

MoonStar

# Smart Contract Audit Report





# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### | Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

### Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

### Conclusion

### | Audit Results

### Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

### Disclaimer

### About Us



# **AUDITED DETAILS**

### Audited Project

Project name	Token ticker	Blockchain	
MoonStar	MOONSTAR	Binance Smart Chain	

### Addresses

Contract address	0xce5814efff15d53efd8025b9f2006d4d7d640b9b
Contract deployer address	0x418c3d5CD2Ba7E51D32D987FF0810f8fdBc1f992

### Project Website

https://moonstartoken.com/

### Codebase

https://bscscan.com/address/0xce5814efff15d53efd8025b9f2006d4d7d640b9b#code



### **SUMMARY**

MoonStar was first conceptualized by a now-anonymous initial developer who forged into the crypto-verse with a new approach to token farming and deflationary currency. The coin began as a supply vs. demand experiment and has blossomed into a full utility, inertly cultivating burn token. The MoonStar Token is the premier static-rewards token for holders. MoonStar capitalizes on an advanced static farming algorithm incentivizing holders to stake prominent positions and hold. Every time a token holder closes a portion of their work, 5% of their close will be broken up among all other wallets, bringing the user more shares for free. With an initial burn of 4,000,000,000,000 (trillion) tokens, 0.4% of the initial supply pool has already been permanently destroyed. The token burn is a strategic anti-inflationary measure that guarantees the value of the coin will not become drowned out by its supply.

### Contract Summary

#### **Documentation Quality**

MoonStar provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

• The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also dont have any high risk issue.

#### **Code Quality**

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

 Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by MoonStar with the discovery of several low issues.

#### **Test Coverage**

Test coverage of the project is 100% (Through Codebase)

### Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-100 SWC-108 | Explicitly define visibility for all state variables on lines 739.
- SWC-101 | It is recommended to use vetted safe math libraries for arithmetic operations consistently on lines 127, 159, 182, 183, 218, 254, 481, 722, 722, 722, 722, 723, 723, 742, 742, 742, 742, 743, 743, 743, 874, 876, 913, 959, 978, 984 and 876.
- SWC-103 | Pragma statements can be allowed to float when a contract is intended on lines 28.
- SWC-110 SWC-123 | It is recommended to use of revert(), assert(), and require() in Solidity, and the new REVERT opcode in the EVM on lines 875, 876, 876, 960, 960, 961, 962, 1087 and 1088.



### CONCLUSION

We have audited the MoonStar project released on April 2021 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in MoonStar Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides satisfactory results with low-risk issues.

The issues found in the MoonStar smart contract code do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues found are some arithmetic operation issues, a floating pragma is set, a state variable visibility is not set, and out-of-bounds array access which the index access expression can cause an exception in case of the use of an invalid array index value.



# **AUDIT RESULT**

Article	Category	Description	Result	
Default Visibility	SWC-100 SWC-108	Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously.		
Integer Overflow and Underflow	SWC-101	If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.	ISSUE FOUND	
Outdated Compiler Version	SWC-102	It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.	PASS	
Floating Pragma	SWC-103	Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.  ISSUE FOUND		
Unchecked Call Return Value	SWC-104	The return value of a message call should be checked.	PASS	
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	SWC-105	Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract.	PASS	
SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	SWC-106	The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.	PASS	
Reentrancy	SWC-107	Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call.	d PASS	
Uninitialized Storage Pointer	SWC-109	Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract.	PASS	
Assert Violation	SWC-110 SWC-123	Properly functioning code should never reach a ISSU failing assert statement. FOUL		
Deprecated Solidity Functions	SWC-111	Deprecated built-in functions should never be used.	nould never be used. PASS	
Delegate call to Untrusted Callee	SWC-112	Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.		



DoS (Denial of Service)	SWC-113 SWC-128	Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required.	
Race Conditions	SWC-114	Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.	
Authorization through tx.origin	SWC-115	tx.origin should not be used for authorization.	
Block values as a proxy for time	SWC-116	Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.	
Signature Unique ID	SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122	Signed messages should always have a unique id. A	
Incorrect Constructor Name	SWC-118	Constructors are special functions that are called only once during the contract creation.	
Shadowing State Variable	SWC-119	SWC-119 State variables should not be shadowed.	
Weak Sources of Randomness	SWC-120	Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable.	
Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	SWC-124	The contract is responsible for ensuring that only authorized user or contract accounts may write to sensitive storage locations.	
Incorrect Inheritance Order	SWC-125		PASS
Insufficient Gas Griefing	Insufficient gas griefing attacks can be performed on contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on another contract.		PASS
Arbitrary Jump Function	SWC-127	As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value.	PASS



Typographical Error	SWC-129	A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable.	
Override control character	SWC-130	Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract.	
Unused variables	SWC-131 SWC-135	Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue.	PASS
Unexpected Ether balance	SWC-132	Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance.	
Hash Collisions Variable	SWC-133	Using abi.encodePacked() with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision.	
Hardcoded gas amount	SWC-134	The transfer() and send() functions forward a fixed amount of 2300 gas.	
Unencrypted Private Data	SWC-136	It is a common misconception that private type variables cannot be read.	PASS



# **SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS**

Started	Saturday Apr 03 2021 10:06:20 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Finished	Sunday Apr 04 2021 14:18:58 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Mode	Standard		
Main Source File	MoonStar.sol		

### Detected Issues

ID	Title	Severity	Status
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged



SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "**" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged



SWC-101	COMPILER-REWRITABLE " <uint> - 1" DISCOVERED</uint>	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-108	STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged



**LINE 127** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
function add(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
   uint256 c = a + b;
   require(c >= a, "SafeMath: addition overflow");
   return c;
   return c;
}
```



**LINE 159** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
158  require(b <= a, errorMessage);
159  uint256 c = a - b;
160
161  return c;
162  }
163</pre>
```



**LINE 182** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
181
182    uint256    c = a * b;
183    require(c / a == b, "SafeMath: multiplication overflow");
184
185    return c;
186
```



**LINE 183** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
182    uint256    c = a * b;
183    require(c / a == b, "SafeMath: multiplication overflow");
184
185    return c;
186    }
187
```



**LINE 218** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
217    require(b > 0, errorMessage);
218    uint256 c = a / b;
219    // assert(a == b * c + a % b); // There is no case in which this doesn't hold
220
221    return c;
222
```



**LINE 254** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
253 require(b != 0, errorMessage);
254 return a % b;
255 }
256 }
257
258
```



**LINE 481** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
__owner = address(0);
481    __lockTime = now + time;
482    emit OwnershipTransferred(_owner, address(0));
483    }
484
485
```



**LINE 722** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
721 uint256 private constant MAX = ~uint256(0);
722 uint256 private _tTotal = 10000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
723 uint256 private _rTotal = (MAX - (MAX % _tTotal));
724 uint256 private _tFeeTotal;
725
726
```



**LINE 722** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
721 uint256 private constant MAX = ~uint256(0);
722 uint256 private _tTotal = 10000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
723 uint256 private _rTotal = (MAX - (MAX % _tTotal));
724 uint256 private _tFeeTotal;
725
726
```



**LINE 722** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
721 uint256 private constant MAX = ~uint256(0);
722 uint256 private _tTotal = 10000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
723 uint256 private _rTotal = (MAX - (MAX % _tTotal));
724 uint256 private _tFeeTotal;
725
726
```



**LINE 722** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
721 uint256 private constant MAX = ~uint256(0);
722 uint256 private _tTotal = 10000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
723 uint256 private _rTotal = (MAX - (MAX % _tTotal));
724 uint256 private _tFeeTotal;
725
726
```



**LINE 723** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol



**LINE 723** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
722 uint256 private _tTotal = 1000000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
723 uint256 private _rTotal = (MAX - (MAX % _tTotal));
724 uint256 private _tFeeTotal;
725
726 string private _name = "MoonStar";
727
```



**LINE 742** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
741
742 uint256 public _maxTxAmount = 5000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
743 uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
744
745 event MinTokensBeforeSwapUpdated(uint256 minTokensBeforeSwap);
746
```



**LINE 742** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
741
742 uint256 public _maxTxAmount = 5000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
743 uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
744
745 event MinTokensBeforeSwapUpdated(uint256 minTokensBeforeSwap);
746
```



**LINE 742** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
741
742 uint256 public _maxTxAmount = 5000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
743 uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
744
745 event MinTokensBeforeSwapUpdated(uint256 minTokensBeforeSwap);
746
```



**LINE 742** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
741
742 uint256 public _maxTxAmount = 5000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
743 uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
744
745 event MinTokensBeforeSwapUpdated(uint256 minTokensBeforeSwap);
746
```



**LINE 743** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
742  uint256 public _maxTxAmount = 5000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
743  uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
744
745  event MinTokensBeforeSwapUpdated(uint256 minTokensBeforeSwap);
746  event SwapAndLiquifyEnabledUpdated(bool enabled);
747
```



**LINE 743** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
742  uint256 public _maxTxAmount = 5000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
743  uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
744
745  event MinTokensBeforeSwapUpdated(uint256 minTokensBeforeSwap);
746  event SwapAndLiquifyEnabledUpdated(bool enabled);
747
```



**LINE 743** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
742  uint256 public _maxTxAmount = 5000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
743  uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
744
745  event MinTokensBeforeSwapUpdated(uint256 minTokensBeforeSwap);
746  event SwapAndLiquifyEnabledUpdated(bool enabled);
747
```



**LINE 743** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
742  uint256 public _maxTxAmount = 5000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
743  uint256 private numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = 500000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
744
745  event MinTokensBeforeSwapUpdated(uint256 minTokensBeforeSwap);
746  event SwapAndLiquifyEnabledUpdated(bool enabled);
747
```



**LINE 874** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol



**LINE 876** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
if (_excluded[i] == account) {
876    _excluded[i] = _excluded[_excluded.length - 1];
877    _tOwned[account] = 0;
878    _isExcluded[account] = false;
879    _excluded.pop();
880
```



**LINE** 913

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- MoonStar.sol



**LINE 959** 

### **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

#### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
958  uint256 tSupply = _tTotal;
959  for (uint256 i = 0; i < _excluded.length; i++) {
960  if (_rOwned[_excluded[i]] > rSupply || _tOwned[_excluded[i]] > tSupply) return
(_rTotal, _tTotal);
961  rSupply = rSupply.sub(_rOwned[_excluded[i]]);
962  tSupply = tSupply.sub(_tOwned[_excluded[i]]);
963
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "\*\*" DISCOVERED

**LINE 978** 

## **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
977 return _amount.mul(_taxFee).div(
978    10**2
979    );
980    }
981
982
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "\*\*" DISCOVERED

**LINE 984** 

## **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
983 return _amount.mul(_liquidityFee).div(
984    10**2
985   );
986   }
987
988
```



# SWC-101 | COMPILER-REWRITABLE "<UINT> - 1" DISCOVERED

**LINE 876** 

## **low SEVERITY**

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- MoonStar.sol



## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 28

#### **low SEVERITY**

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.6.12"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

#### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
27
28 pragma solidity ^0.6.12;
29 // SPDX-License-Identifier: Unlicensed
30 interface IERC20 {
31
32
```



## SWC-108 | STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.

**LINE** 739

#### **low SEVERITY**

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "inSwapAndLiquify" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

## Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
738
739 bool inSwapAndLiquify;
740 bool public swapAndLiquifyEnabled = true;
741
742 uint256 public _maxTxAmount = 5000000 * 10**6 * 10**9;
743
```



**LINE 875** 

## **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

## Source File

- MoonStar.sol



**LINE 876** 

## **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

## Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
if (_excluded[i] == account) {
876    _excluded[i] = _excluded[_excluded.length - 1];
877    _tOwned[account] = 0;
878    _isExcluded[account] = false;
879    _excluded.pop();
880
```



**LINE 876** 

## **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

## Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
if (_excluded[i] == account) {
876    _excluded[i] = _excluded[_excluded.length - 1];
877    _tOwned[account] = 0;
878    _isExcluded[account] = false;
879    _excluded.pop();
880
```



**LINE 960** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
959  for (uint256 i = 0; i < _excluded.length; i++) {
960   if (_rOwned[_excluded[i]] > rSupply || _tOwned[_excluded[i]] > tSupply) return
(_rTotal, _tTotal);
961   rSupply = rSupply.sub(_rOwned[_excluded[i]]);
962   tSupply = tSupply.sub(_tOwned[_excluded[i]]);
963  }
964
```



**LINE 960** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
959  for (uint256 i = 0; i < _excluded.length; i++) {
960   if (_rOwned[_excluded[i]] > rSupply || _tOwned[_excluded[i]] > tSupply) return
(_rTotal, _tTotal);
961   rSupply = rSupply.sub(_rOwned[_excluded[i]]);
962   tSupply = tSupply.sub(_tOwned[_excluded[i]]);
963  }
964
```



**LINE 961** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

#### Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
960 if (_rOwned[_excluded[i]] > rSupply || _tOwned[_excluded[i]] > tSupply) return
(_rTotal, _tTotal);
961    rSupply = rSupply.sub(_rOwned[_excluded[i]]);
962    tSupply = tSupply.sub(_tOwned[_excluded[i]]);
963    }
964    if (rSupply < _rTotal.div(_tTotal)) return (_rTotal, _tTotal);
965</pre>
```



**LINE 962** 

## **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

## Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
961  rSupply = rSupply.sub(_rOwned[_excluded[i]]);
962  tSupply = tSupply.sub(_tOwned[_excluded[i]]);
963  }
964  if (rSupply < _rTotal.div(_tTotal)) return (_rTotal, _tTotal);
965  return (rSupply, tSupply);
966</pre>
```



**LINE 1087** 

#### **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

## Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
1086  address[] memory path = new address[](2);
1087  path[0] = address(this);
1088  path[1] = uniswapV2Router.WETH();
1089
1090  _approve(address(this), address(uniswapV2Router), tokenAmount);
1091
```



**LINE 1088** 

## **low SEVERITY**

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

## Source File

- MoonStar.sol

```
1087 path[0] = address(this);
1088 path[1] = uniswapV2Router.WETH();
1089
1090 _approve(address(this), address(uniswapV2Router), tokenAmount);
1091
1092
```



## **DISCLAIMER**

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



## **ABOUT US**

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.