

CALO

Smart Contract Audit Report





# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### | Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

### Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

### Conclusion

### | Audit Results

### Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

### | Disclaimer

### About Us



# **AUDITED DETAILS**

## | Audited Project

| Project name | Token ticker | Blockchain          |  |
|--------------|--------------|---------------------|--|
| CALO         | CALO         | Binance Smart Chain |  |

## Addresses

| Contract address          | 0xb6b91269413b6b99242b1c0bc611031529999999 |
|---------------------------|--|
| Contract deployer address | 0xad80314c566Be4Bacbb3992F844faE914D9Fd31d |

## Project Website

https://calo.run/

### Codebase

https://bscscan.com/address/0xb6b91269413b6b99242b1c0bc611031529999999#code



### **SUMMARY**

Calo is a healthy application based on blockchain technology. Work out every day, burn your calories, participate in challenges, and earn money. CALO Token is a blockchain-based platform crypto currency with limited supply. CALO Token is the main currency of the Calo ecosystem.

### Contract Summary

#### **Documentation Quality**

CALO provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

• The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also dont have any high risk issue.

#### **Code Quality**

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

 Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by CALO with the discovery of several low issues.

#### **Test Coverage**

Test coverage of the project is 100% (Through Codebase)

### Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-101 | It is recommended to use vetted safe math libraries for arithmetic operations consistently on lines 34, 50, 67, 68, 83, 97, 111, 128, 143, 144, 162, 179, 201, 225, 249, 452, 543, 543, 927, 930, 943, 946, 959, 962, 998, 1001, 1022, 1025, 1400, 1400, 1400 and 1400.
- SWC-103 | Pragma statements can be allowed to float when a contract is intended on lines 470.
- SWC-110 SWC-123 | It is recommended to use of revert(), assert(), and require() in Solidity, and the new REVERT opcode in the EVM on lines 928, 931, 944, 947, 960, 963, 999, 1002, 1023 and 1026.
- SWC-115 | tx.origin should not be used for authorization, use msg.sender instead on lines 537, 538, 539, 540 and 541.



### CONCLUSION

We have audited the CALO project released on December 2021 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in CALO Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides satisfactory results with low-risk issues.

The issues found in the CALO smart contract code do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues found are some arithmetic operation issues, a floating pragma is set, x.origin as a part of authorization control and out-of-bounds array access which the index access expression can cause an exception in case of the use of an invalid array index value. Using "tx.origin" as a security control can lead to authorization bypass vulnerabilities. Consider using "msg.sender" unless you know what you are doing. tx.origin is a global variable in Solidity that returns the account's address that sent the transaction. Using the variable for authorization could make a contract vulnerable if an authorized account calls into a malicious contract. A call could be made to the vulnerable contract that passes the authorization check since tx.origin returns the original sender of the transaction, which in this case is the authorized account. tx.origin should not be used for authorization. Use msg.sender instead.



# **AUDIT RESULT**

| Article                              | Category  | Description   | Result         |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|----------------|
| Default Visibility                   | SWC-100<br>SWC-108  | Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously. | PASS           |
| Integer Overflow<br>and Underflow    | SWC-101   | If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.                          |                |
| Outdated Compiler<br>Version         | SWC-102   | It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.   |                |
| Floating Pragma                      | SWC-103   | Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.          | ISSUE<br>FOUND |
| Unchecked Call<br>Return Value       | SWC-104   | SWC-104 The return value of a message call should be checked.   |                |
| Unprotected Ether<br>Withdrawal      | SWC-105   | SWC-105  Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract.            |                |
| SELFDESTRUCT<br>Instruction          | SWC-106 The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users. |   | PASS           |
| Reentrancy                           | Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call.    |   | PASS           |
| Uninitialized<br>Storage Pointer     | SWC-109   |   | PASS           |
| Assert Violation                     | SWC-110 Properly functioning code should never reach a failing assert statement.            |   | ISSUE<br>FOUND |
| Deprecated Solidity Functions        | SWC-111   | Deprecated built-in functions should never be used.  PAS  |                |
| Delegate call to<br>Untrusted Callee | SWC-112 Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.                          |   | PASS           |



| DoS (Denial of Service)  | SWC-113<br>SWC-128  |   |                |
|--|---|---|----------------|
| Race Conditions  | SWC-114   | Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.   |                |
| Authorization<br>through tx.origin   | SWC-115   | tx.origin should not be used for authorization.   | ISSUE<br>FOUND |
| Block values as a proxy for time   | SWC-116   | Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.   | PASS           |
| Signature Unique<br>ID   | SWC-121   |   | PASS           |
| Incorrect<br>Constructor Name  | SWC-118   |   | PASS           |
| Shadowing State<br>Variable  | SWC-119   State variables should not be shadowed.               |   | PASS           |
| Weak Sources of<br>Randomness  | SWC-120   |   | PASS           |
| Write to Arbitrary<br>Storage Location   | SWC-124   authorized user or contract accounts may write to     |   | PASS           |
| Incorrect Inheritance Order  SWC-125  identical functions, a developer should carefully spect inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is |   | When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have identical functions, a developer should carefully specify inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is to inherit contracts from more /general/ to more /specific/. | PASS           |
| Insufficient Gas<br>Griefing   | SWC-126 contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on |   | PASS           |
| Arbitrary Jump<br>Function   | SWC-127   | As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value.   | PASS           |



| Typographical<br>Error        | SWC-129            | A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable.                                     |      |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|--|------|
| Override control<br>character | SWC-130            | Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract. |      |
| Unused variables              | SWC-131<br>SWC-135 | Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue.   | PASS |
| Unexpected Ether balance      | SWC-132            | Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance.   |      |
| SWC-133                       |                    | Using abi.encodePacked() with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision.                                   | PASS |
| Hardcoded gas<br>amount       | SWC-134            |  | PASS |
| Unencrypted<br>Private Data   | SWC-136            |  | PASS |



# **SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS**

| Started          | Saturday Dec 18 2021 21:44:22 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time) |  |  |
|------------------|---|--|--|
| Finished         | Sunday Dec 19 2021 00:24:14 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)   |  |  |
| Mode             | Standard  |  |  |
| Main Source File | Token.sol   |  |  |

## Detected Issues

| ID      | Title                               | Severity | Status       |
|---------|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------|
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED | low      | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED | low      | acknowledged |



| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED  | low | acknowledged |
|---------|--------------------------------------|-----|--------------|
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED  | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED  | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED  | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED  | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED  | low | acknowledged |
| SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED | low | acknowledged |



| ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED                   | low   | acknowledged  |
|--|---|---|
| A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.                              | low   | acknowledged  |
| USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL. | low   | acknowledged  |
| USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL. | low   | acknowledged  |
| USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL. | low   | acknowledged  |
| USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL. | low   | acknowledged  |
| USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL. | low   | acknowledged  |
| OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS                             | low   | acknowledged  |
| OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS                             | low   | acknowledged  |
| OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS                             | low   | acknowledged  |
| OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS                             | low   | acknowledged  |
| OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS                             | low   | acknowledged  |
| OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS                             | low   | acknowledged  |
| OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS                             | low   | acknowledged  |
| OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS                             | low   | acknowledged  |
| OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS                             | low   | acknowledged  |
| OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS                             | low   | acknowledged  |
|  | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.  USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.  USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.  USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.  USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.  USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.  OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS  OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.  USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.  USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.  USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.  USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.  USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.  USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.  OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS  IOW  OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS  IOW |



LINE 34

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
33  {
34    uint256 c = a + b;
35    if (c < a) return (false, 0);
36    return (true, c);
37    }
38</pre>
```



LINE 50

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
49  if (b > a) return (false, 0);
50  return (true, a - b);
51  }
52  
53  /**
54
```



LINE 67

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
66  if (a == 0) return (true, 0);
67  uint256 c = a * b;
68  if (c / a != b) return (false, 0);
69  return (true, c);
70  }
71
```



LINE 68

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
67 uint256 c = a * b;

68 if (c / a != b) return (false, 0);

69 return (true, c);

70 }

71
```



LINE 83

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
82  if (b == 0) return (false, 0);
83  return (true, a / b);
84  }
85  
86  /**
87
```



LINE 97

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
96  if (b == 0) return (false, 0);
97  return (true, a % b);
98  }
99
100  /**
101
```



**LINE 111** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
function add(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
   uint256 c = a + b;
   require(c >= a, "SafeMath: addition overflow");
   return c;
    }
}
```



**LINE 128** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
127  require(b <= a, "SafeMath: subtraction overflow");
128  return a - b;
129  }
130
131  /**
132</pre>
```



**LINE 143** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
142  if (a == 0) return 0;
143  uint256 c = a * b;
144  require(c / a == b, "SafeMath: multiplication overflow");
145  return c;
146  }
147
```



**LINE 144** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
143     uint256     c = a * b;
144     require(c / a == b, "SafeMath: multiplication overflow");
145     return c;
146     }
147
148
```



**LINE 162** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
161 require(b > 0, "SafeMath: division by zero");
162 return a / b;
163 }
164
165 /**
166
```



**LINE** 179

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
178 require(b > 0, "SafeMath: modulo by zero");
179 return a % b;
180 }
181
182 /**
183
```



**LINE 201** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
200 require(b <= a, errorMessage);
201 return a - b;
202 }
203
204 /**
205</pre>
```



**LINE 225** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
224 require(b > 0, errorMessage);
225 return a / b;
226 }
227
228 /**
229
```



**LINE 249** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
248 require(b > 0, errorMessage);
249 return a % b;
250 }
251 }
252
253
```



**LINE 452** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
451 _owner = address(0);
452 _lockTime = block.timestamp + time;
453 emit OwnershipTransferred(_owner, address(0));
454 }
455
456
```



**LINE 543** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
542 _mintable = true;
543 _numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity= (_pamount*1) / 10000; /** 0,01 % total supply */
544 }
545
546 /**
547
```



**LINE 543** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
542 _mintable = true;
543 _numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity= (_pamount*1) / 10000; /** 0,01 % total supply */
544 }
545
546 /**
547
```



**LINE 927** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
926 ) public onlyOwner {
927  for (uint256 index; index < newWhiteList.length; index++) {
928  whiteListSender[newWhiteList[index]] = true;
929  }
930  for (uint256 index; index < removedWhiteList.length; index++) {
931</pre>
```



**LINE 930** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
929  }
930  for (uint256 index; index < removedWhiteList.length; index++) {
931  whiteListSender[removedWhiteList[index]] = false;
932  }
933  }
934</pre>
```



**LINE 943** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Token.sol

```
942 ) public onlyOwner {
943  for (uint256 index; index < newWhiteList.length; index++) {
944  whiteListReceiver[newWhiteList[index]] = true;
945  }
946  for (uint256 index; index < removedWhiteList.length; index++) {
947
```



**LINE 946** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
945 }
946 for (uint256 index; index < removedWhiteList.length; index++) {
947 whiteListReceiver[removedWhiteList[index]] = false;
948 }
949 }
950
```



**LINE 959** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
958  ) public onlyOwner {
959   for (uint256 index; index < newWhiteList.length; index++) {
960   blackList[newWhiteList[index]] = true;
961  }
962   for (uint256 index; index < removedWhiteList.length; index++) {
963</pre>
```



**LINE 962** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- Token.sol

```
961 }
962 for (uint256 index; index < removedWhiteList.length; index++) {
963 blackList[removedWhiteList[index]] = false;
964 }
965 }
966
```



**LINE 998** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Token.sol

```
997 ) public onlyOwner {
998  for (uint256 index; index < newWhiteList.length; index++) {
999  whiteListBot[newWhiteList[index]] = true;
1000  }
1001  for (uint256 index; index < removedWhiteList.length; index++) {
1002</pre>
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1001** 

# **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
1000  }
1001  for (uint256 index; index < removedWhiteList.length; index++) {
1002  whiteListBot[removedWhiteList[index]] = false;
1003  }
1004  }
1005</pre>
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1022** 

# **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- Token.sol

```
1021 ) public onlyOwner {
1022  for (uint256 index; index < newWhiteList.length; index++) {
1023  whiteListPool[newWhiteList[index]] = true;
1024  }
1025  for (uint256 index; index < removedWhiteList.length; index++) {
1026</pre>
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1025** 

# **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
1024  }
1025  for (uint256 index; index < removedWhiteList.length; index++) {
1026  whiteListPool[removedWhiteList[index]] = false;
1027  }
1028  }
1029</pre>
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "\*" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1400** 

# **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
1399
1400 uint256 public maxSupply = 500 * 10**6 * 10**18;
1401
1402 IUniswapV2Router02 public immutable uniswapV2Router;
1403 address public uniswapV2Pair;
1404
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "\*" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1400** 

# **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
1399
1400 uint256 public maxSupply = 500 * 10**6 * 10**18;
1401
1402 IUniswapV2Router02 public immutable uniswapV2Router;
1403 address public uniswapV2Pair;
1404
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "\*\*" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1400** 

# **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
1399
1400 uint256 public maxSupply = 500 * 10**6 * 10**18;
1401
1402 IUniswapV2Router02 public immutable uniswapV2Router;
1403 address public uniswapV2Pair;
1404
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "\*\*" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1400** 

# **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
1399
1400 uint256 public maxSupply = 500 * 10**6 * 10**18;
1401
1402 IUniswapV2Router02 public immutable uniswapV2Router;
1403 address public uniswapV2Pair;
1404
```



# SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

**LINE 470** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.7.4"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- Token.sol

```
469
470 pragma solidity ^0.7.4;
471
472 /**
473 * @dev Implementation of the {IERC20} interface.
474
```



**LINE 537** 

# **low SEVERITY**

Using "tx.origin" as a security control can lead to authorization bypass vulnerabilities. Consider using "msg.sender" unless you really know what you are doing.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
536 _decimals = _pdecimals;
537 _feeWallet = tx.origin;
538 _mint(tx.origin, _pamount);
539 whiteListSender[tx.origin] = true;
540 whiteListReceiver[tx.origin] = true;
541
```



**LINE 538** 

# **low SEVERITY**

Using "tx.origin" as a security control can lead to authorization bypass vulnerabilities. Consider using "msg.sender" unless you really know what you are doing.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
537 _feeWallet = tx.origin;
538 _mint(tx.origin, _pamount);
539 whiteListSender[tx.origin] = true;
540 whiteListReceiver[tx.origin] = true;
541 whiteListBot[tx.origin] = true;
542
```



**LINE 539** 

# **low SEVERITY**

Using "tx.origin" as a security control can lead to authorization bypass vulnerabilities. Consider using "msg.sender" unless you really know what you are doing.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
538 _mint(tx.origin, _pamount);
539 whiteListSender[tx.origin] = true;
540 whiteListReceiver[tx.origin] = true;
541 whiteListBot[tx.origin] = true;
542 _mintable = true;
543
```



**LINE 540** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

Using "tx.origin" as a security control can lead to authorization bypass vulnerabilities. Consider using "msg.sender" unless you really know what you are doing.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
whiteListSender[tx.origin] = true;
whiteListReceiver[tx.origin] = true;
whiteListBot[tx.origin] = true;

mintable = true;
mintable = true;
muntable = true
```



**LINE 541** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

Using "tx.origin" as a security control can lead to authorization bypass vulnerabilities. Consider using "msg.sender" unless you really know what you are doing.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
540 whiteListReceiver[tx.origin] = true;
541 whiteListBot[tx.origin] = true;
542 _mintable = true;
543 _numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity= (_pamount*1) / 10000; /** 0,01 % total supply */
544 }
545
```



**LINE 928** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
927 for (uint256 index; index < newWhiteList.length; index++) {
928  whiteListSender[newWhiteList[index]] = true;
929 }
930 for (uint256 index; index < removedWhiteList.length; index++) {
931  whiteListSender[removedWhiteList[index]] = false;
932</pre>
```



**LINE 931** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
930 for (uint256 index; index < removedWhiteList.length; index++) {
931  whiteListSender[removedWhiteList[index]] = false;
932  }
933  }
934
935</pre>
```



**LINE 944** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
943 for (uint256 index; index < newWhiteList.length; index++) {
944  whiteListReceiver[newWhiteList[index]] = true;
945 }
946 for (uint256 index; index < removedWhiteList.length; index++) {
947  whiteListReceiver[removedWhiteList[index]] = false;
948</pre>
```



**LINE 947** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
946 for (uint256 index; index < removedWhiteList.length; index++) {
947  whiteListReceiver[removedWhiteList[index]] = false;
948  }
949  }
950
951</pre>
```



**LINE 960** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
959  for (uint256 index; index < newWhiteList.length; index++) {
960  blackList[newWhiteList[index]] = true;
961  }
962  for (uint256 index; index < removedWhiteList.length; index++) {
963  blackList[removedWhiteList[index]] = false;
964</pre>
```



**LINE** 963

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
962 for (uint256 index; index < removedWhiteList.length; index++) {
963  blackList[removedWhiteList[index]] = false;
964  }
965  }
966
967</pre>
```



**LINE 999** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
998 for (uint256 index; index < newWhiteList.length; index++) {
999  whiteListBot[newWhiteList[index]] = true;
1000  }
1001 for (uint256 index; index < removedWhiteList.length; index++) {
1002  whiteListBot[removedWhiteList[index]] = false;
1003</pre>
```



**LINE 1002** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
1001 for (uint256 index; index < removedWhiteList.length; index++) {
1002  whiteListBot[removedWhiteList[index]] = false;
1003  }
1004  }
1005
1006</pre>
```



**LINE 1023** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- Token.sol



**LINE 1026** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

# Source File

- Token.sol

```
for (uint256 index; index < removedWhiteList.length; index++) {
    whiteListPool[removedWhiteList[index]] = false;
    }
    1028    }
    1029
    1030</pre>
```



# **DISCLAIMER**

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



# **ABOUT US**

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.