

Wallphy
Smart Contract
Audit Report





# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### | Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

### Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

### Conclusion

### | Audit Results

### Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

### Disclaimer

### About Us



# **AUDITED DETAILS**

### Audited Project

Project name	Token ticker	Blockchain	
Wallphy	Wallphy	Ethereum	

## Addresses

Contract address	0x51E06c3468C230BE0aEAeAc44CD7Be5dd7Fed4D9
Contract deployer address	0x67a76c888fA3576984142227D2ea31091739853F

### Project Website

https://www.wallphy.io/

### Codebase

https://etherscan.io/address/0x51E06c3468C230BE0aEAeAc44CD7Be5dd7Fed4D9#code



### **SUMMARY**

Walletography LLC is launching an App called Wallphy which is a wallet charting app, this will enable you to search low cap gems and see quickly any untoward behaviour with Bad Characters or Bad Dev's. By purchasing Wallphy Tokens you will gain access to the app once launched.

### Contract Summary

#### **Documentation Quality**

Wallphy provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

• The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also dont have any high risk issue.

#### **Code Quality**

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

 Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by Wallphy with the discovery of several low issues.

#### **Test Coverage**

Test coverage of the project is 100% (Through Codebase)

### Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-101 | It is recommended to use vetted safe math libraries for arithmetic operations consistently on lines 118, 154, 177, 178, 217, 257, 530, 908, 908, 1096, 1134, 1134, 1140, 1140, 1146, 1146, 1212, 1214 and 1225.
- SWC-110 SWC-123 | It is recommended to use of revert(), assert(), and require() in Solidity, and the new REVERT opcode in the EVM on lines 1097, 1098, 1098, 1098, 1099, 1099, 1359 and 1360.



## CONCLUSION

We have audited the Wallphy project released on June 2022 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in Wallphy Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides a satisfactory result with some low-risk issues.

The issues found in the Wallphy smart contract code do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues found are some arithmetic operation issues and out of bounds array access which the index access expression can cause an exception in case of the use of an invalid array index value.



# **AUDIT RESULT**

Article	Category	Description	Result	
Default Visibility	SWC-100 SWC-108	Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously.  PASS		
Integer Overflow and Underflow	SWC-101	If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.	ISSUE FOUND	
Outdated Compiler Version	SWC-102	It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.	sion of the PASS	
Floating Pragma	SWC-103	Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.		
Unchecked Call Return Value	SWC-104	The return value of a message call should be checked.		
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	SWC-105	Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract.		
SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	SWC-106	The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.		
Reentrancy	SWC-107	Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call.		
Uninitialized Storage Pointer	SWC-109	Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract.		
Assert Violation	SWC-110 SWC-123	Properly functioning code should never reach a ISSUE failing assert statement.		
Deprecated Solidity Functions	SWC-111	Deprecated built-in functions should never be used.	PASS	
Delegate call to Untrusted Callee	SWC-112	Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.		



DoS (Denial of Service)	SWC-113 SWC-128	Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required.	PASS
Race Conditions	SWC-114	Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.	
Authorization through tx.origin	SWC-115	tx.origin should not be used for authorization.	PASS
Block values as a proxy for time	SWC-116	Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.	PASS
Signature Unique ID	SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122	Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id	
Incorrect Constructor Name	SWC-118	Constructors are special functions that are called only once during the contract creation.	
Shadowing State Variable	SWC-119	State variables should not be shadowed.	
Weak Sources of Randomness	SWC-120	Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable.	
Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	SWC-124	The contract is responsible for ensuring that only authorized user or contract accounts may write to sensitive storage locations.	
Incorrect Inheritance Order	When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have identical functions, a developer should carefully specify inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is to inherit contracts from more /general/ to more /specific/.		PASS
Insufficient Gas Griefing	SWC-126	Insufficient gas griefing attacks can be performed on contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on another contract.	
Arbitrary Jump Function	SWC-127	As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value.	PASS



Typographical Error	SWC-129	A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable.	PASS	
Override control character	SWC-130	Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract.		
Unused variables	SWC-131 SWC-135	Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue.		
Unexpected Ether balance	SWC-132	Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance.		
Hash Collisions Variable	SWC-133	Using abi.encodePacked() with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision.		
Hardcoded gas amount	SWC-134	The transfer() and send() functions forward a fixed amount of 2300 gas.	) functions forward a fixed amount PASS	
Unencrypted Private Data	SWC-136	It is a common misconception that private type variables cannot be read.		



# **SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS**

Started	Friday Jun 17 2022 12:07:20 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Finished	Saturday Jun 18 2022 20:11:23 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Mode	Standard		
Main Source File	Wallphy.sol		

# Detected Issues

ID	Title	Severity	Status
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged



SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged



**LINE 118** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
function add(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
  uint256 c = a + b;
  require(c >= a, "SafeMath: addition overflow");
  return c;
  return c;
}
```



**LINE 154** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
153  require(b <= a, errorMessage);
154  uint256 c = a - b;
155
156  return c;
157  }
158</pre>
```



**LINE 177** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
176
177    uint256    c = a * b;
178    require(c / a == b, "SafeMath: multiplication overflow");
179
180    return c;
181
```



**LINE 178** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
177   uint256   c = a * b;
178   require(c / a == b, "SafeMath: multiplication overflow");
179
180   return c;
181  }
182
```



**LINE 217** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
216    require(b > 0, errorMessage);
217    uint256 c = a / b;
218    // assert(a == b * c + a % b); // There is no case in which this doesn't hold
219
220    return c;
221
```



**LINE 257** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
256 require(b != 0, errorMessage);
257 return a % b;
258 }
259 }
260
261
```



**LINE 530** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
529    _owner = address(0);
530    _lockTime = block.timestamp + time;
531    emit OwnershipTransferred(_owner, address(0));
532  }
533
534
```



**LINE 908** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
907 mapping(address => bool) private _isExcludedFromFee;
908 uint256 private _tTotal = 1000000000000000 * 10**18;
909 string private _name = "Wallphy";
910 string private _symbol = "Wallphy";
911 uint8 private _decimals = 18;
912
```



**LINE 908** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
907 mapping(address => bool) private _isExcludedFromFee;
908 uint256 private _tTotal = 1000000000000000 * 10**18;
909 string private _name = "Wallphy";
910 string private _symbol = "Wallphy";
911 uint8 private _decimals = 18;
912
```



**LINE 1096** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
1095
1096 for (uint8 i = 0; i < supportersAddresses.length; i++) {
1097   _tOwned[address(this)]=_tOwned[address(this)].sub(supportersAmounts[i]);
1098   _tOwned[supportersAddresses[i]] =
   _tOwned[supportersAddresses[i]].add(supportersAmounts[i]);
1099   emit Transfer(address(this), supportersAddresses[i], supportersAmounts[i]);
1100</pre>
```



**LINE 1134** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
function setTaxFeePercent(uint256 taxFee) external onlyOwner {
  require (taxFee + _liquidityFee + _additionalTax <=25, "25 is Max Tax Threshold");
  taxFee = taxFee;
}

1136 }

1137
1138</pre>
```



**LINE 1134** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
function setTaxFeePercent(uint256 taxFee) external onlyOwner {
  require (taxFee + _liquidityFee + _additionalTax <=25, "25 is Max Tax Threshold");
  taxFee = taxFee;
}

1136 }

1137
1138</pre>
```



**LINE 1140** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
function setLiquidityFeePercent(uint256 liquidityFee) external onlyOwner {
  require (_taxFee + liquidityFee + _additionalTax <=25, "25 is Max Tax Threshold");
  liquidityFee = liquidityFee;
}

1142 }

1143
1144</pre>
```



**LINE 1140** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
function setLiquidityFeePercent(uint256 liquidityFee) external onlyOwner {
  require (_taxFee + liquidityFee + _additionalTax <=25, "25 is Max Tax Threshold");
  liquidityFee = liquidityFee;
}

1142 }

1143
1144</pre>
```



**LINE 1146** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
function setAdditionalTax(uint256 additionalTax) external onlyOwner {
  require (_taxFee + _liquidityFee + additionalTax <=25, "25 is Max Tax Threshold");
  _additionalTax = additionalTax;
}

1148 }
1149
1150</pre>
```



**LINE 1146** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
function setAdditionalTax(uint256 additionalTax) external onlyOwner {
  require (_taxFee + _liquidityFee + additionalTax <=25, "25 is Max Tax Threshold");
  _additionalTax = additionalTax;
}

1148 }
1149
1150</pre>
```



**LINE 1212** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
1211  uint256 higherTax = _taxFee.add(_additionalTax);
1212  return _amount.mul(higherTax).div(10**2);
1213  } else {
1214  return _amount.mul(_taxFee).div(10**2);
1215  }
1216
```



**LINE 1214** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol



**LINE 1225** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
1224 {
1225  return _amount.mul(_liquidityFee).div(10**2);
1226 }
1227
1228 /// @notice Checks if an address is excluded from being taxed on token transfers
1229
```



**LINE 1097** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
1096  for (uint8 i = 0; i < supportersAddresses.length; i++) {
1097    _tOwned[address(this)]=_tOwned[address(this)].sub(supportersAmounts[i]);
1098    _tOwned[supportersAddresses[i]] =
_tOwned[supportersAddresses[i]].add(supportersAmounts[i]);
1099    emit Transfer(address(this), supportersAddresses[i], supportersAmounts[i]);
1100  }
1101</pre>
```



**LINE 1098** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
1097  _tOwned[address(this)]=_tOwned[address(this)].sub(supportersAmounts[i]);
1098  _tOwned[supportersAddresses[i]] =
  _tOwned[supportersAddresses[i]].add(supportersAmounts[i]);
1099  emit Transfer(address(this), supportersAddresses[i], supportersAmounts[i]);
1100  }
1101
1102
```



**LINE 1098** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
1097  _tOwned[address(this)]=_tOwned[address(this)].sub(supportersAmounts[i]);
1098  _tOwned[supportersAddresses[i]] =
  _tOwned[supportersAddresses[i]].add(supportersAmounts[i]);
1099  emit Transfer(address(this), supportersAddresses[i], supportersAmounts[i]);
1100  }
1101
1102
```



**LINE 1098** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
1097  _tOwned[address(this)]=_tOwned[address(this)].sub(supportersAmounts[i]);
1098  _tOwned[supportersAddresses[i]] =
  _tOwned[supportersAddresses[i]].add(supportersAmounts[i]);
1099  emit Transfer(address(this), supportersAddresses[i], supportersAmounts[i]);
1100  }
1101
1102
```



**LINE 1099** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
1098  _tOwned[supportersAddresses[i]] =
  _tOwned[supportersAddresses[i]].add(supportersAmounts[i]);
1099   emit Transfer(address(this), supportersAddresses[i], supportersAmounts[i]);
1100  }
1101
1102  }
1103
```



**LINE 1099** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
1098  _tOwned[supportersAddresses[i]] =
  _tOwned[supportersAddresses[i]].add(supportersAmounts[i]);
1099   emit Transfer(address(this), supportersAddresses[i], supportersAmounts[i]);
1100  }
1101
1102  }
1103
```



**LINE 1359** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
1358  address[] memory path = new address[](2);
1359  path[0] = address(this);
1360  path[1] = uniswapV2Router.WETH();
1361
1362  _approve(address(this), address(uniswapV2Router), tokenAmount);
1363
```



**LINE 1360** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- Wallphy.sol

```
path[0] = address(this);
1360  path[1] = uniswapV2Router.WETH();
1361
1362  _approve(address(this), address(uniswapV2Router), tokenAmount);
1363
1364
```



### **DISCLAIMER**

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



### **ABOUT US**

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.