

**APEBORG** 

Smart Contract Audit Report





# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### | Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

### Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

### Conclusion

### | Audit Results

### Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

### Disclaimer

### About Us



# **AUDITED DETAILS**

### | Audited Project

Project name	Token ticker	Blockchain	
APEBORG	APEBORG	Ethereum	

### Addresses

Contract address	0xf168d4f47a973a65f61bfb46f924fe7489c74576	
Contract deployer address	0xdF22e8B5dF7d6472f875DC3752215089cd8ebC5a	

### Project Website

https://apeborg.com/

### Codebase

https://etherscan.io/address/0xf168d4f47a973a65f61bfb46f924fe7489c74576#code



### **SUMMARY**

APEBORG is a decentralized Meme Token with a NFT Platform, own NFT Collections and a P2E Game to earn and collect tokens. In addition, the APEBORG holders benefit from the reflections on every transaction. This is an auto staking feature. Furthermore, charity activities for people and animals are carried out

### Contract Summary

#### **Documentation Quality**

APEBORG provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

• The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also dont have any high risk issue.

#### **Code Quality**

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

 Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by APEBORG with the discovery of several low issues.

#### **Test Coverage**

Test coverage of the project is 100% (Through Codebase)

### Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-100 SWC-108 | Explicitly define visibility for all state variables on lines 974.
- SWC-103 | Pragma statements can be allowed to float when a contract is intended on lines 15.
- SWC-110 SWC-123 | It is recommended to use of revert(), assert(), and require() in Solidity, and the new REVERT opcode in the EVM on lines 1216, 1217, 1217, 1240, 1241, 1241, 1372, 1373, 1375, 1376, 1531 and 1532.
- SWC-115 | tx.origin should not be used for authorization, use msg.sender instead on lines 1450.



### CONCLUSION

We have audited the NamaFile project released on January 2023 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in NamaFile Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides a satisfactory result with some low-risk issues.

The issues found in the NamaFile smart contract code do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues found are some arithmetic operation issues, a floating pragma is set, a state variable visibility is not set, and out-of-bounds array access which the index access expression can cause an exception in case of the use of an invalid array index value. We recommend to It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code also avoiding using "tx.origin" as a security control can lead to authorization bypass vulnerabilities. Consider using "msg.sender" unless you really know what you are doing.



# **AUDIT RESULT**

Article	Category	Description	Result	
Default Visibility	SWC-100 SWC-108	Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously.	ISSUE FOUND	
Integer Overflow and Underflow	SWC-101	If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.	ISSUE FOUND	
Outdated Compiler Version	SWC-102	It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.	PASS	
Floating Pragma	SWC-103	Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.	ISSUE FOUND	
Unchecked Call Return Value	SWC-104	The return value of a message call should be checked.	PASS	
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	SWC-105	Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract.		
SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	SWC-106	The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.		
Reentrancy	SWC-107	Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call.		
Uninitialized Storage Pointer	SWC-109	Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract.		
Assert Violation	SWC-110 SWC-123	Properly functioning code should never reach a ISSU failing assert statement. FOUL		
Deprecated Solidity Functions	SWC-111	Deprecated built-in functions should never be used.	PASS	
Delegate call to Untrusted Callee	SWC-112	Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.	PASS	



DoS (Denial of Service)	SWC-113 SWC-128	Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required.	PASS
Race Conditions	SWC-114	Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.	PASS
Authorization through tx.origin	SWC-115	tx.origin should not be used for authorization.	ISSUE FOUND
Block values as a proxy for time	SWC-116	Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.	PASS
Signature Unique ID	SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122	Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id.	PASS
Incorrect Constructor Name	SWC-118	Constructors are special functions that are called only once during the contract creation.	PASS
Shadowing State Variable	SWC-119	State variables should not be shadowed.	PASS
Weak Sources of Randomness	SWC-120	Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable.	PASS
Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	SWC-124	The contract is responsible for ensuring that only authorized user or contract accounts may write to sensitive storage locations.	PASS
Incorrect Inheritance Order	SWC-125	When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have identical functions, a developer should carefully specify inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is to inherit contracts from more /general/ to more /specific/.	PASS
Insufficient Gas Griefing	SWC-126	Insufficient gas griefing attacks can be performed on contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on another contract.	PASS
Arbitrary Jump Function	SWC-127	As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value.	PASS



Typographical Error	SWC-129	A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable.	
Override control character	SWC-130	Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract.	
Unused variables	SWC-131 SWC-135	Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue.	PASS
Unexpected Ether balance	SWC-132	Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance.	PASS
Hash Collisions Variable	SWC-133	Using abi.encodePacked() with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision.	PASS
Hardcoded gas amount	SWC-134	The transfer() and send() functions forward a fixed amount of 2300 gas.	PASS
Unencrypted Private Data	SWC-136	It is a common misconception that private type variables cannot be read.	PASS



# **SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS**

Started	Saturday Apr 23 2022 19:30:57 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Finished	Sunday Apr 24 2022 20:49:35 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Mode	Standard		
Main Source File	APEBORG.sol		

### Detected Issues

ID	Title	Severity	Status
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged



SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged



SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	COMPILER-REWRITABLE " <uint> - 1" DISCOVERED</uint>	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	COMPILER-REWRITABLE " <uint> - 1" DISCOVERED</uint>	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-108	STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-115	USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
		_	



**LINE 125** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
function add(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
  uint256 c = a + b;
  require(c >= a, "SafeMath: addition overflow");
  return c;
  return c;
}
```



**LINE 161** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
160 require(b <= a, errorMessage);
161 uint256 c = a - b;
162
163 return c;
164 }
165</pre>
```



**LINE 184** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
183
184    uint256    c = a * b;
185    require(c / a == b, "SafeMath: multiplication overflow");
186
187    return c;
188
```



**LINE 185** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
184    uint256    c = a * b;
185    require(c / a == b, "SafeMath: multiplication overflow");
186
187    return c;
188    }
189
```



**LINE 224** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
223    require(b > 0, errorMessage);
224    uint256 c = a / b;
225    // assert(a == b * c + a % b); // There is no case in which this doesn't hold
226
227    return c;
228
```



**LINE 264** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
263 require(b != 0, errorMessage);
264 return a % b;
265 }
266 }
267
268
```



**LINE 536** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol



**LINE 946** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
945 uint256 private constant MAX = ~uint256(0);
946 uint256 private _tTotal = 10000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
947 uint256 private _rTotal = (MAX - (MAX % _tTotal));
948 uint256 private _tFeeTotal;
949
950
```



**LINE 946** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
945 uint256 private constant MAX = ~uint256(0);
946 uint256 private _tTotal = 10000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
947 uint256 private _rTotal = (MAX - (MAX % _tTotal));
948 uint256 private _tFeeTotal;
949
950
```



**LINE 946** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
945 uint256 private constant MAX = ~uint256(0);
946 uint256 private _tTotal = 10000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
947 uint256 private _rTotal = (MAX - (MAX % _tTotal));
948 uint256 private _tFeeTotal;
949
950
```



**LINE 946** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
945 uint256 private constant MAX = ~uint256(0);
946 uint256 private _tTotal = 10000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
947 uint256 private _rTotal = (MAX - (MAX % _tTotal));
948 uint256 private _tFeeTotal;
949
950
```



**LINE 947** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
946    uint256    private _tTotal = 1000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
947    uint256    private _rTotal = (MAX - (MAX % _tTotal));
948    uint256    private _tFeeTotal;
949
950
951
```



**LINE 947** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
946    uint256    private _tTotal = 1000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
947    uint256    private _rTotal = (MAX - (MAX % _tTotal));
948    uint256    private _tFeeTotal;
949
950
951
```



**LINE 977** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
976
977  uint256 public _maxTxAmount = 10000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
978  uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
979  uint256 public _maxWalletSize = 1 * 10**13 * 10**9;
980
981
```



**LINE 977** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
976
977  uint256 public _maxTxAmount = 10000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
978  uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
979  uint256 public _maxWalletSize = 1 * 10**13 * 10**9;
980
981
```



**LINE 977** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
976
977  uint256 public _maxTxAmount = 10000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
978  uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
979  uint256 public _maxWalletSize = 1 * 10**13 * 10**9;
980
981
```



**LINE 977** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
976
977  uint256 public _maxTxAmount = 10000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
978  uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
979  uint256 public _maxWalletSize = 1 * 10**13 * 10**9;
980
981
```



**LINE 978** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
977 uint256 public _maxTxAmount = 10000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
978 uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
979 uint256 public _maxWalletSize = 1 * 10**13 * 10**9;
980
981 event botAddedToBlacklist(address account);
982
```



**LINE 978** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
977 uint256 public _maxTxAmount = 10000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
978 uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
979 uint256 public _maxWalletSize = 1 * 10**13 * 10**9;
980
981 event botAddedToBlacklist(address account);
982
```



**LINE 978** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
977 uint256 public _maxTxAmount = 10000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
978 uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
979 uint256 public _maxWalletSize = 1 * 10**13 * 10**9;
980
981 event botAddedToBlacklist(address account);
982
```



**LINE 978** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
977 uint256 public _maxTxAmount = 10000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
978 uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
979 uint256 public _maxWalletSize = 1 * 10**13 * 10**9;
980
981 event botAddedToBlacklist(address account);
982
```



**LINE** 979

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
978  uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
979  uint256 public _maxWalletSize = 1 * 10**13 * 10**9;
980
981  event botAddedToBlacklist(address account);
982  event botRemovedFromBlacklist(address account);
983
```



**LINE** 979

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
978  uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
979  uint256 public _maxWalletSize = 1 * 10**13 * 10**9;
980
981  event botAddedToBlacklist(address account);
982  event botRemovedFromBlacklist(address account);
983
```



**LINE** 979

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
978  uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
979  uint256 public _maxWalletSize = 1 * 10**13 * 10**9;
980
981  event botAddedToBlacklist(address account);
982  event botRemovedFromBlacklist(address account);
983
```



**LINE** 979

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
978  uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
979  uint256 public _maxWalletSize = 1 * 10**13 * 10**9;
980
981  event botAddedToBlacklist(address account);
982  event botRemovedFromBlacklist(address account);
983
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1215** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
1214 require(_isBlackListedBot[account], "Account is not blacklisted");
1215 for (uint256 i = 0; i < _blackListedBots.length; i++) {
1216   if (_blackListedBots[i] == account) {
1217   _blackListedBots[i] = _blackListedBots[
1218   _blackListedBots.length - 1
1219</pre>
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1218** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
1217   _blackListedBots[i] = _blackListedBots[
1218   _blackListedBots.length - 1
1219  ];
1220   _isBlackListedBot[account] = false;
1221   _blackListedBots.pop();
1222
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1239** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
1238  require(_isExcluded[account], "Account is not excluded");
1239  for (uint256 i = 0; i < _excluded.length; i++) {
1240   if (_excluded[i] == account) {
1241    _excluded[i] = _excluded[_excluded.length - 1];
1242   _tOwned[account] = 0;
1243</pre>
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1241** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "\*\*" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1300** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "\*\*" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1307** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
1306 {
1307   _maxWalletSize = _tTotal.mul(maxWalletSize).div(10**2);
1308 }
1309
1310   function setSwapAndLiquifyEnabled(bool _enabled) public onlyOwner {
1311
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1370** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "\*\*" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1391** 

# **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
function calculateTaxFee(uint256 _amount) private view returns (uint256) {
  return _amount.mul(_taxFee).div(10**2);
  }
  1392  }
  1393
  function calculateLiquidityFee(uint256 _amount)
  1395
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "\*\*" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1399** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
1398 {
1399  return _amount.mul(_liquidityFee).div(10**2);
1400 }
1401
1402  function removeAllFee() private {
1403
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1456** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
1455 if(to != uniswapV2Pair) {
1456  require(balanceOf(to) + amount < _maxWalletSize, "TOKEN: Balance exceeds wallet
size!");
1457  }
1458  }
1459
1460</pre>
```



# SWC-101 | COMPILER-REWRITABLE "<UINT> - 1" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1218** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
1217   _blackListedBots[i] = _blackListedBots[
1218   _blackListedBots.length - 1
1219   ];
1220   _isBlackListedBot[account] = false;
1221   _blackListedBots.pop();
1222
```



# SWC-101 | COMPILER-REWRITABLE "<UINT> - 1" DISCOVERED

**LINE 1241** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
if (_excluded[i] == account) {
  l241    _excluded[i] = _excluded[_excluded.length - 1];
  l242    _tOwned[account] = 0;
  l243    _isExcluded[account] = false;
  l244    _excluded.pop();
  l245
```



# SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 15

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.10"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
14  /// @custom:security-contact contact@apeborg.com
15  pragma solidity ^0.8.10;
16
17  // SPDX-License-Identifier: Unlicensed
18  interface IERC20 {
19
```



# SWC-108 | STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.

**LINE 974** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "inSwapAndLiquify" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
973
974 bool inSwapAndLiquify;
975 bool public swapAndLiquifyEnabled = true;
976
977 uint256 public _maxTxAmount = 10000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
978
```



# SWC-115 | USE OF "TX.ORIGIN" AS A PART OF AUTHORIZATION CONTROL.

**LINE 1450** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

Using "tx.origin" as a security control can lead to authorization bypass vulnerabilities. Consider using "msg.sender" unless you really know what you are doing.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
require(!_isBlackListedBot[msg.sender], "you are blacklisted");
require(!_isBlackListedBot[tx.origin], "blacklisted");

1451
1452 if (!_isExcludedFromLimit[from] && !_isExcludedFromLimit[to]) {
    require(amount <= _maxTxAmount, "Transfer amount exceeds the maxTxAmount.");

1454
```



**LINE 1216** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol



**LINE 1217** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
1216  if (_blackListedBots[i] == account) {
1217    _blackListedBots[i] = _blackListedBots[
1218    _blackListedBots.length - 1
1219  ];
1220    _isBlackListedBot[account] = false;
1221
```



**LINE 1217** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
1216  if (_blackListedBots[i] == account) {
1217    _blackListedBots[i] = _blackListedBots[
1218    _blackListedBots.length - 1
1219  ];
1220    _isBlackListedBot[account] = false;
1221
```



**LINE 1240** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol



**LINE 1241** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
1240  if (_excluded[i] == account) {
1241    _excluded[i] = _excluded[_excluded.length - 1];
1242    _tOwned[account] = 0;
1243    _isExcluded[account] = false;
1244    _excluded.pop();
1245
```



**LINE 1241** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
1240  if (_excluded[i] == account) {
1241    _excluded[i] = _excluded[_excluded.length - 1];
1242    _tOwned[account] = 0;
1243    _isExcluded[account] = false;
1244    _excluded.pop();
1245
```



**LINE 1372** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
1371 if (
1372 _rOwned[_excluded[i]] > rSupply ||
1373 _tOwned[_excluded[i]] > tSupply
1374 ) return (_rTotal, _tTotal);
1375 rSupply = rSupply.sub(_rOwned[_excluded[i]]);
1376
```



**LINE 1373** 

# **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
1372    _rOwned[_excluded[i]] > rSupply ||
1373    _tOwned[_excluded[i]] > tSupply
1374    ) return (_rTotal, _tTotal);
1375    rSupply = rSupply.sub(_rOwned[_excluded[i]]);
1376    tSupply = tSupply.sub(_tOwned[_excluded[i]]);
1377
```



**LINE 1375** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
1374  ) return (_rTotal, _tTotal);
1375  rSupply = rSupply.sub(_rOwned[_excluded[i]]);
1376  tSupply = tSupply.sub(_tOwned[_excluded[i]]);
1377  }
1378  if (rSupply < _rTotal.div(_tTotal)) return (_rTotal, _tTotal);
1379</pre>
```



**LINE 1376** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
1375  rSupply = rSupply.sub(_rOwned[_excluded[i]]);
1376  tSupply = tSupply.sub(_tOwned[_excluded[i]]);
1377  }
1378  if (rSupply < _rTotal.div(_tTotal)) return (_rTotal, _tTotal);
1379  return (rSupply, tSupply);
1380</pre>
```



**LINE 1531** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
address[] memory path = new address[](2);
path[0] = address(this);

path[1] = uniswapV2Router.WETH();

1533
   _approve(address(this), address(uniswapV2Router), tokenAmount);

1535
```



**LINE 1532** 

### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- APEBORG.sol

```
path[0] = address(this);
1532  path[1] = uniswapV2Router.WETH();
1533
1534  _approve(address(this), address(uniswapV2Router), tokenAmount);
1535
1536
```



# **DISCLAIMER**

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



# **ABOUT US**

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.