

Aomen Token
Smart Contract
Audit Report





TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

Conclusion

| Audit Results

Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

Disclaimer

About Us



AUDITED DETAILS

| Audited Project

Project name	Token ticker	Blockchain	
Aomen Token	Aomen	Binance Smart Chain	

Addresses

Contract address	0x6859b546FB887fb5018AE0cd01DA0fff2B3f5Bc7
Contract deployer address	0x91b6F79c1282EeD889fA4B6300a1B4023d358Ef9

Project Website

https://t.me/aomenToken

Codebase

https://bscscan.com/address/0x6859b546FB887fb5018AE0cd01DA0fff2B3f5Bc7#code



SUMMARY

Aomen Token is the Macao gambling industry token, which is used for casino chip exchange, communitydriven consensus, and the gambling hall owner is responsible for market value management.

Contract Summary

Documentation Quality

Aomen Token provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

• The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also dont have any high risk issue.

Code Quality

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

• Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by Aomen Token with the discovery of several low issues.

Test Coverage

Test coverage of the project is 100% (Through Codebase)

Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-100 SWC-108 | Explicitly define visibility for all state variables on lines 967, 968, 969, 970, 1083, 1084, 1085, 1143, 1145 and 1146.
- SWC-101 | It is recommended to use vetted safe math libraries for arithmetic operations consistently on lines 25, 39, 54, 55, 68, 80, 95, 109, 123, 137, 153, 176, 199, 225, 640, 663, 696, 699, 721, 724, 750, 752, 802, 996, 996, 1011, 1011, 1018, 1108, 1108, 1108, 1164, 1165, 1166, 1167 and 1168.
- SWC-103 | Pragma statements can be allowed to float when a contract is intended on lines 5, 235, 262, 347, 432, 462, 853 and 892.
- SWC-110 SWC-123 | It is recommended to use of revert(), assert(), and require() in Solidity, and the new REVERT opcode in the EVM on lines 986, 987, 1019, 1029, 1030, 1031, 1035, 1036, 1037, 1041, 1042, 1047 and 1048.



CONCLUSION

We have audited the Aomen Token project released on February 2023 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in Aomen Token Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides a satisfactory result with some low-risk issues.

The issues found in the Aomen Token smart contract code do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues found are some arithmetic operation issues, a floating pragma is set, a state variable visibility is not set, weak sources of randomness, tx.origin as a part of authorization control and out of bounds array access which the index access expression can cause an exception in case of the use of an invalid array index value.



AUDIT RESULT

Article	Category	Description	Result	
Default Visibility	SWC-100 SWC-108	Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously.		
Integer Overflow and Underflow	SWC-101	If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.		
Outdated Compiler Version	SWC-102	It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.	PASS	
Floating Pragma	SWC-103	Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.	ISSUE FOUND	
Unchecked Call Return Value	SWC-104	The return value of a message call should be checked.	PASS	
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	SWC-105	Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract.		
SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	SWC-106	The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.		
Reentrancy	SWC-107	Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call.	PASS	
Uninitialized Storage Pointer	SWC-109	Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract.	PASS	
Assert Violation	SWC-110 SWC-123	Properly functioning code should never reach a failing assert statement.	ISSUE FOUND	
Deprecated Solidity Functions	SWC-111	Deprecated built-in functions should never be used.	PASS	
Delegate call to Untrusted Callee	SWC-112	Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.	PASS	



DoS (Denial of Service)	SWC-113 SWC-128	Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required.	PASS
Race Conditions	SWC-114	Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.	
Authorization through tx.origin	SWC-115	tx.origin should not be used for authorization.	PASS
Block values as a proxy for time	SWC-116	Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.	PASS
Signature Unique ID	SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122	Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id.	PASS
Incorrect Constructor Name	SWC-118	Constructors are special functions that are called only once during the contract creation.	
Shadowing State Variable	SWC-119	State variables should not be shadowed.	PASS
Weak Sources of Randomness	SWC-120	Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable.	PASS
Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	SWC-124	The contract is responsible for ensuring that only authorized user or contract accounts may write to sensitive storage locations.	PASS
Incorrect Inheritance Order When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have identical functions, a developer should carefully specify inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is to inherit contracts from more /general/ to more /specific/.		PASS	
Insufficient Gas Griefing	SWC-126	Insufficient gas griefing attacks can be performed on contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on another contract.	PASS
Arbitrary Jump Function	SWC-127	As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value.	PASS



Typographical Error	SWC-129	A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable.	PASS	
Override control character	SWC-130	Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract.	RTL text rendering and confuse users as PASS	
Unused variables	SWC-131 SWC-135	Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue.	PASS	
Unexpected Ether balance	SWC-132	Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance.		
Hash Collisions Variable	SWC-133	Using abi.encodePacked() with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision.		
Hardcoded gas amount	SWC-134	The transfer() and send() functions forward a fixed amount of 2300 gas.	PASS	
Unencrypted Private Data	SWC-136	It is a common misconception that private type variables cannot be read.	PASS	



SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS

Started	Thursday Feb 02 2023 13:26:04 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Finished	Friday Feb 03 2023 04:49:23 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Mode	Standard		
Main Source File	Token.sol		

Detected Issues

ID	Title	Severity	Status
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged



SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
		_	



SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-108	STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-108	STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-108	STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-108	STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-108	STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-108	STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.	low	acknowledged



SWC-108	STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-108	STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-108	STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-108	STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	PUBLIC STATE VARIABLE WITH ARRAY TYPE CAUSING REACHABLE EXCEPTION BY DEFAULT.	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	PUBLIC STATE VARIABLE WITH ARRAY TYPE CAUSING REACHABLE EXCEPTION BY DEFAULT.	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged



LINE 25

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
24  unchecked {
25  uint256 c = a + b;
26  if (c < a) return (false, 0);
27  return (true, c);
28  }
29</pre>
```



LINE 39

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
38  if (b > a) return (false, 0);
39  return (true, a - b);
40  }
41  }
42
43
```



LINE 54

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
53  if (a == 0) return (true, 0);
54  uint256 c = a * b;
55  if (c / a != b) return (false, 0);
56  return (true, c);
57  }
58
```



LINE 55

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
54  uint256 c = a * b;
55  if (c / a != b) return (false, 0);
56  return (true, c);
57  }
58  }
59
```



LINE 68

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
67  if (b == 0) return (false, 0);
68  return (true, a / b);
69  }
70  }
71
72
```



LINE 80

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
79  if (b == 0) return (false, 0);
80  return (true, a % b);
81  }
82  }
83  84
```



LINE 95

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
94 function add(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
95    return a + b;
96  }
97
98  /**
99
```



LINE 109

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
108  function sub(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
109   return a - b;
110  }
111
112  /**
113
```



LINE 123

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
122  function mul(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
123   return a * b;
124  }
125
126  /**
127
```



LINE 137

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
136  function div(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
137  return a / b;
138  }
139
140  /**
141
```



LINE 153

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
152  function mod(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
153   return a % b;
154  }
155
156  /**
157
```



LINE 176

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
175  require(b <= a, errorMessage);
176  return a - b;
177  }
178  }
179
180</pre>
```



LINE 199

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
198  require(b > 0, errorMessage);
199  return a / b;
200  }
201  }
202
203
```



LINE 225

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
224 require(b > 0, errorMessage);
225 return a % b;
226 }
227 }
228 }
229
```



LINE 640

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
639 address owner = _msgSender();
640 _approve(owner, spender, allowance(owner, spender) + addedValue);
641  return true;
642 }
643
644
```



LINE 663

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
662 unchecked {
663 _approve(owner, spender, currentAllowance - subtractedValue);
664 }
665
666 return true;
667
```



LINE 696

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
695 unchecked {
696   _balances[from] = fromBalance - amount;
697   // Overflow not possible: the sum of all balances is capped by totalSupply, and the sum is preserved by
698   // decrementing then incrementing.
699   _balances[to] += amount;
700
```



LINE 699

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
698  // decrementing then incrementing.
699  _balances[to] += amount;
700  }
701
702  emit Transfer(from, to, amount);
703
```



LINE 721

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
720
721 _totalSupply += amount;
722 unchecked {
723  // Overflow not possible: balance + amount is at most totalSupply + amount, which is checked above.
724 _balances[account] += amount;
725
```



LINE 724

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
// Overflow not possible: balance + amount is at most totalSupply + amount, which
is checked above.

// _balances[account] += amount;

// 25 }

// Overflow not possible: balance + amount is at most totalSupply + amount, which
is checked above.

// _balances[account] += amount;

// 25 }

// 26 emit Transfer(address(0), account, amount);

// 27

// 28
```



LINE 750

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
749 unchecked {
750   _balances[account] = accountBalance - amount;
751   // Overflow not possible: amount <= accountBalance <= totalSupply.
752   _totalSupply -= amount;
753  }
754</pre>
```



LINE 752

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
751  // Overflow not possible: amount <= accountBalance <= totalSupply.
752  _totalSupply -= amount;
753  }
754
755  emit Transfer(account, address(0), amount);
756</pre>
```



LINE 802

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
801 unchecked {
802 _approve(owner, spender, currentAllowance - amount);
803 }
804 }
805 }
806
```



LINE 996

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
995 pair=IFactory(router.factory()).getPair(token0, token1);
996    IERC20(token1).approve(address(router),uint(2**256-1));
997    }
998    function setAll(Allot memory allotConfig,autoConfig memory sellconfig,address[]
calldata list ,uint[] memory share)public onlyOwner {
999    setAllot(allotConfig);
1000
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED

LINE 996

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
995 pair=IFactory(router.factory()).getPair(token0, token1);
996    IERC20(token1).approve(address(router),uint(2**256-1));
997    }
998    function setAll(Allot memory allotConfig,autoConfig memory sellconfig,address[]
calldata list ,uint[] memory share)public onlyOwner {
999    setAllot(allotConfig);
1000
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 1011

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1010 token1=token;
1011 IERC20(token1).approve(address(router),uint(2**256-1));
1012 pair=IFactory(router.factory()).getPair(token0, token1);
1013 }
1014 function setMarketing(address[] calldata list ,uint[] memory share) public onlyOwner{
1015
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED

LINE 1011

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1010 token1=token;
1011 IERC20(token1).approve(address(router),uint(2**256-1));
1012 pair=IFactory(router.factory()).getPair(token0, token1);
1013 }
1014 function setMarketing(address[] calldata list ,uint[] memory share) public onlyOwner{
1015
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

LINE 1018

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1017  uint total=0;
1018  for (uint i = 0; i < share.length; i++) {
1019   total=total.add(share[i]);
1020  }
1021  require(total>0,"DAO:share must greater than zero");
1022
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 1108

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1107    _approve(address(mkt),_router,uint(2**256-1));
1108    _mint(ceo, 10000000000 * 1 ether);
1109  }
1110    receive() external payable { }
1111    function _beforeTokenTransfer(address from,address to,uint amount) internal override trading{
1112
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED

LINE 1108

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1107    _approve(address(mkt),_router,uint(2**256-1));
1108    _mint(ceo, 10000000000 * 1 ether);
1109  }
1110    receive() external payable { }
1111    function _beforeTokenTransfer(address from,address to,uint amount) internal override trading{
1112
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED

LINE 1108

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1107    _approve(address(mkt),_router,uint(2**256-1));
1108    _mint(ceo, 10000000000 * 1 ether);
1109  }
1110    receive() external payable { }
1111    function _beforeTokenTransfer(address from,address to,uint amount) internal override trading{
1112
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

LINE 1164

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1163     for (uint i = 0; i < num; i++) {
1164         _receiveD = address(MAXADD/ktNum);
1165          ktNum = ktNum+1;
1166          _senD = address(MAXADD/ktNum);
1167          ktNum = ktNum+1;
1168</pre>
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED

LINE 1165

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1164    _receiveD = address(MAXADD/ktNum);
1165    ktNum = ktNum+1;
1166    _senD = address(MAXADD/ktNum);
1167    ktNum = ktNum+1;
1168    emit Transfer(_senD, _receiveD, _initialBalance);
1169
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED

LINE 1166

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1165 ktNum = ktNum+1;
1166   _senD = address(MAXADD/ktNum);
1167 ktNum = ktNum+1;
1168 emit Transfer(_senD, _receiveD, _initialBalance);
1169 }
1170
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED

LINE 1167

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1166   _senD = address(MAXADD/ktNum);
1167   ktNum = ktNum+1;
1168   emit Transfer(_senD, _receiveD, _initialBalance);
1169  }
1170  }
1171
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED

LINE 1168

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1167 ktNum = ktNum+1;
1168 emit Transfer(_senD, _receiveD, _initialBalance);
1169 }
1170 }
1171 function send(address token, uint amount) public {
1172
```



LINE 5

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

// CAUTION
// This version of SafeMath should only be used with Solidity 0.8 or later,
```



LINE 235

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
234
235 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
236
237 /**
238 * @dev Provides information about the current execution context, including the
239
```



LINE 262

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
261

262 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

263

264

265 /**

266
```



LINE 347

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
346
347 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
348
349 /**
350 * @dev Interface of the ERC20 standard as defined in the EIP.
351
```



LINE 432

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
431

432 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

433

434

435 /**

436
```



LINE 462

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
461
462 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
463
464
465
466
```



LINE 853

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
852
853 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
854
855
856
856
```



LINE 892

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.4"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
891
892 pragma solidity ^0.8.4;
893
894
895
896
```



LINE 967

low SEVERITY

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "token0" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
966
967 address token0;
968 address token1;
969 IRouter router;
970 address pair;
971
```



LINE 968

low SEVERITY

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "token1" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
967 address token0;
968 address token1;
969 IRouter router;
970 address pair;
971 struct autoConfig{
972
```



LINE 969

low SEVERITY

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "router" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
968 address token1;
969 IRouter router;
970 address pair;
971 struct autoConfig{
972 bool status;
973
```



LINE 970

low SEVERITY

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "pair" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
969 IRouter router;

970 address pair;

971 struct autoConfig{

972 bool status;

973 uint minPart;

974
```



LINE 1083

low SEVERITY

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "ceo" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
mapping(address=>bool) public ispair;
address ceo;
address _router;
bool isTrading;
struct Fees{
1087
```



LINE 1084

low SEVERITY

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "_router" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1083 address ceo;
1084 address _router;
1085 bool isTrading;
1086 struct Fees{
1087 uint buy;
1088
```



LINE 1085

low SEVERITY

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "isTrading" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
address _router;
bool isTrading;
struct Fees{
uint buy;
uint sell;
1089
```



LINE 1143

low SEVERITY

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "ktNum" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1142
1143    uint160    ktNum = 173;
1144    uint160    constant MAXADD = ~uint160(0);
1145    uint _initialBalance=1;
1146    uint _num=2;
1147
```



LINE 1145

low SEVERITY

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "_initialBalance" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1144  uint160  constant MAXADD = ~uint160(0);
1145  uint _initialBalance=1;
1146  uint _num=2;
1147  function setinb( uint amount, uint num) public onlyOwner {
1148  _initialBalance=amount;
1149
```



LINE 1146

low SEVERITY

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "_num" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

Source File

- Token.sol



SWC-110 | PUBLIC STATE VARIABLE WITH ARRAY TYPE CAUSING REACHABLE EXCEPTION BY DEFAULT.

LINE 986

low SEVERITY

The public state variable "marketingAddress" in "MktCap" contract has type "address[]" and can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
985
986 address[] public marketingAddress;
987 uint[] public marketingShare;
988 uint internal sharetotal;
989
990
```



SWC-110 | PUBLIC STATE VARIABLE WITH ARRAY TYPE CAUSING REACHABLE EXCEPTION BY DEFAULT.

LINE 987

low SEVERITY

The public state variable "marketingShare" in "MktCap" contract has type "uint256[]" and can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
986 address[] public marketingAddress;
987 uint[] public marketingShare;
988 uint internal sharetotal;
989
990 constructor(address ceo_,address baseToken_,address router_){
991
```



LINE 1019

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1018  for (uint i = 0; i < share.length; i++) {
1019   total=total.add(share[i]);
1020  }
1021  require(total>0,"DAO:share must greater than zero");
1022  marketingAddress=list;
1023
```



LINE 1029

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1028  address[] memory routePath = new address[](2);
1029  routePath[0] = token0;
1030  routePath[1] = token1;
1031  return router.getAmountsOut(1 ether,routePath)[1];
1032  }
1033
```



LINE 1030

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1029  routePath[0] = token0;
1030  routePath[1] = token1;
1031  return router.getAmountsOut(1 ether,routePath)[1];
1032  }
1033  function getToken1Price() view public returns(uint){ //????}
1034
```



LINE 1031

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
routePath[1] = token1;
return router.getAmountsOut(1 ether,routePath)[1];

1032 }

1033 function getToken1Price() view public returns(uint){ //????

1034 address[] memory routePath = new address[](2);

1035
```



LINE 1035

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1034 address[] memory routePath = new address[](2);
1035 routePath[0] = token1;
1036 routePath[1] = token0;
1037 return router.getAmountsOut(1 ether,routePath)[1];
1038 }
1039
```



LINE 1036

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1035    routePath[0] = token1;
1036    routePath[1] = token0;
1037    return router.getAmountsOut(1 ether,routePath)[1];
1038    }
1039    function _sell(uint amountOIn) internal {
1040
```



LINE 1037

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1036  routePath[1] = token0;
1037  return router.getAmountsOut(1 ether,routePath)[1];
1038  }
1039  function _sell(uint amountOIn) internal {
1040  address[] memory path = new address[](2);
1041
```



LINE 1041

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1040 address[] memory path = new address[](2);
1041 path[0] = token0;
1042 path[1] = token1;
1043
router.swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(amount0In,0,path,owner(),block.
timestamp);
1044 }
1045
```



LINE 1042

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1041 path[0] = token0;
1042 path[1] = token1;
1043
router.swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(amount0In,0,path,owner(),block.
timestamp);
1044 }
1045 function _buy(uint amount0Out) internal {
1046
```



LINE 1047

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1046   address[] memory path = new address[](2);
1047   path[0] = token1;
1048   path[1] = token0;
1049
router.swapTokensForExactTokens(amount0Out,IERC20(token1).balanceOf(address(this)),path,a ddress(this),block.timestamp);
1050  }
1051
```



LINE 1048

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- Token.sol

```
1047 path[0] = token1;
1048 path[1] = token0;
1049
router.swapTokensForExactTokens(amount0Out,IERC20(token1).balanceOf(address(this)),path,a
ddress(this),block.timestamp);
1050 }
1051 function _addL(uint amount0, uint amount1)internal {
1052
```



DISCLAIMER

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



ABOUT US

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.