

Gen Shards
Smart Contract
Audit Report





## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### | Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

## Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

### Conclusion

### | Audit Results

### Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

## Disclaimer

### About Us



# **AUDITED DETAILS**

## | Audited Project

Project name	Token ticker	Blockchain	
Gen Shards	GS	Ethereum	

## Addresses

Contract address	0xe0b9a2c3e9f40cf74b2c7f591b2b0cca055c3112
Contract deployer address	0xB452A14B387DA9328C3a3f1ECD6e210B943614FA

## Project Website

http://genshards.com/

## Codebase

https://etherscan.io/address/0xe0b9a2c3e9f40cf74b2c7f591b2b0cca055c3112#code



## **SUMMARY**

Genesis Shards is a new marketplace for pre-IDO tokens on NFTs. It allows NFTs transformation into a liquidity vehicle for Pre-IDO tokens and a whole new suite of DeFi products across multiple blockchains. \$GS is the native token of the Genesis Shard Ecosystem.

## Contract Summary

#### **Documentation Quality**

Gen Shards provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

• The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also dont have any high risk issue.

#### **Code Quality**

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

 Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by Gen Shards with the discovery of several low issues.

#### **Test Coverage**

Test coverage of the project is 100% (Through Codebase)

## Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-103 | Pragma statements can be allowed to float when a contract is intended on lines 9, 36, 116, 333, 641, 711, 758 and 800.
- SWC-110 SWC-123 | It is recommended to use of revert(), assert(), and require() in Solidity, and the new REVERT opcode in the EVM on lines 853.
- SWC-116 | It is recommended to use oracles for block values as a proxy for time on lines 969.
- SWC-120 | It is recommended to use external sources of randomness via oracles on lines 999, 1072, 999 and 1085.



## CONCLUSION

We have audited the Gen Shards project released on April 2022 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in Gen Shards Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides a satisfactory result with some low-risk issues.

The issues found in the Gen Shards smart contract code do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues found are some arithmetic operation issues, a floating pragma is set, a control flow decision is made based on The block.timestamp environment variable, the potential use of "block.number" as a source of randomness, requirement violation, and out of bounds array access which the index access expression can cause an exception in case of the use of an invalid array index value. We recommend to don't using any of those environment variables as sources of randomness and being aware that the use of these variables introduces a certain level of trust in miners. The requirement was violated in a nested call and the call was reverted as a result. Make sure valid inputs are provided to the nested call (for instance, via passed arguments).



# **AUDIT RESULT**

Article	Category	Description	Result	
Default Visibility	SWC-100 SWC-108	Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously.		
Integer Overflow and Underflow	SWC-101	If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.	PASS	
Outdated Compiler Version	SWC-102	It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.	PASS	
Floating Pragma	SWC-103	Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.		
Unchecked Call Return Value	SWC-104	The return value of a message call should be checked.	ssage call should be PASS	
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	SWC-105	Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract.	PASS	
SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	SWC-106	The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.		
Reentrancy	SWC-107	Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call.		
Uninitialized Storage Pointer	SWC-109	Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract.		
Assert Violation	SWC-110 SWC-123	Properly functioning code should never reach a ISSUE failing assert statement. FOUNI		
Deprecated Solidity Functions	SWC-111	Deprecated built-in functions should never be used.	sed. PASS	
Delegate call to Untrusted Callee	SWC-112	Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.		



DoS (Denial of Service)	SWC-113 SWC-128	Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required.	PASS
Race Conditions	SWC-114	Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.	PASS
Authorization through tx.origin	SWC-115	tx.origin should not be used for authorization.	
Block values as a proxy for time	SWC-116	Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.	ISSUE FOUND
Signature Unique ID	SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122	Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id.	PASS
Incorrect Constructor Name	SWC-118	Constructors are special functions that are called only once during the contract creation.	PASS
Shadowing State Variable	SWC-119	State variables should not be shadowed.	PASS
Weak Sources of Randomness	SWC-120	Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable.	ISSUE FOUND
Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	SWC-124	The contract is responsible for ensuring that only authorized user or contract accounts may write to sensitive storage locations.	
Incorrect Inheritance Order	SWC-125		PASS
Insufficient Gas Griefing	SWC-126	Insufficient gas griefing attacks can be performed on contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on another contract.	
Arbitrary Jump Function	SWC-127	As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value.	



Typographical Error	SWC-129	A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable.	PASS
Override control character	SWC-130	Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract.	PASS
Unused variables	SWC-131 SWC-135	Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue.	PASS
Unexpected Ether balance	SWC-132	Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance.	
Hash Collisions Variable	SWC-133	Using abi.encodePacked() with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision.	
Hardcoded gas amount	SWC-134	The transfer() and send() functions forward a fixed amount of 2300 gas.	
Unencrypted Private Data	SWC-136	It is a common misconception that private type variables cannot be read.	PASS



# **SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS**

Started	Saturday Apr 02 2022 00:53:08 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Finished	Sunday Apr 03 2022 06:49:34 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Mode	Standard		
Main Source File	GenShards.sol		

## Detected Issues

ID	Title	Severity	Status
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-116	A CONTROL FLOW DECISION IS MADE BASED ON THE BLOCK.TIMESTAMP ENVIRONMENT VARIABLE.	low	acknowledged
SWC-120	POTENTIAL USE OF "BLOCK.NUMBER" AS SOURCE OF RANDOMNESS.	low	acknowledged
SWC-120	POTENTIAL USE OF "BLOCK.NUMBER" AS SOURCE OF RANDOMNESS.	low	acknowledged



SWC-120	A CONTROL FLOW DECISION IS MADE BASED ON THE BLOCK.NUMBER ENVIRONMENT VARIABLE.	low	acknowledged
SWC-120	A CONTROL FLOW DECISION IS MADE BASED ON THE BLOCK.NUMBER ENVIRONMENT VARIABLE.	low	acknowledged
SWC-123	REQUIREMENT VIOLATION.	low	acknowledged



LINE 9

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is "">=0.6.0<0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- GenShards.sol

```
8
9  pragma solidity >=0.6.0 <0.8.0;
10
11  /*
12  * @dev Provides information about the current execution context, including the
13</pre>
```



LINE 36

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is "">=0.6.0<0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- GenShards.sol

```
35
36 pragma solidity >=0.6.0 <0.8.0;
37
38 /**
39 * @dev Interface of the ERC20 standard as defined in the EIP.
40
```



**LINE 116** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is "">=0.6.0<0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- GenShards.sol

```
115
116 pragma solidity >=0.6.0 <0.8.0;
117
118 /**
119 * @dev Wrappers over Solidity's arithmetic operations with added overflow
120
```



**LINE 333** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is "">=0.6.0<0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- GenShards.sol

```
332
333 pragma solidity >=0.6.0 <0.8.0;
334
335
336
337
```



**LINE 641** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is "">=0.6.0<0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- GenShards.sol

```
640
641 pragma solidity >=0.6.0 <0.8.0;
642
643 /**
644 * @dev Contract module which provides a basic access control mechanism, where
645
```



**LINE 711** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is "">=0.6.0<0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- GenShards.sol

```
710
711 pragma solidity >=0.6.0 <0.8.0;
712
713
714 /**
715
```



**LINE** 758

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is "">=0.6.0<0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- GenShards.sol

```
757
758 pragma solidity >=0.6.0 <0.8.0;
759
760
761
762
```



**LINE 800** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is "">=0.6.0<0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- GenShards.sol

```
799
800 pragma solidity >=0.6.0 <0.8.0;
801
802 interface ILiquiditySyncer {
803 function syncLiquiditySupply(address pool) external;
804
```



# SWC-116 | A CONTROL FLOW DECISION IS MADE BASED ON THE BLOCK.TIMESTAMP ENVIRONMENT VARIABLE.

**LINE 969** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The block timestamp environment variable is used to determine a control flow decision. Note that the values of variables like coinbase, gaslimit, block number and timestamp are predictable and can be manipulated by a malicious miner. Also keep in mind that attackers know hashes of earlier blocks. Don't use any of those environment variables as sources of randomness and be aware that use of these variables introduces a certain level of trust into miners.

#### Source File

- GenShards.sol

```
968 require(nonce == nonces[signatory]++, "GenShards::delegateBySig: invalid nonce");
969 require(now <= expiry, "GenShards::delegateBySig: signature expired");
970 return _delegate(signatory, delegatee);
971 }
972
973</pre>
```



# SWC-120 | POTENTIAL USE OF "BLOCK.NUMBER" AS SOURCE OF RANDOMNESS.

**LINE 999** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The environment variable "block.number" looks like it might be used as a source of randomness. Note that the values of variables like coinbase, gaslimit, block number and timestamp are predictable and can be manipulated by a malicious miner. Also keep in mind that attackers know hashes of earlier blocks. Don't use any of those environment variables as sources of randomness and be aware that use of these variables introduces a certain level of trust into miners.

#### Source File

- GenShards.sol

```
998 {
999   require(blockNumber < block.number, "GenShards::getPriorVotes: not yet
determined");
1000
1001   uint32 nCheckpoints = numCheckpoints[account];
1002   if (nCheckpoints == 0) {
1003</pre>
```



# SWC-120 | POTENTIAL USE OF "BLOCK.NUMBER" AS SOURCE OF RANDOMNESS.

**LINE 1072** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The environment variable "block.number" looks like it might be used as a source of randomness. Note that the values of variables like coinbase, gaslimit, block number and timestamp are predictable and can be manipulated by a malicious miner. Also keep in mind that attackers know hashes of earlier blocks. Don't use any of those environment variables as sources of randomness and be aware that use of these variables introduces a certain level of trust into miners.

#### Source File

- GenShards.sol

```
1071 {
1072    uint32 blockNumber = safe32(block.number, "GenShards::_writeCheckpoint: block
number exceeds 32 bits");
1073
1074    if (nCheckpoints > 0 && checkpoints[delegatee][nCheckpoints - 1].fromBlock ==
blockNumber) {
1075    checkpoints[delegatee][nCheckpoints - 1].votes = newVotes;
1076
```



# SWC-120 | A CONTROL FLOW DECISION IS MADE BASED ON THE BLOCK.NUMBER ENVIRONMENT VARIABLE.

**LINE 999** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The block.number environment variable is used to determine a control flow decision. Note that the values of variables like coinbase, gaslimit, block number and timestamp are predictable and can be manipulated by a malicious miner. Also keep in mind that attackers know hashes of earlier blocks. Don't use any of those environment variables as sources of randomness and be aware that use of these variables introduces a certain level of trust into miners.

#### Source File

- GenShards.sol

```
998 {
999   require(blockNumber < block.number, "GenShards::getPriorVotes: not yet
determined");
1000
1001   uint32 nCheckpoints = numCheckpoints[account];
1002   if (nCheckpoints == 0) {
1003</pre>
```



# SWC-120 | A CONTROL FLOW DECISION IS MADE BASED ON THE BLOCK.NUMBER ENVIRONMENT VARIABLE.

**LINE 1085** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The block.number environment variable is used to determine a control flow decision. Note that the values of variables like coinbase, gaslimit, block number and timestamp are predictable and can be manipulated by a malicious miner. Also keep in mind that attackers know hashes of earlier blocks. Don't use any of those environment variables as sources of randomness and be aware that use of these variables introduces a certain level of trust into miners.

#### Source File

- GenShards.sol

```
function safe32(uint n, string memory errorMessage) internal pure returns (uint32)
{
    require(n < 2**32, errorMessage);
    return uint32(n);
}
1087 }
1088
1089</pre>
```



## SWC-123 | REQUIREMENT VIOLATION.

**LINE 853** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

A requirement was violated in a nested call and the call was reverted as a result. Make sure valid inputs are provided to the nested call (for instance, via passed arguments).

#### Source File

- GenShards.sol

```
if (address(locker) != address(0)) {
  locker.lockOrGetPenalty(sender, recipient);
  }
  return super._transfer(sender, recipient, amount);
  }
}
```



## **DISCLAIMER**

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



## **ABOUT US**

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.