



STP

Smart Contract Audit Report

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

Conclusion

Audit Results

Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

Disclaimer

About Us

AUDITED DETAILS

Audited Project

Project name	Token ticker	Blockchain
STP	STPT	Ethereum

Addresses

Contract address	0xDe7D85157d9714EADf595045CC12Ca4A5f3E2aDb
Contract deployer address	0x0E5b92089f5f8D4cFA17fCe6035227344C8f0Dd9

Project Website

<https://stp.network/>

Codebase

<https://etherscan.io/address/0xDe7D85157d9714EADf595045CC12Ca4A5f3E2aDb#code>

SUMMARY

STPT is an ecosystem optimized for DAOs. It launched Verse Network, a full suite of native tools and infrastructures facilitating efficient decentralized decision-making for users, communities and organizations to streamline the creation and management of DAOs. Through Verse Network, users can access a suite of no-code DAO tools to launch and manage their DAOs on a range of blockchains.

Contract Summary

Documentation Quality

STPT provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

- The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also dont have any high risk issue.

Code Quality

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

- Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by STPT with the discovery of several low issues.

Test Coverage

Test coverage of the project is 100% (Through Codebase)

Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-100 SWC-108 | Explicitly define visibility for all state variables on lines 63, 65 and 66.
- SWC-103 | Pragma statements can be allowed to float when a contract is intended on lines 5.
- SWC-107 | It is recommended to use a reentrancy lock, reentrancy weaknesses detected on lines 154.
- SWC-110 SWC-123 | It is recommended to use of revert(), assert(), and require() in Solidity, and the new REVERT opcode in the EVM on lines 154.
- SWC-111 | It is recommended to use alternatives to the deprecated constructions on lines 11, 12, 13, 84, 91 and 142.

CONCLUSION

We have audited the STP project released on August 2019 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in STP Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides a satisfactory result with some low-risk issues.

The issues found in the STP smart contract code do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues found are floating pragma is set, all to a user-supplied address is executed, state variable visibility is not set, use of the "constant" state mutability modifier is deprecated, and requirement violation. We remind using "constant" as a state mutability modifier in the function "balanceOf" is disallowed as of Solidity version 0.5.0. Use "view" instead. . It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

AUDIT RESULT

Article	Category	Description	Result
Default Visibility	SWC-100 SWC-108	Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously.	ISSUE FOUND
Integer Overflow and Underflow	SWC-101	If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.	PASS
Outdated Compiler Version	SWC-102	It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.	PASS
Floating Pragma	SWC-103	Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.	ISSUE FOUND
Unchecked Call Return Value	SWC-104	The return value of a message call should be checked.	PASS
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	SWC-105	Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract.	PASS
SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	SWC-106	The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.	PASS
Reentrancy	SWC-107	Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call.	ISSUE FOUND
Uninitialized Storage Pointer	SWC-109	Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract.	PASS
Assert Violation	SWC-110 SWC-123	Properly functioning code should never reach a failing assert statement.	ISSUE FOUND
Deprecated Solidity Functions	SWC-111	Deprecated built-in functions should never be used.	ISSUE FOUND
Delegate call to Untrusted Callee	SWC-112	Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.	PASS

DoS (Denial of Service)	SWC-113 SWC-128	Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required.	PASS
Race Conditions	SWC-114	Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.	PASS
Authorization through tx.origin	SWC-115	tx.origin should not be used for authorization.	PASS
Block values as a proxy for time	SWC-116	Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.	PASS
Signature Unique ID	SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122	Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id.	PASS
Incorrect Constructor Name	SWC-118	Constructors are special functions that are called only once during the contract creation.	PASS
Shadowing State Variable	SWC-119	State variables should not be shadowed.	PASS
Weak Sources of Randomness	SWC-120	Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable.	PASS
Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	SWC-124	The contract is responsible for ensuring that only authorized user or contract accounts may write to sensitive storage locations.	PASS
Incorrect Inheritance Order	SWC-125	When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have identical functions, a developer should carefully specify inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is to inherit contracts from more /general/ to more /specific/.	PASS
Insufficient Gas Griefing	SWC-126	Insufficient gas griefing attacks can be performed on contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on another contract.	PASS
Arbitrary Jump Function	SWC-127	As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value.	PASS

Typographical Error	SWC-129	A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable.	PASS
Override control character	SWC-130	Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract.	PASS
Unused variables	SWC-131 SWC-135	Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue.	PASS
Unexpected Ether balance	SWC-132	Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance.	PASS
Hash Collisions Variable	SWC-133	Using <code>abi.encodePacked()</code> with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision.	PASS
Hardcoded gas amount	SWC-134	The <code>transfer()</code> and <code>send()</code> functions forward a fixed amount of 2300 gas.	PASS
Unencrypted Private Data	SWC-136	It is a common misconception that private type variables cannot be read.	PASS

SWC-123	REQUIREMENT VIOLATION.	low	acknowledged
---------	------------------------	-----	--------------

SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 5

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is `^0.4.21`. It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- STPTToken.sol

Locations

```
4
5 pragma solidity ^0.4.21;
6 // -----
7 // ERC Token Standard #20 Interface
8 // https://github.com/ethereum/EIPs/blob/master/EIPS/eip-20-token-standard.md
9
```

SWC-107 | A CALL TO A USER-SUPPLIED ADDRESS IS EXECUTED.

LINE 154

low SEVERITY

An external message call to an address specified by the caller is executed. Note that the callee account might contain arbitrary code and could re-enter any function within this contract. Reentering the contract in an intermediate state may lead to unexpected behaviour. Make sure that no state modifications are executed after this call and/or reentrancy guards are in place.

Source File

- STPTToken.sol

Locations

```
153 emit Approval(msg.sender, spender, tokens);
154 ApproveAndCallFallback(spender).receiveApproval(msg.sender, tokens, this, data);
155 return true;
156 }
157
158
```

SWC-108 | STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.

LINE 63

low SEVERITY

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "_totalSupply" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

Source File

- STPTToken.sol

Locations

```
62  uint8 public decimals;
63  uint _totalSupply;
64
65  mapping(address => uint) balances;
66  mapping(address => mapping(address => uint)) allowed;
67
```

SWC-108 | STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.

LINE 65

low SEVERITY

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "balances" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

Source File

- STPTToken.sol

Locations

```
64
65 mapping(address => uint) balances;
66 mapping(address => mapping(address => uint)) allowed;
67
68
69
```

SWC-108 | STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.

LINE 66

low SEVERITY

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "allowed" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

Source File

- STPTToken.sol

Locations

```
65 mapping(address => uint) balances;  
66 mapping(address => mapping(address => uint)) allowed;  
67  
68  
69 // -----  
70
```

SWC-111 | USE OF THE "CONSTANT" STATE MUTABILITY MODIFIER IS DEPRECATED.

LINE 11

low SEVERITY

Using "constant" as a state mutability modifier in function "totalSupply" is disallowed as of Solidity version 0.5.0. Use "view" instead.

Source File

- STPTToken.sol

Locations

```
10 contract ERC20Interface {
11   function totalSupply() public constant returns (uint);
12   function balanceOf(address tokenOwner) public constant returns (uint balance);
13   function allowance(address tokenOwner, address spender) public constant returns
   (uint remaining);
14   function transfer(address to, uint tokens) public returns (bool success);
15 }
```


SWC-111 | USE OF THE "CONSTANT" STATE MUTABILITY MODIFIER IS DEPRECATED.

LINE 12

low SEVERITY

Using "constant" as a state mutability modifier in function "balanceOf" is disallowed as of Solidity version 0.5.0. Use "view" instead.

Source File

- STPTToken.sol

Locations

```
11  function totalSupply() public constant returns (uint);
12  function balanceOf(address tokenOwner) public constant returns (uint balance);
13  function allowance(address tokenOwner, address spender) public constant returns
    (uint remaining);
14  function transfer(address to, uint tokens) public returns (bool success);
15  function approve(address spender, uint tokens) public returns (bool success);
16
```

SWC-111 | USE OF THE "CONSTANT" STATE MUTABILITY MODIFIER IS DEPRECATED.

LINE 13

low SEVERITY

Using "constant" as a state mutability modifier in function "allowance" is disallowed as of Solidity version 0.5.0. Use "view" instead.

Source File

- STPTToken.sol

Locations

```
12  function balanceOf(address tokenOwner) public constant returns (uint balance);
13  function allowance(address tokenOwner, address spender) public constant returns
    (uint remaining);
14  function transfer(address to, uint tokens) public returns (bool success);
15  function approve(address spender, uint tokens) public returns (bool success);
16  function transferFrom(address from, address to, uint tokens) public returns (bool
    success);
17
```

SWC-111 | USE OF THE "CONSTANT" STATE MUTABILITY MODIFIER IS DEPRECATED.

LINE 84

Low SEVERITY

Using "constant" as a state mutability modifier in function "totalSupply" is disallowed as of Solidity version 0.5.0. Use "view" instead.

Source File

- STPTToken.sol

Locations

```
83 // -----  
84 function totalSupply() public constant returns (uint) {  
85     return _totalSupply - balances[address(0)];  
86 }  
87  
88
```

SWC-111 | USE OF THE "CONSTANT" STATE MUTABILITY MODIFIER IS DEPRECATED.

LINE 91

low SEVERITY

Using "constant" as a state mutability modifier in function "balanceOf" is disallowed as of Solidity version 0.5.0. Use "view" instead.

Source File

- STPTToken.sol

Locations

```
90 // -----  
91 function balanceOf(address tokenOwner) public constant returns (uint balance) {  
92     return balances[tokenOwner];  
93 }  
94  
95
```

SWC-111 | USE OF THE "CONSTANT" STATE MUTABILITY MODIFIER IS DEPRECATED.

LINE 142

low SEVERITY

Using "constant" as a state mutability modifier in function "allowance" is disallowed as of Solidity version 0.5.0. Use "view" instead.

Source File

- STPTToken.sol

Locations

```
141 // -----  
142 function allowance(address tokenOwner, address spender) public constant returns  
(uint remaining) {  
143     return allowed[tokenOwner][spender];  
144 }  
145  
146
```

SWC-123 | REQUIREMENT VIOLATION.

LINE 154

low SEVERITY

A requirement was violated in a nested call and the call was reverted as a result. Make sure valid inputs are provided to the nested call (for instance, via passed arguments).

Source File

- STPTToken.sol

Locations

```
153 emit Approval(msg.sender, spender, tokens);
154 ApproveAndCallFallBack(spender).receiveApproval(msg.sender, tokens, this, data);
155 return true;
156 }
157
158
```

DISCLAIMER

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you (“Customer” or the “Company”) in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed’s prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an “endorsement” or “disapproval” of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any “product” or “asset” created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn’t say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.

ABOUT US

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.