

Adamant Metanetwork
Smart Contract
Audit Report





# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### | Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

### Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

### Conclusion

### | Audit Results

### Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

### Disclaimer

### About Us



# **AUDITED DETAILS**

## Audited Project

Project name	Token ticker	Blockchain
Adamant Metanetwork	ADMN	Binance Smart Chain

# Addresses

Contract address	0xc40657ee2972a9c5b62DD51443c1Bc0FB45e49EA
Contract deployer address	0xcFD5E672c1E1C5C2B1D451b27638493aC437Df5d

## Project Website

https://adamantmetanetwork.com/

## Codebase

https://bscscan.com/address/0xc40657ee2972a9c5b62DD51443c1Bc0FB45e49EA#code



## **SUMMARY**

The Governance and yield generating token of the Adamant Metanetwork. Have the opportunity to earn BNB dividends, vote on governance decisions, and more within the Adamant Metanetwork!

### Contract Summary

#### **Documentation Quality**

Adamant Metanetwork provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

• The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also dont have any high risk issue.

#### **Code Quality**

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by Adamant Metanetwork with the discovery
of several low issues.

#### **Test Coverage**

Test coverage of the project is 100% (Through Codebase)

### Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-101 | It is recommended to use vetted safe math libraries for arithmetic operations consistently on lines 87, 99, 112, 113, 124, 136, 148, 152, 164, 171, 180, 800, 830, 893, 1123, 1133, 1137, 1202, 1312, 1312, 1313, 1372, 1568, 1570, 1572, 1578, 1580, 1582, 1613, 1631, 1703 and 1202.
- SWC-103 | Pragma statements can be allowed to float when a contract is intended on lines 6.
- SWC-110 SWC-123 | It is recommended to use of revert(), assert(), and require() in Solidity, and the new REVERT opcode in the EVM on lines 1129, 1175, 1203, 1208, 1296, 1297, 1299, 1300, 1301, 1302, 1304, 1305, 1306, 1307, 1318, 1322, 1373, 1638, 1639, 1658, 1659, 1671, 1672 and 1673.
- SWC-115 | tx.origin should not be used for authorization, use msg.sender instead on lines 1471 and 1600.



# CONCLUSION

We have audited the Adamant Metanetwork project released on January 2023 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in Adamant Metanetwork Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides a satisfactory result with some low-risk issues.

The issues found in the Adamant Metanetwork smart contract code do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues found are some arithmetic operation issues, a floating pragma is set, tx.origin as a part of authorization control and out of bounds array access which the index access expression can cause an exception in case of the use of an invalid array index value. We recommend avoiding "tx.origin" using "tx.origin" as a security control can lead to authorization bypass vulnerabilities. Consider using "msg.sender" unless you really know what you are doing.



# **AUDIT RESULT**

Article	Category	Description	Result	
Default Visibility	SWC-100 SWC-108	Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously.		
Integer Overflow and Underflow	SWC-101	If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.	ISSUE FOUND	
Outdated Compiler Version	SWC-102	It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.	PASS	
Floating Pragma	SWC-103	Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.	ISSUE FOUND	
Unchecked Call Return Value	SWC-104	The return value of a message call should be checked.	PASS	
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	SWC-105	Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract.	PASS	
SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	SWC-106	The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.	e it PASS	
Reentrancy	SWC-107	Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call.	ed PASS	
Uninitialized Storage Pointer	SWC-109	Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract.	PASS	
Assert Violation	SWC-110 SWC-123	Properly functioning code should never reach a ISSU failing assert statement. FOUN		
Deprecated Solidity Functions	SWC-111	Deprecated built-in functions should never be used.	PASS	
Delegate call to Untrusted Callee	SWC-112	Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.	PASS	



DoS (Denial of Service)	SWC-113 SWC-128	Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required.	PASS	
Race Conditions	SWC-114	Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.	PASS	
Authorization through tx.origin	SWC-115	tx.origin should not be used for authorization.	ISSUE FOUND	
Block values as a proxy for time	SWC-116	Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.	PASS	
Signature Unique ID	SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122	Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id.	PASS	
Incorrect Constructor Name	SWC-118	Constructors are special functions that are called only once during the contract creation.	PASS	
Shadowing State Variable	SWC-119	C-119 State variables should not be shadowed.		
Weak Sources of Randomness	SWC-120	Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable.	nin PASS	
Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	SWC-124	The contract is responsible for ensuring that only authorized user or contract accounts may write to sensitive storage locations.	PASS	
Incorrect Inheritance Order	SWC-125		PASS	
Insufficient Gas Griefing	SWC-126 Insufficient gas griefing attacks can be performed on contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on another contract.		PASS	
Arbitrary Jump Function	SWC-127	As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value.	PASS	



Typographical Error	SWC-129	A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable.	
Override control character	SWC-130	Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract.	
Unused variables	SWC-131 SWC-135	Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue.	PASS
Unexpected Ether balance	SWC-132	Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance.	
Hash Collisions Variable	SWC-133	Using abi.encodePacked() with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision.	PASS
Hardcoded gas amount	SWC-134	The transfer() and send() functions forward a fixed amount of 2300 gas.	PASS
Unencrypted Private Data	SWC-136	It is a common misconception that private type variables cannot be read.	PASS



# **SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS**

Started	Monday Jan 23 2023 14:18:35 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Finished	Tuesday Jan 24 2023 02:15:47 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Mode	Standard		
Main Source File	CoinToken.sol		

# Detected Issues

ID	Title	Severity	Status
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged



SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	COMPILER-REWRITABLE " <uint> - 1" DISCOVERED</uint>	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-115	USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.	low	acknowledged
SWC-115	USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged



SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS Iow acknowledged				
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS IOW acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS IOW acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS Iow acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS IOW acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS Iow acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS Iow acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS Iow acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS Iow acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS Iow acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS Iow acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS Iow acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS Iow acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS Iow acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS Iow acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS low acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS low acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS Iow acknowledged  SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS Iow acknowledged  SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS Iow acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS low acknowledged  SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS low acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS low acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110 OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS low acknowledged	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
	SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged



LINE 87

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
function add(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
   uint256 c = a + b;
   require(c >= a, "SafeMath: addition overflow");
   return c;
}
```



LINE 99

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
98 require(b <= a, errorMessage);
99 uint256 c = a - b;
100
101 return c;
102 }
103
```



**LINE 112** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
111
112  uint256 c = a * b;
113  require(c / a == b, "SafeMath: multiplication overflow");
114
115  return c;
116
```



**LINE 113** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
112  uint256 c = a * b;
113  require(c / a == b, "SafeMath: multiplication overflow");
114
115  return c;
116  }
117
```



**LINE 124** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
123    require(b > 0, errorMessage);
124    uint256 c = a / b;
125    // assert(a == b * c + a % b); // There is no case in which this doesn't hold
126
127    return c;
128
```



**LINE 136** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
135  require(b != 0, errorMessage);
136  return a % b;
137  }
138  }
139
140
```



**LINE 148** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
147  function mul(int256 a, int256 b) internal pure returns (int256) {
148  int256 c = a * b;
149
150  // Detect overflow when multiplying MIN_INT256 with -1
151  require(c != MIN_INT256 || (a & MIN_INT256) != (b & MIN_INT256));
152
```



**LINE 152** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
151 require(c != MIN_INT256 || (a & MIN_INT256) != (b & MIN_INT256));
152 require((b == 0) || (c / b == a));
153 return c;
154 }
155
156
```



**LINE 164** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
163 // Solidity already throws when dividing by 0.
164 return a / b;
165 }
166
167 /**
168
```



**LINE 171** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
170 function sub(int256 a, int256 b) internal pure returns (int256) {
171  int256 c = a - b;
172  require((b >= 0 && c <= a) || (b < 0 && c > a));
173  return c;
174 }
175
```



**LINE 180** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
179 function add(int256 a, int256 b) internal pure returns (int256) {
180 int256 c = a + b;
181 require((b >= 0 && c >= a) || (b < 0 && c < a));
182 return c;
183 }
184
```



**LINE 800** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
799  // see https://github.com/ethereum/EIPs/issues/1726#issuecomment-472352728
800  uint256 constant internal magnitude = 2**128;
801
802  uint256 internal magnifiedDividendPerShare;
803
804
```



**LINE 830** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
magnifiedDividendPerShare = magnifiedDividendPerShare.add(
    (amount).mul(magnitude) / totalSupply()

831    );

832    emit DividendsDistributed(msg.sender, amount);

833
834
```



**LINE 893** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
function accumulativeDividendOf(address _owner) public view override
returns(uint256) {
   return magnifiedDividendPerShare.mul(balanceOf(_owner)).toInt256Safe()
        .add(magnifiedDividendCorrections[_owner]).toUint256Safe() / magnitude;
}

895 }
896
897
```



**LINE 1123** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1122 while(gasUsed < gas && iterations < numberOfTokenHolders) {
1123    _lastProcessedIndex++;
1124
1125    if(_lastProcessedIndex >= tokenHoldersMap.keys.length) {
1126    _lastProcessedIndex = 0;
1127
```



**LINE 1133** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1132    if(processAccount(payable(account), true)) {
1133        claims++;
1134     }
1135     }
1136
1137
```



**LINE 1137** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1136
1137 iterations++;
1138
1139 uint256 newGasLeft = gasleft();
1140
1141
```



**LINE 1202** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1201  uint index = tokenHoldersMap.indexOf[key];
1202  uint lastIndex = tokenHoldersMap.keys.length - 1;
1203  address lastKey = tokenHoldersMap.keys[lastIndex];
1204
1205  tokenHoldersMap.indexOf[lastKey] = index;
1206
```



**LINE 1312** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1311
1312  uint256 totalSupply = totalSupply_ * (10**18);
1313  swapTokensAtAmount = totalSupply.mul(2).div(10**6); // 0.002%
1314
1315  // use by default 300,000 gas to process auto-claiming dividends
1316
```



**LINE 1312** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1311
1312  uint256 totalSupply = totalSupply_ * (10**18);
1313  swapTokensAtAmount = totalSupply.mul(2).div(10**6); // 0.002%
1314
1315  // use by default 300,000 gas to process auto-claiming dividends
1316
```



**LINE 1313** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
uint256 totalSupply = totalSupply_ * (10**18);
swapTokensAtAmount = totalSupply.mul(2).div(10**6); // 0.002%

1314
1315    // use by default 300,000 gas to process auto-claiming dividends
1316    gasForProcessing = 300000;
1317
```



**LINE 1372** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
function excludeMultipleAccountsFromFees(address[] calldata accounts, bool
excluded) public onlyOwner {
for(uint256 i = 0; i < accounts.length; i++) {
    _isExcludedFromFees[accounts[i]] = excluded;
}

1374 }
1375
1376</pre>
```



**LINE 1568** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
LFee = amount.mul(buyLiquidityFee).div(100);
AmountLiquidityFee += LFee;
RFee = amount.mul(buyTokenRewardsFee).div(100);
AmountTokenRewardsFee += RFee;
MFee = amount.mul(buyMarketingFee).div(100);
1572
```



**LINE 1570** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1569 RFee = amount.mul(buyTokenRewardsFee).div(100);
1570 AmountTokenRewardsFee += RFee;
1571 MFee = amount.mul(buyMarketingFee).div(100);
1572 AmountMarketingFee += MFee;
1573 DFee = amount.mul(buyDeadFee).div(100);
1574
```



**LINE 1572** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1571    MFee = amount.mul(buyMarketingFee).div(100);
1572    AmountMarketingFee += MFee;
1573    DFee = amount.mul(buyDeadFee).div(100);
1574    fees = LFee.add(RFee).add(MFee).add(DFee);
1575  }
1576
```



### SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1578** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1577   LFee = amount.mul(sellLiquidityFee).div(100);
1578   AmountLiquidityFee += LFee;
1579   RFee = amount.mul(sellTokenRewardsFee).div(100);
1580   AmountTokenRewardsFee += RFee;
1581   MFee = amount.mul(sellMarketingFee).div(100);
1582
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1580** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1579 RFee = amount.mul(sellTokenRewardsFee).div(100);
1580 AmountTokenRewardsFee += RFee;
1581 MFee = amount.mul(sellMarketingFee).div(100);
1582 AmountMarketingFee += MFee;
1583 DFee = amount.mul(sellDeadFee).div(100);
1584
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1582** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1581    MFee = amount.mul(sellMarketingFee).div(100);
1582    AmountMarketingFee += MFee;
1583    DFee = amount.mul(sellDeadFee).div(100);
1584    fees = LFee.add(RFee).add(MFee).add(DFee);
1585  }
1586
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1613** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1612    IERC20(rewardToken).transfer(_marketingWalletAddress, newBalance);
1613    AmountMarketingFee = AmountMarketingFee - tokens;
1614    }
1615
1616    function swapAndLiquify(uint256 tokens) private {
1617
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1631** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1630 addLiquidity(otherHalf, newBalance);
1631 AmountLiquidityFee = AmountLiquidityFee - tokens;
1632 emit SwapAndLiquify(half, newBalance, otherHalf);
1633 }
1634
1635
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1703** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1702    swapTokensForToken(tokens);
1703    AmountTokenRewardsFee = AmountTokenRewardsFee - tokens;
1704    uint256 dividends = IERC20(rewardToken).balanceOf(address(this));
1705    bool success = IERC20(rewardToken).transfer(address(dividendTracker), dividends);
1706    if (success) {
1707
```



# SWC-101 | COMPILER-REWRITABLE "<UINT> - 1" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1202** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1201  uint index = tokenHoldersMap.indexOf[key];
1202  uint lastIndex = tokenHoldersMap.keys.length - 1;
1203  address lastKey = tokenHoldersMap.keys[lastIndex];
1204
1205  tokenHoldersMap.indexOf[lastKey] = index;
1206
```



### SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 6

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
5  // SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
6  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
7  
8  abstract contract Context {
9  function _msgSender() internal view virtual returns (address) {
10
```



# SWC-115 | USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.

**LINE 1471** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

Using "tx.origin" as a security control can lead to authorization bypass vulnerabilities. Consider using "msg.sender" unless you really know what you are doing.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1470 (uint256 iterations, uint256 claims, uint256 lastProcessedIndex) =
dividendTracker.process(gas);
1471 emit ProcessedDividendTracker(iterations, claims, lastProcessedIndex, false, gas,
tx.origin);
1472 }
1473
1474 function claim() external {
1475
```



# SWC-115 | USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.

**LINE 1600** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

Using "tx.origin" as a security control can lead to authorization bypass vulnerabilities. Consider using "msg.sender" unless you really know what you are doing.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1599 try dividendTracker.process(gas) returns (uint256 iterations, uint256 claims,
uint256 lastProcessedIndex) {
1600 emit ProcessedDividendTracker(iterations, claims, lastProcessedIndex, true, gas,
tx.origin);
1601 }
1602 catch {
1603
1604
```



**LINE 1129** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1128
1129   address account = tokenHoldersMap.keys[_lastProcessedIndex];
1130
1131   if(canAutoClaim(lastClaimTimes[account])) {
1132   if(processAccount(payable(account), true)) {
1133
```



**LINE 1175** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
function MAPGetKeyAtIndex(uint index) public view returns (address) {
  return tokenHoldersMap.keys[index];

1176  }
1177

1178  function MAPSize() public view returns (uint) {
  1179
```



**LINE 1203** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1202  uint lastIndex = tokenHoldersMap.keys.length - 1;
1203  address lastKey = tokenHoldersMap.keys[lastIndex];
1204
1205  tokenHoldersMap.indexOf[lastKey] = index;
1206  delete tokenHoldersMap.indexOf[key];
1207
```



**LINE 1208** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1207
1208 tokenHoldersMap.keys[index] = lastKey;
1209 tokenHoldersMap.keys.pop();
1210 }
1211 }
1212
```



**LINE 1296** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1295  ) payable ERC20(name_, symbol_) {
1296  rewardToken = addrs[0];
1297  _marketingWalletAddress = addrs[2];
1298
1299  buyTokenRewardsFee = buyFeeSetting_[0];
1300
```



**LINE 1297** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
rewardToken = addrs[0];

1297   _marketingWalletAddress = addrs[2];

1298

1299   buyTokenRewardsFee = buyFeeSetting_[0];

1300   buyLiquidityFee = buyFeeSetting_[1];

1301
```



**LINE 1299** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1298
1299 buyTokenRewardsFee = buyFeeSetting_[0];
1300 buyLiquidityFee = buyFeeSetting_[1];
1301 buyMarketingFee = buyFeeSetting_[2];
1302 buyDeadFee = buyFeeSetting_[3];
1303
```



**LINE 1300** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
buyTokenRewardsFee = buyFeeSetting_[0];
buyLiquidityFee = buyFeeSetting_[1];
buyMarketingFee = buyFeeSetting_[2];
buyDeadFee = buyFeeSetting_[3];
1303
1304
```



**LINE 1301** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1300 buyLiquidityFee = buyFeeSetting_[1];
1301 buyMarketingFee = buyFeeSetting_[2];
1302 buyDeadFee = buyFeeSetting_[3];
1303
1304 sellTokenRewardsFee = sellFeeSetting_[0];
1305
```



**LINE 1302** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
buyMarketingFee = buyFeeSetting_[2];
buyDeadFee = buyFeeSetting_[3];

sellTokenRewardsFee = sellFeeSetting_[0];
sellLiquidityFee = sellFeeSetting_[1];

1306
```



**LINE 1304** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1303
1304  sellTokenRewardsFee = sellFeeSetting_[0];
1305  sellLiquidityFee = sellFeeSetting_[1];
1306  sellMarketingFee = sellFeeSetting_[2];
1307  sellDeadFee = sellFeeSetting_[3];
1308
```



**LINE 1305** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1304  sellTokenRewardsFee = sellFeeSetting_[0];
1305  sellLiquidityFee = sellFeeSetting_[1];
1306  sellMarketingFee = sellFeeSetting_[2];
1307  sellDeadFee = sellFeeSetting_[3];
1308
1309
```



**LINE 1306** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1305    sellLiquidityFee = sellFeeSetting_[1];
1306    sellMarketingFee = sellFeeSetting_[2];
1307    sellDeadFee = sellFeeSetting_[3];
1308
1309
require(buyTokenRewardsFee.add(buyLiquidityFee).add(buyMarketingFee).add(buyDeadFee) <=
25, "Total buy fee is over 25%");
1310</pre>
```



**LINE 1307** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1306    sellMarketingFee = sellFeeSetting_[2];
1307    sellDeadFee = sellFeeSetting_[3];
1308
1309
require(buyTokenRewardsFee.add(buyLiquidityFee).add(buyMarketingFee).add(buyDeadFee) <=
25, "Total buy fee is over 25%");
1310
require(sellTokenRewardsFee.add(sellLiquidityFee).add(sellMarketingFee).add(sellDeadFee)
<= 25, "Total sell fee is over 25%");
1311</pre>
```



**LINE 1318** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1317
1318  _node = addrs[3];
1319   dividendTracker = new TokenDividendTracker(rewardToken, tokenBalanceForReward_);
1320
1321
1322
```



**LINE 1322** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1321
1322    IUniswapV2Router02 _uniswapV2Router = IUniswapV2Router02(addrs[1]);
1323    address _uniswapV2Pair = IUniswapV2Factory(_uniswapV2Router.factory())
1324    .createPair(address(this), _uniswapV2Router.WETH());
1325
1326
```



**LINE 1373** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
for(uint256 i = 0; i < accounts.length; i++) {
    isExcludedFromFees[accounts[i]] = excluded;
    }
    i374    }
    i375
    emit ExcludeMultipleAccountsFromFees(accounts, excluded);
    1377</pre>
```



**LINE 1638** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
address[] memory path = new address[](2);

1638    path[0] = address(this);

1639    path[1] = uniswapV2Router.WETH();

1640

1641    _approve(address(this), address(uniswapV2Router), tokenAmount);

1642
```



**LINE 1639** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1638 path[0] = address(this);
1639 path[1] = uniswapV2Router.WETH();
1640
1641 _approve(address(this), address(uniswapV2Router), tokenAmount);
1642
1643
```



**LINE 1658** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
address[] memory path = new address[](2);
path[0] = address(this);

1659  path[1] = rewardToken;

1660  _approve(address(this), address(uniswapV2Router), tokenAmount);

1661  // make the swap

1662
```



**LINE 1659** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
path[0] = address(this);
1659  path[1] = rewardToken;
1660  _approve(address(this), address(uniswapV2Router), tokenAmount);
1661  // make the swap
1662  uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForTokensSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(
1663
```



**LINE 1671** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1670  address[] memory path = new address[](3);
1671  path[0] = address(this);
1672  path[1] = uniswapV2Router.WETH();
1673  path[2] = rewardToken;
1674  _approve(address(this), address(uniswapV2Router), tokenAmount);
1675
```



**LINE 1672** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
1671 path[0] = address(this);
1672 path[1] = uniswapV2Router.WETH();
1673 path[2] = rewardToken;
1674 _approve(address(this), address(uniswapV2Router), tokenAmount);
1675 // make the swap
1676
```



**LINE 1673** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- CoinToken.sol

```
path[1] = uniswapV2Router.WETH();

1673  path[2] = rewardToken;

1674  _approve(address(this), address(uniswapV2Router), tokenAmount);

1675  // make the swap

1676  uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForTokensSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(

1677
```



### **DISCLAIMER**

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



# **ABOUT US**

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.