

Meta-DeFi
Smart Contract
Audit Report





TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

Conclusion

| Audit Results

Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

Disclaimer

About Us



AUDITED DETAILS

Audited Project

Project name	Token ticker	Blockchain	
Meta-DeFi	METAd	Binance Smart Chain	

Addresses

Contract address	0x30fC31892889f744fFf20153b2bE8E7A0eb338cA
Contract deployer address	0x86EcC6043Fa093C387959dF25A2bBbcE7D4C9Abf

Project Website

https://meta-defi.app/

Codebase

https://bscscan.com/address/0x30fC31892889f744fFf20153b2bE8E7A0eb338cA#code



SUMMARY

MetaDeFi is a project that will bring together all of the tools needed in the financial and DeFi spheres under one umbrella like Bridge, Swap, Staking, Farming, and Auto Trading. Our advantages CMC prelist app, Bridge Live, Cross Live, Swap Live, AutoLive, Lending Live, axes CAN'T be greater than 3%, KYC+Audit, CN Massive Marketing, 10+ AMAs, Binance Live AMA, 0 Unlocked Tokens.

Contract Summary

Documentation Quality

Meta-DeFi provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

• The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also dont have any high risk issue.

Code Quality

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

 Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by Meta-DeFi with the discovery of several low issues.

Test Coverage

Test coverage of the project is 100% (Through Codebase)

Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-101 | It is recommended to use vetted safe math libraries for arithmetic operations consistently on lines 132, 142, 150, 169, 171, 183, 184, 198, 200, 466, 466, 466, 467, 525, 567, 567, 569, 569, 573 and 596.
- SWC-110 SWC-123 | It is recommended to use of revert(), assert(), and require() in Solidity, and the new REVERT opcode in the EVM on lines 586 and 587.



CONCLUSION

We have audited the Meta-DeFi project released on January 2023 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in Meta-DeFi Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides a satisfactory result with some low-risk issues.

The issues found in the Meta-DeFi smart contract code do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues found are some arithmetic operation issues, and out of bounds array access which the index access expression can cause an exception in case of the use of an invalid array index value.



AUDIT RESULT

Article	Category	Description	Result
Default Visibility	SWC-100 SWC-108	Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously.	PASS
Integer Overflow and Underflow	SWC-101	If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.	
Outdated Compiler Version	SWC-102	It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.	
Floating Pragma	SWC-103	Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly. PAS	
Unchecked Call Return Value	SWC-104	The return value of a message call should be checked.	
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	SWC-105	Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract.	
SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	SWC-106	The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.	
Reentrancy	SWC-107	Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call.	
Uninitialized Storage Pointer	SWC-109	Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract.	
Assert Violation	SWC-110 SWC-123	Properly functioning code should never reach a failing assert statement.	ISSUE FOUND
Deprecated Solidity Functions	SWC-111	Deprecated built-in functions should never be used. PA	
Delegate call to Untrusted Callee	SWC-112	Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.	



DoS (Denial of Service)	SWC-113 SWC-128	Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required.	
Race Conditions	SWC-114	Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.	
Authorization through tx.origin	SWC-115	tx.origin should not be used for authorization.	
Block values as a proxy for time	SWC-116	Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.	
Signature Unique ID	SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122	Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id.	
Incorrect Constructor Name	SWC-118	Constructors are special functions that are called only once during the contract creation.	
Shadowing State Variable	SWC-119	State variables should not be shadowed.	
Weak Sources of Randomness	SWC-120	Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable.	
Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	SWC-124 user or contract accounts may write to sensitive storage		PASS
Incorrect Inheritance Order	SWC-125		PASS
Insufficient Gas Griefing	SWC-126	Insufficient gas griefing attacks can be performed on contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on another contract.	
Arbitrary Jump Function	SWC-127	As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value.	



Typographical Error	SWC-129	A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable.	
Override control character	SWC-130	Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract.	
Unused variables	SWC-131 SWC-135	Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue.	
Unexpected Ether balance	SWC-132	Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance.	
Hash Collisions Variable	SWC-133	Using abi.encodePacked() with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision.	
Hardcoded gas amount	SWC-134	The transfer() and send() functions forward a fixed amount of 2300 gas.	
Unencrypted Private Data	SWC-136	It is a common misconception that private type variables cannot be read.	



SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS

Started	Sunday Jan 08 2023 12:15:18 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Finished	Monday Jan 09 2023 01:16:19 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Mode	Standard		
Main Source File	MetaDeFi.sol		

Detected Issues

ID	Title	Severity	Status
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged



SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged



LINE 132

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
131 unchecked {
132  _approve(sender, _msgSender(), currentAllowance - amount);
133  }
134  }
135
136
```



LINE 142

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
function increaseAllowance(address spender, uint256 addedValue) public virtual
returns (bool) {

142    _approve(_msgSender(), spender, _allowances[_msgSender()][spender] + addedValue);

143    return true;

144  }

145

146
```



LINE 150

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
unchecked {
150    _approve(_msgSender(), spender, currentAllowance - subtractedValue);
151  }
152
153    return true;
154
```



LINE 169

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
168 unchecked {
169  _balances[sender] = senderBalance - amount;
170  }
171  _balances[recipient] += amount;
172
173
```



LINE 171

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
170  }
171  _balances[recipient] += amount;
172
173  emit Transfer(sender, recipient, amount);
174
175
```



LINE 183

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
182
183  _totalSupply += amount;
184  _balances[account] += amount;
185  emit Transfer(address(0), account, amount);
186
187
```



LINE 184

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
__totalSupply += amount;

184    __balances[account] += amount;

185    emit Transfer(address(0), account, amount);

186

187    __afterTokenTransfer(address(0), account, amount);

188
```



LINE 198

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
197 unchecked {
198 _balances[account] = accountBalance - amount;
199 }
200 _totalSupply -= amount;
201
202
```



LINE 200

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
199  }
200  _totalSupply -= amount;
201
202  emit Transfer(account, address(0), amount);
203
204
```



LINE 466

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
465
466 _mint(owner(), 10 * 1e7 * (10 ** 18));
467  swapTokensAtAmount = totalSupply() / 5000;
468  }
469
470
```



LINE 466

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
465
466 _mint(owner(), 10 * 1e7 * (10 ** 18));
467  swapTokensAtAmount = totalSupply() / 5000;
468  }
469
470
```



LINE 466

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
465
466 _mint(owner(), 10 * 1e7 * (10 ** 18));
467 swapTokensAtAmount = totalSupply() / 5000;
468 }
469
470
```



LINE 467

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
466 _mint(owner(), 10 * 1e7 * (10 ** 18));

467 swapTokensAtAmount = totalSupply() / 5000;

468 }

469

470 receive() external payable {

471
```



LINE 525

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
function setSwapTokensAtAmount(uint256 newAmount) external onlyOwner{
function setSwapTokensAtAmount (uint256 newAmount) external onlyOwner{
function setSwapTokensAtAmount > totalSupply() / 100000, "SwapTokensAtAmount must be greater
than 0.001% of total supply");

swapTokensAtAmount = newAmount;

emit SwapTokensAtAmountChanged(newAmount);

}
```



LINE 567

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol



LINE 567

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol



LINE 569

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
568  } else if (to == uniswapV2Pair) {
569    fees = amount * marketingFeeOnSell / 100;
570  } else {
571    fees = 0;
572  }
573
```



LINE 569

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
568  } else if (to == uniswapV2Pair) {
569    fees = amount * marketingFeeOnSell / 100;
570  } else {
571    fees = 0;
572  }
573
```



LINE 573

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
572  }
573  amount -= fees;
574  if(fees > 0) {
575  super._transfer(from, address(this), fees);
576  }
577
```



LINE 596

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
595
596 uint256 newBalance = address(this).balance - initialBalance;
597
598 sendBNB(payable(marketingWallet), newBalance);
599
600
```



SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 586

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
585 address[] memory path = new address[](2);
586 path[0] = address(this);
587 path[1] = uniswapV2Router.WETH();
588
589 uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(
590
```



SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 587

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- MetaDeFi.sol

```
586 path[0] = address(this);
587 path[1] = uniswapV2Router.WETH();
588
589 uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(
590 tokenAmount,
591
```



DISCLAIMER

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



ABOUT US

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.