutions With Science and Fantasy We have designed our decentralized financial solutions to be as comfortable to use as standard banking solutions are. With our easy-to-use platform and managed token basket features, we are eager to open the world of crypto to everyone. To contribute to the increase of financial awareness around the world, we are publishing educational content about the crypto world for every user level. We have created a whole new level of asset management for professional crypto traders.

## Contract Summary

#### **Documentation Quality**

Kodexa provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

• The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also dont have any high risk issue.

#### **Code Quality**

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

 Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by Kodexa with the discovery of several low issues.

#### Test Coverage

Test coverage of the project is 100% (Through Codebase)

## Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-100 SWC-108 | Explicitly define visibility for all state variables on lines 937.
- SWC-101 | It is recommended to use vetted safe math libraries for arithmetic operations consistently on lines 567, 586, 608, 641, 643, 664, 665, 690, 692, 797, 865, 889, 1052, 1090, 1129, 1131, 1156, 1158, 1275, 1303, 1304, 1090, 1131, 1158, 1303 and 1304.
- SWC-103 | Pragma statements can be allowed to float when a contract is intended on lines 8.
- SWC-110 SWC-123 | It is recommended to use of revert(), assert(), and require() in Solidity, and the new REVERT opcode in the EVM on lines 935, 936, 1201, 1202, 866, 866, 890, 1053, 1130, 1131, 1131, 1157, 1158, 1158, 1276, 1277, 1277, 1278, 1303, 1303, 1304 and 1304.



# CONCLUSION

We have audited the Kodexa project released on January 2023 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in Kodexa Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides satisfactory results with low-risk issues.

The Kodexa smart contract code issues do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues are that a floating pragma is set, state variable visibility is not set, public state variable with array type causing reachable exception by default, and out-of-bounds array access. The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". Specifying a fixed compiler version is recommended to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code. State variable visibility is not set, the best practice is to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "rolesMap" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.



# **AUDIT RESULT**

Article	Category	Category Description		
Default Visibility	SWC-100 SWC-108	Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously.  ISSUE FOUNI		
Integer Overflow and Underflow	SWC-101	If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.	ISSUE FOUND	
Outdated Compiler Version	SWC-102	It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.	PASS	
Floating Pragma	SWC-103	Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.	ISSUE FOUND	
Unchecked Call Return Value	SWC-104	The return value of a message call should be checked.	PASS	
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	SWC-105	Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract.	PASS	
SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	SWC-106	The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.	PASS	
Reentrancy	SWC-107	Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call.	PASS	
Uninitialized Storage Pointer	SWC-109	Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract.	PASS	
Assert Violation	SWC-110 SWC-123	Properly functioning code should never reach a failing assert statement.	ld never reach a ISSUE FOUND	
Deprecated Solidity Functions	SWC-111	Deprecated built-in functions should never be used.	PASS	
Delegate call to Untrusted Callee	SWC-112	Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.	PASS	



DoS (Denial of Service)	SWC-113 SWC-128			
Race Conditions	SWC-114 Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.		PASS	
Authorization through tx.origin	SWC-115	tx.origin should not be used for authorization.	used for authorization. PASS	
Block values as a proxy for time	SWC-116	Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.		
Signature Unique ID	SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122 SWC-122 SWC-122 Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id.		PASS	
Incorrect Constructor Name	SWC-118		PASS	
Shadowing State Variable	SWC-119   State variables should not be shadowed.		PASS	
Weak Sources of Randomness	SWC-120	C-120 Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable.		
Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	SWC-124   user or contract accounts may write to sensitive storage		PASS	
Incorrect Inheritance Order  When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have identical functions, a developer should carefully specify inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is to inherit contracts from more /general/ to more /specific/.		PASS		
Insufficient Gas Griefing	SWC-126 contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on		PASS	
Arbitrary Jump Function	SWC-127	As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value.	PASS	



Typographical Error	SWC-129	A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable.	
Override control character	SWC-130	Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract.	
Unused variables	iables SWC-131 Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue.		PASS
Unexpected Ether balance	SWC-132	Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance.	
Hash Collisions Variable	SWC-133	Using abi.encodePacked() with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision.	
Hardcoded gas amount	SWC-134		PASS
Unencrypted Private Data	SWC-136		PASS



# **SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS**

Started	Saturday Dec 18 2021 03:12:25 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Finished	Sunday Dec 19 2021 05:29:48 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Mode	Standard		
Main Source File	KodexaToken.sol		

# Detected Issues

ID	Title	Severity	Status
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged



SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	COMPILER-REWRITABLE " <uint> - 1" DISCOVERED</uint>	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	COMPILER-REWRITABLE " <uint> - 1" DISCOVERED</uint>	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	COMPILER-REWRITABLE " <uint> - 1" DISCOVERED</uint>	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	COMPILER-REWRITABLE " <uint> - 1" DISCOVERED</uint>	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	COMPILER-REWRITABLE " <uint> - 1" DISCOVERED</uint>	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-108	STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	PUBLIC STATE VARIABLE WITH ARRAY TYPE CAUSING REACHABLE EXCEPTION BY DEFAULT.	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	PUBLIC STATE VARIABLE WITH ARRAY TYPE CAUSING REACHABLE EXCEPTION BY DEFAULT.	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	PUBLIC STATE VARIABLE WITH ARRAY TYPE CAUSING REACHABLE EXCEPTION BY DEFAULT.	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	PUBLIC STATE VARIABLE WITH ARRAY TYPE CAUSING REACHABLE EXCEPTION BY DEFAULT.	low	acknowledged



SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged



**LINE 567** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
566 unchecked {
567 _approve(sender, _msgSender(), currentAllowance - amount);
568 }
569
570 return true;
571
```



**LINE 586** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol



**LINE 608** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
607 unchecked {
608  _approve(_msgSender(), spender, currentAllowance - subtractedValue);
609  }
610
611  return true;
612
```



**LINE 641** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
640 unchecked {
641  _balances[sender] = senderBalance - amount;
642 }
643  _balances[recipient] += amount;
644
645
```



**LINE 643** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
642 }
643 _balances[recipient] += amount;
644
645 emit Transfer(sender, recipient, amount);
646
647
```



**LINE 664** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
663
664 _totalSupply += amount;
665 _balances[account] += amount;
666 emit Transfer(address(0), account, amount);
667
668
```



**LINE 665** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
__totalSupply += amount;
665    __balances[account] += amount;
666    emit Transfer(address(0), account, amount);
667
668    __afterTokenTransfer(address(0), account, amount);
669
```



**LINE** 690

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
689 unchecked {
690 _balances[account] = accountBalance - amount;
691 }
692 _totalSupply -= amount;
693
694
```



**LINE 692** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
691 }
692 _totalSupply -= amount;
693
694 emit Transfer(account, address(0), amount);
695
696
```



**LINE** 797

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
796 unchecked {
797  _approve(account, _msgSender(), currentAllowance - amount);
798  }
799  _burn(account, amount);
800  }
801
```



**LINE 865** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
864  // query support of each interface in interfaceIds
865  for (uint256 i = 0; i < interfaceIds.length; i++) {
866   interfaceIdsSupported[i] = _supportsERC165Interface(account, interfaceIds[i]);
867  }
868  }
869</pre>
```



**LINE 889** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
888 // query support of each interface in _interfaceIds
889 for (uint256 i = 0; i < interfaceIds.length; i++) {
890  if (!_supportsERC165Interface(account, interfaceIds[i])) {
891  return false;
892  }
893
```



**LINE 1052** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1051  extRegistry = _extreg;
1052  for (uint i=0; i < _ownrs.length; i++)
1053  _addOwner(_ownrs[i]);
1054  }
1055
1056</pre>
```



**LINE 1090** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1089    uint256 intmax;
1090    unchecked { intmax = uint256(0) - 1;}
1091    uint256 tmp = rolesMap[_address] & (intmax ^ (uint256(1) << _role));
1092
1093    if (tmp == 0) {
1094</pre>
```



**LINE 1129** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1128    _unsetRole(_ownr, Roles.OWNER);
1129    for (uint i=0; i < owners.length; i++){
1130        if (owners[i] == _ownr) {
1131            owners[i] = owners.length-1];
1132            owners.pop();
1133</pre>
```



**LINE 1131** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1130    if (owners[i] == _ownr) {
1131        owners[i] = owners.length-1];
1132        owners.pop();
1133        break;
1134    }
1135
```



**LINE 1156** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1155    _unsetRole(_mgr, Roles.MANAGER);
1156    for (uint i=0; i < managers.length; i++){
1157       if (managers[i] == _mgr) {
1158         managers[i] = managers[managers.length-1];
1159         managers.pop();
1160</pre>
```



**LINE 1158** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1157  if (managers[i] == _mgr) {
1158    managers[i] = managers[managers.length-1];
1159    managers.pop();
1160    break;
1161  }
1162
```



**LINE 1275** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1274  if (contractWhitelist[_address]) return true;
1275  for (uint256 i = 0; i < secondaryWhitelistAddresses.length; i++) {
1276   if (secondaryWhitelistAddresses[i] != address(0)) {
1277   (bool success, bytes memory data) =
   secondaryWhitelistAddresses[i].staticcall(abi.encodeWithSignature(secondaryWhitelistCallS trings[i], _address));
1278   if (success == true && data[31] > 0) return true;
1279
```



**LINE 1303** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1302 require(_idx < secondaryWhitelistAddresses.length);
1303 secondaryWhitelistAddresses[_idx] =
secondaryWhitelistAddresses[secondaryWhitelistAddresses.length - 1];
1304 secondaryWhitelistCallStrings[_idx] =
secondaryWhitelistCallStrings[secondaryWhitelistCallStrings.length - 1];
1305 secondaryWhitelistAddresses.pop();
1306 secondaryWhitelistCallStrings.pop();
1307</pre>
```



**LINE 1304** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1303 secondaryWhitelistAddresses[_idx] =
secondaryWhitelistAddresses[secondaryWhitelistAddresses.length - 1];
1304 secondaryWhitelistCallStrings[_idx] =
secondaryWhitelistCallStrings[secondaryWhitelistCallStrings.length - 1];
1305 secondaryWhitelistAddresses.pop();
1306 secondaryWhitelistCallStrings.pop();
1307 }
1308
```



**LINE 1090** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1089  uint256 intmax;
1090  unchecked { intmax = uint256(0) - 1; }
1091  uint256 tmp = rolesMap[_address] & (intmax ^ (uint256(1) << _role));
1092
1093  if (tmp == 0) {
1094</pre>
```



**LINE 1131** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1130  if (owners[i] == _ownr) {
1131   owners[i] = owners.length-1];
1132   owners.pop();
1133   break;
1134  }
1135
```



**LINE 1158** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1157    if (managers[i] == _mgr) {
1158        managers[i] = managers[managers.length-1];
1159        managers.pop();
1160        break;
1161    }
1162
```



**LINE 1303** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1302 require(_idx < secondaryWhitelistAddresses.length);
1303 secondaryWhitelistAddresses[_idx] =
secondaryWhitelistAddresses[secondaryWhitelistAddresses.length - 1];
1304 secondaryWhitelistCallStrings[_idx] =
secondaryWhitelistCallStrings[secondaryWhitelistCallStrings.length - 1];
1305 secondaryWhitelistAddresses.pop();
1306 secondaryWhitelistCallStrings.pop();
1307</pre>
```



# SWC-101 | COMPILER-REWRITABLE "<UINT> - 1" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1304** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1303 secondaryWhitelistAddresses[_idx] =
secondaryWhitelistAddresses[secondaryWhitelistAddresses.length - 1];
1304 secondaryWhitelistCallStrings[_idx] =
secondaryWhitelistCallStrings[secondaryWhitelistCallStrings.length - 1];
1305 secondaryWhitelistAddresses.pop();
1306 secondaryWhitelistCallStrings.pop();
1307 }
1308
```



# SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 8

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
7
8  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
9
10  /**
11  * @dev Provides information about the current execution context, including the
12
```



# SWC-108 | STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.

**LINE 937** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "rolesMap" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

#### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
936 address[] public owners;
937 mapping(address => uint256) rolesMap;
938 address public extRegistry;
939
940 function hasRole(address _address, uint8 _role) external view returns (bool) {
941
```



**LINE 935** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The public state variable "managers" in "OwnableManageableChainableRoles" contract has type "address[]" and can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
contract OwnableManageableChainableRoles is IExternalOwnerManagerRegistry {
   address[] public managers;
   address[] public owners;
   mapping(address => uint256) rolesMap;
   address public extRegistry;
   address public extRegistry;
```



**LINE 936** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The public state variable "owners" in "OwnableManageableChainableRoles" contract has type "address[]" and can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
935 address[] public managers;
936 address[] public owners;
937 mapping(address => uint256) rolesMap;
938 address public extRegistry;
939
940
```



**LINE 1201** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The public state variable "secondaryWhitelistAddresses" in "KodexaToken" contract has type "address[]" and can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1200 bool private _locked;
1201 address[] public secondaryWhitelistAddresses;
1202 string[] public secondaryWhitelistCallStrings;
1203
1204 constructor(
1205
```



**LINE 1202** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The public state variable "secondaryWhitelistCallStrings" in "KodexaToken" contract has type "string[]" and can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1201 address[] public secondaryWhitelistAddresses;
1202 string[] public secondaryWhitelistCallStrings;
1203
1204 constructor(
1205 string memory name,
1206
```



**LINE 866** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
for (uint256 i = 0; i < interfaceIds.length; i++) {
    for (uint256 i = 0; i < interfaceIds.length; i++) {
        interfaceIdsSupported[i] = _supportsERC165Interface(account, interfaceIds[i]);
    }
        867     }
        868     }
        869
        870</pre>
```



**LINE 866** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
for (uint256 i = 0; i < interfaceIds.length; i++) {
    for (uint256 i = 0; i < interfaceIds.length; i++) {
        interfaceIdsSupported[i] = _supportsERC165Interface(account, interfaceIds[i]);
    }
        867     }
        868     }
        869
        870</pre>
```



**LINE 890** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
for (uint256 i = 0; i < interfaceIds.length; i++) {
    # supportsERC165Interface(account, interfaceIds[i])) {
    # return false;
    # supportsERC165Interface(account, interfaceIds[i])) {
    # supportsERC165Interface(account, interfaceIds[i]) {
    # supportsERC165InterfaceIds[i]) {
    # supp
```



**LINE 1053** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1052 for (uint i=0; i < _ownrs.length; i++)
1053    _addOwner(_ownrs[i]);
1054 }
1055
1056 event ExternalRegistryAddressChanged(address addr);
1057</pre>
```



**LINE 1130** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1129     for (uint i=0; i < owners.length; i++){
1130         if (owners[i] == _ownr) {
1131             owners[i] = owners.length-1];
1132             owners.pop();
1133             break;
1134</pre>
```



**LINE 1131** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1130    if (owners[i] == _ownr) {
1131        owners[i] = owners.length-1];
1132        owners.pop();
1133        break;
1134    }
1135
```



**LINE 1131** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1130    if (owners[i] == _ownr) {
1131        owners[i] = owners.length-1];
1132        owners.pop();
1133        break;
1134    }
1135
```



**LINE 1157** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1156    for (uint i=0; i < managers.length; i++){
1157       if (managers[i] == _mgr) {
1158            managers[i] = managers.length-1];
1159            managers.pop();
1160            break;
1161</pre>
```



**LINE 1158** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1157    if (managers[i] == _mgr) {
1158        managers[i] = managers[managers.length-1];
1159        managers.pop();
1160        break;
1161    }
1162
```



**LINE 1158** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1157    if (managers[i] == _mgr) {
1158        managers[i] = managers[managers.length-1];
1159        managers.pop();
1160        break;
1161    }
1162
```



**LINE 1276** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1275  for (uint256 i = 0; i < secondaryWhitelistAddresses.length; i++) {
1276   if (secondaryWhitelistAddresses[i] != address(0)) {
1277    (bool success, bytes memory data) =
   secondaryWhitelistAddresses[i].staticcall(abi.encodeWithSignature(secondaryWhitelistCallS trings[i], _address));
1278   if (success == true && data[31] > 0) return true;
1279  }
1280
```



**LINE 1277** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1276  if (secondaryWhitelistAddresses[i] != address(0)) {
1277    (bool success, bytes memory data) =
secondaryWhitelistAddresses[i].staticcall(abi.encodeWithSignature(secondaryWhitelistCallS
trings[i], _address));
1278    if (success == true && data[31] > 0) return true;
1279    }
1280  }
1281
```



**LINE 1277** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1276  if (secondaryWhitelistAddresses[i] != address(0)) {
1277    (bool success, bytes memory data) =
secondaryWhitelistAddresses[i].staticcall(abi.encodeWithSignature(secondaryWhitelistCallS
trings[i], _address));
1278    if (success == true && data[31] > 0) return true;
1279    }
1280  }
1281
```



**LINE 1278** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1277 (bool success, bytes memory data) =
secondaryWhitelistAddresses[i].staticcall(abi.encodeWithSignature(secondaryWhitelistCallS
trings[i], _address));
1278 if (success == true && data[31] > 0) return true;
1279 }
1280 }
1281 return false;
1282
```



**LINE 1303** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1302 require(_idx < secondaryWhitelistAddresses.length);
1303 secondaryWhitelistAddresses[_idx] =
secondaryWhitelistAddresses[secondaryWhitelistAddresses.length - 1];
1304 secondaryWhitelistCallStrings[_idx] =
secondaryWhitelistCallStrings[secondaryWhitelistCallStrings.length - 1];
1305 secondaryWhitelistAddresses.pop();
1306 secondaryWhitelistCallStrings.pop();
1307</pre>
```



**LINE 1303** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1302 require(_idx < secondaryWhitelistAddresses.length);
1303 secondaryWhitelistAddresses[_idx] =
secondaryWhitelistAddresses[secondaryWhitelistAddresses.length - 1];
1304 secondaryWhitelistCallStrings[_idx] =
secondaryWhitelistCallStrings[secondaryWhitelistCallStrings.length - 1];
1305 secondaryWhitelistAddresses.pop();
1306 secondaryWhitelistCallStrings.pop();
1307</pre>
```



**LINE 1304** 

## **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1303 secondaryWhitelistAddresses[_idx] =
secondaryWhitelistAddresses[secondaryWhitelistAddresses.length - 1];
1304 secondaryWhitelistCallStrings[_idx] =
secondaryWhitelistCallStrings[secondaryWhitelistCallStrings.length - 1];
1305 secondaryWhitelistAddresses.pop();
1306 secondaryWhitelistCallStrings.pop();
1307 }
1308
```



**LINE 1304** 

## **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- KodexaToken.sol

```
1303 secondaryWhitelistAddresses[_idx] =
secondaryWhitelistAddresses[secondaryWhitelistAddresses.length - 1];
1304 secondaryWhitelistCallStrings[_idx] =
secondaryWhitelistCallStrings[secondaryWhitelistCallStrings.length - 1];
1305 secondaryWhitelistAddresses.pop();
1306 secondaryWhitelistCallStrings.pop();
1307 }
1308
```



# **DISCLAIMER**

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



# **ABOUT US**

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.