

Katana Inu Smart Contract Audit Report



22 Dec 2021



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

Conclusion

Audit Results

Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

Disclaimer

About Us



AUDITED DETAILS

Audited Project

Project name	Token ticker	Blockchain	
Katana Inu	КАТА	Ethereum	

Addresses

Contract address	0x2e85ae1C47602f7927bCabc2Ff99C40aA222aE15
Contract deployer address	0xe84973E6AD850540db6d76DEC904f54a064F1867

Project Website

https://katanainu.com/

Codebase

https://etherscan.io/address/0x2e85ae1C47602f7927bCabc2Ff99C40aA222aE15#code



SUMMARY

Katana Inu is an open-world Battle Royal play-to-earn game that promises to mix up the GameFi space with high-end graphics, photorealistic visuals, usable 3D characters, and weapon models that can be minted as NFTs. Players will be able to enjoy all the features of classic play-to-earn games like hunting and discovering loot boxes they can sell on the games marketplace. Moreover, users will be able to participate in monthly tournaments, and follow games via streaming on Twitch and YouTube.

Contract Summary

Documentation Quality

Katana Inu provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

• The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also dont have any high risk issue.

Code Quality

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

• Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by Katana Inu with the discovery of several low issues.

Test Coverage

Test coverage of the project is 100% (Through Codebase)

Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-101 | It is recommended to use vetted safe math libraries for arithmetic operations consistently on lines 35, 35, 35, 35, 65, 66, 179, 198, 220, 251 and 253.
- SWC-103 | Pragma statements can be allowed to float when a contract is intended on lines 2.
- SWC-110 SWC-123 | It is recommended to use of revert(), assert(), and require() in Solidity, and the new REVERT opcode in the EVM on lines 66, 68, 70, 70, 72 and 72.



CONCLUSION

We have audited the Katana Inu project released on December 2021 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in Katana Inu Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides a satisfactory result with some low-risk issues.

The issues found in the Katana Inu smart contract code do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues found are some arithmetic operation issues, a floating pragma is set and out of bounds array access which the index access expression can cause an exception in case of the use of an invalid array index value.



AUDIT RESULT

Article	Category	Description	Result
Default Visibility	SWC-100 SWC-108	Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously.	
Integer Overflow and Underflow	SWC-101	If unchecked math is used, all math operationsISSUEshould be safe from overflows and underflows.FOUN	
Outdated Compiler Version	SWC-102	It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.	
Floating Pragma	SWC-103	Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.	
Unchecked Call Return Value	SWC-104	The return value of a message call should be checked.	
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	SWC-105	Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract.	
SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	SWC-106	The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.	
Reentrancy	SWC-107	Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call.	
Uninitialized Storage Pointer	SWC-109	Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract.	
Assert Violation	SWC-110 SWC-123	Properly functioning code should never reach a failing assert statement.	ISSUE FOUND
Deprecated Solidity Functions	SWC-111	Deprecated built-in functions should never be used. PAS	
Delegate call to Untrusted Callee	SWC-112	Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.	



			_
DoS (Denial of Service)	SWC-113 SWC-128	Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required.	PASS
Race Conditions	SWC-114	Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.	
Authorization through tx.origin	SWC-115	tx.origin should not be used for authorization.	
Block values as a proxy for time	SWC-116	Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.	PASS
Signature Unique ID	SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122	Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id.	PASS
Incorrect Constructor Name	SWC-118	Constructors are special functions that are called only once during the contract creation.	
Shadowing State Variable	SWC-119	State variables should not be shadowed.	
Weak Sources of Randomness	SWC-120	Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable.	
Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	SWC-124	The contract is responsible for ensuring that only authorized user or contract accounts may write to sensitive storage locations.	
Incorrect Inheritance Order	SWC-125	When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have identical functions, a developer should carefully specify inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is to inherit contracts from more /general/ to more /specific/.	
Insufficient Gas Griefing	SWC-126	Insufficient gas griefing attacks can be performed on contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on another contract.	
Arbitrary Jump Function	SWC-127	As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value.	



Typographical Error	SWC-129	A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable.	
Override control character	SWC-130	Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract.	
Unused variables	SWC-131 SWC-135	Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue.	
Unexpected Ether balance	SWC-132	Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance.	
Hash Collisions Variable	SWC-133	Using abi.encodePacked() with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision.	PASS
Hardcoded gas amount	SWC-134	The transfer() and send() functions forward a fixed amount of 2300 gas.	
Unencrypted Private Data	SWC-136	It is a common misconception that private type variables cannot be read.	PASS



SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS

Started	Tuesday Dec 21 2021 19:26:20 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Finished	Wednesday Dec 22 2021 12:22:14 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Mode	Standard		
Main Source File	KATA.sol		

Detected Issues

ID	Title	Severity	Status
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged



SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED

LINE 35

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- KATA.sol

```
34
35 uint256 private constant _totalSupply = 50 * (10 ** 9) * (10 ** 18); // 50
Billion
36
37 string private constant _name = "Katana Inu";
38 string private constant _symbol = "KATA";
39
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED

LINE 35

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- KATA.sol

```
34
35 uint256 private constant _totalSupply = 50 * (10 ** 9) * (10 ** 18); // 50
Billion
36
37 string private constant _name = "Katana Inu";
38 string private constant _symbol = "KATA";
39
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED

LINE 35

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- KATA.sol

```
34
35 uint256 private constant _totalSupply = 50 * (10 ** 9) * (10 ** 18); // 50
Billion
36
37 string private constant _name = "Katana Inu";
38 string private constant _symbol = "KATA";
39
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED

LINE 35

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- KATA.sol

```
34
35 uint256 private constant _totalSupply = 50 * (10 ** 9) * (10 ** 18); // 50
Billion
36
37 string private constant _name = "Katana Inu";
38 string private constant _symbol = "KATA";
39
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

LINE 65

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- KATA.sol

```
64 uint256 totalTokens = 0;
65 for (uint256 i = 0; i < addrs.length; i++) {
66 totalTokens += tokens[i];
67
68 require(addrs[i] != address(0), "addrs must contain valid addresses");
69
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED

LINE 66

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- KATA.sol

```
65 for (uint256 i = 0; i < addrs.length; i++) {
66 totalTokens += tokens[i];
67
68 require(addrs[i] != address(0), "addrs must contain valid addresses");
69
70</pre>
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 179

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- KATA.sol

```
178 unchecked {
179 _approve(sender, msg.sender, currentAllowance - amount);
180 }
181
182 return true;
183
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED

LINE 198

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- KATA.sol

```
197 function increaseAllowance(address spender, uint256 addedValue) external returns
(bool) {
198 _approve(msg.sender, spender, _allowances[msg.sender][spender] + addedValue);
199 return true;
200 }
201
202
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 220

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- KATA.sol

```
219 unchecked {
220 _approve(msg.sender, spender, currentAllowance - subtractedValue);
221 }
222 
223 return true;
224
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 251

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- KATA.sol

```
250 unchecked {
251 _balances[sender] = senderBalance - amount;
252 }
253 _balances[recipient] += amount;
254
255
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED

LINE 253

Iow SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- KATA.sol

Locations

252 }
253 _balances[recipient] += amount;
254
255 emit Transfer(sender, recipient, amount);
256 }
257



SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 2

IOW SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- KATA.sol

```
1 // SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
2 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
3
4 /**
5 * @dev Implementation of the {IERC20} interface.
6
```



LINE 66

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- KATA.sol

```
65 for (uint256 i = 0; i < addrs.length; i++) {
66 totalTokens += tokens[i];
67
68 require(addrs[i] != address(0), "addrs must contain valid addresses");
69
70</pre>
```



LINE 68

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- KATA.sol

```
67
68 require(addrs[i] != address(0), "addrs must contain valid addresses");
69
70 _balances[addrs[i]] = tokens[i];
71
72
```



LINE 70

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- KATA.sol

```
69
70 _balances[addrs[i]] = tokens[i];
71
72 emit Transfer(address(0), addrs[i], tokens[i]);
73 }
74
```



LINE 70

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- KATA.sol

```
69
70 _balances[addrs[i]] = tokens[i];
71
72 emit Transfer(address(0), addrs[i], tokens[i]);
73 }
74
```



LINE 72

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- KATA.sol

```
71
72 emit Transfer(address(0), addrs[i], tokens[i]);
73 }
74
75 require(totalTokens == _totalSupply, "total tokens must be totalSupply");
76
```



LINE 72

Iow SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- KATA.sol

```
71
72 emit Transfer(address(0), addrs[i], tokens[i]);
73 }
74
75 require(totalTokens == _totalSupply, "total tokens must be totalSupply");
76
```



DISCLAIMER

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



ABOUT US

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.