

# dotmoovs Smart Contract Audit Report



16 May 2022



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

#### Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

#### Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

#### Conclusion

#### Audit Results

#### Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

#### **Disclaimer**

#### About Us



## AUDITED DETAILS

### Audited Project

| Project name | Token ticker | Blockchain |  |
|--------------|--------------|------------|--|
| dotmoovs     | MOOV         | Ethereum   |  |

### Addresses

| Contract address  | 0x24ec2ca132abf8f6f8a6e24a1b97943e31f256a7 |  |
|---|--|--|
| Contract deployer address0x9821f6f7a268aCee08e61E8C49200357CfcdccF8 |  |  |

### Project Website

https://dotmoovs.com/

### Codebase

https://etherscan.io/address/0x24ec2ca132abf8f6f8a6e24a1b97943e31f256a7#code



## SUMMARY

Dotmoovs is a platform where people can challenge others in their sport of voice. It is powered by blockchain as well as artificial intelligence, where users can Play2Earn \$MOOV and NFTs.

### Contract Summary

#### **Documentation Quality**

dotmoovs provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

• The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also dont have any high risk issue.

#### **Code Quality**

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

• Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by dotmoovs with the discovery of several low issues.

#### Test Coverage

Test coverage of the project is 100% (Through Codebase)

### Audit Findings Summary

• SWC-100 SWC-108 | Explicitly define visibility for all state variables on lines 184 and 186.



## CONCLUSION

We have audited the dotmoovs project released on January 2023 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in dotmoovs Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides a satisfactory result with some low-risk issues.

The issues found in the dotmoovs smart contract code do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues found are some arithmetic a state variable visibility is not set. It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "totalSupply\_" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.



## AUDIT RESULT

| Article                              | Category           | Description   | Result |  |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---|--------|--|
| Default Visibility                   | SWC-100<br>SWC-108 | Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously. | ISSUE  |  |
| Integer Overflow<br>and Underflow    | SWC-101            | If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.                          | PASS   |  |
| Outdated Compiler<br>Version         | SWC-102            | It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.   | PASS   |  |
| Floating Pragma                      | SWC-103            | Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.          | PASS   |  |
| Unchecked Call<br>Return Value       | SWC-104            | The return value of a message call should be checked.   | PASS   |  |
| Unprotected Ether<br>Withdrawal      | SWC-105            | Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract.                     | PASS   |  |
| SELFDESTRUCT<br>Instruction          | SWC-106            | The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.                                   | PASS   |  |
| Reentrancy                           | SWC-107            | Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call.                              | PASS   |  |
| Uninitialized<br>Storage Pointer     | SWC-109            | Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract.                      | PASS   |  |
| Assert Violation                     | SWC-110<br>SWC-123 | Properly functioning code should never reach a failing assert statement.  | PASS   |  |
| Deprecated Solidity<br>Functions     | SWC-111            | Deprecated built-in functions should never be used.   | PASS   |  |
| Delegate call to<br>Untrusted Callee | SWC-112            | Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.  | PASS   |  |



| DoS (Denial of<br>Service)             | SWC-113<br>SWC-128            | Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required.  | PASS |
|--|-------------------------------|--|------|
| Race Conditions                        | SWC-114                       | Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.  |      |
| Authorization<br>through tx.origin     | SWC-115                       | tx.origin should not be used for authorization.  | PASS |
| Block values as a proxy for time       | SWC-116                       | Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.  | PASS |
| Signature Unique<br>ID                 | SWC-117<br>SWC-121<br>SWC-122 | Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id.  | PASS |
| Incorrect<br>Constructor Name          | SWC-118                       | Constructors are special functions that are called only once during the contract creation.   | PASS |
| Shadowing State<br>Variable            | SWC-119                       | State variables should not be shadowed.  | PASS |
| Weak Sources of<br>Randomness          | SWC-120                       | Random values should never be generated from Chain<br>Attributes or be predictable.  |      |
| Write to Arbitrary<br>Storage Location | SWC-124                       | The contract is responsible for ensuring that only authorized user or contract accounts may write to sensitive storage locations.  | PASS |
| Incorrect<br>Inheritance Order         | SWC-125                       | When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have<br>identical functions, a developer should carefully specify<br>inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is to<br>inherit contracts from more /general/ to more /specific/. |      |
| Insufficient Gas<br>Griefing           | SWC-126                       | Insufficient gas griefing attacks can be performed on contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on another contract.  | PASS |
| Arbitrary Jump<br>Function             | SWC-127                       | As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value.  | PASS |



| Typographical<br>Error        | SWC-129            | A typographical error can occur for example when the intent<br>of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable.  |      |  |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|--|------|--|
| Override control<br>character | SWC-130            | Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode<br>character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as<br>to the real intent of a contract. |      |  |
| Unused variables              | SWC-131<br>SWC-135 | Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue.   | PASS |  |
| Unexpected Ether<br>balance   | SWC-132            | Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance.   | PASS |  |
| Hash Collisions<br>Variable   | SWC-133            | Using abi.encodePacked() with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision.   | PASS |  |
| Hardcoded gas<br>amount       | SWC-134            | The transfer() and send() functions forward a fixed amount of 2300 gas.  | PASS |  |
| Unencrypted<br>Private Data   | SWC-136            | It is a common misconception that private type variables cannot be read.   | PASS |  |



## **SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS**

| Started          | Sunday May 15 2022 05:16:37 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time) |  |  |
|------------------|---|--|--|
| Finished         | Monday May 16 2022 22:50:43 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time) |  |  |
| Mode             | Standard  |  |  |
| Main Source File | DotmoovsToken.sol   |  |  |

### Detected Issues

| ID      | Title                                 | Severity | Status       |
|---------|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------|
| SWC-108 | STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET. | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-108 | STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET. | low      | acknowledged |



C

## SWC-108 | STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.

**LINE 184** 

#### **Iow SEVERITY**

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "balances" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

#### Source File

- DotmoovsToken.sol

#### Locations

```
183
184 mapping(address => uint256) balances;
185
186 uint256 totalSupply_;
187
188
```



C

## SWC-108 | STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.

**LINE 186** 

#### **Iow SEVERITY**

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "totalSupply\_" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

#### Source File

- DotmoovsToken.sol

#### Locations

185 186 uint256 totalSupply\_; 187 188 /\*\* 189 \* @dev total number of tokens in existence 190



## DISCLAIMER

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



## ABOUT US

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.