

FINN Token

Smart Contract Audit Report





TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Audited Details

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

Summary

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

Conclusion

| Audit Results

Smart Contract Analysis

- Detected Vulnerabilities

Disclaimer

About Us



AUDITED DETAILS

Audited Project

Project name	Token ticker	Blockchain	
FINN Token	FINN	Moonriver	

Addresses

Contract address	0x9a92b5ebf1f6f6f7d93696fcd44e5cf75035a756	
Contract deployer address	0xb87A39c5D3f5C53395Ba11b5058655A4A8AC82a5	

Project Website

https://www.huckleberry.finance/

Codebase

https://moonriver.moonscan.io/address/0x9a92b5ebf1f6f6f7d93696fcd44e5cf75035a756#code



SUMMARY

FINN is Huckleberry's governance and reward token. It is a reflect token, meaning 1% of every FINN transaction is automatically shared among all FINN holders, proportional to their holdings. Huckleberry is a community-driven AMM cross-chain DEX built on Moonriver.

Contract Summary

Documentation Quality

FINN Token provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

• The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also dont have any high risk issue.

Code Quality

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

 Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by FINN Token with the discovery of several low issues.

Test Coverage

Test coverage of the project is 100% (Through Codebase)

Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-100 SWC-108 | Explicitly define visibility for all state variables on lines 633 and 634.
- SWC-101 | It is recommended to use vetted safe math libraries for arithmetic operations consistently on lines 147, 159, 172, 173, 184, 194, 208, 225, 240, 241, 259, 276, 294, 314, 334, 657, 657, 762, 764, 873 and 764.
- SWC-103 | Pragma statements can be allowed to float when a contract is intended on lines 12, 39, 45, 125, 342, 534 and 603.
- SWC-110 SWC-123 | It is recommended to use of revert(), assert(), and require() in Solidity, and the new REVERT opcode in the EVM on lines 763, 764, 764, 874, 874, 875 and 876.



CONCLUSION

We have audited the FINN Token project released on September 2021 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in FINN Token Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides satisfactory results with low-risk issues.

The issues found in the FINN Token smart contract code do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues found are some arithmetic operation issues, a floating pragma is set, a state variable visibility is not set, and out-of-bounds array access which the index access expression can cause an exception in case of the use of an invalid array index value.



AUDIT RESULT

Article	Category	Description	Result	
Default Visibility	SWC-100 SWC-108	Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously.		
Integer Overflow and Underflow	SWC-101	If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.	ISSUE FOUND	
Outdated Compiler Version	SWC-102	It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.	PASS	
Floating Pragma	SWC-103	Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.	ISSUE FOUND	
Unchecked Call Return Value	SWC-104	The return value of a message call should be checked.	should be PASS	
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	SWC-105	Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract.	PASS	
SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	SWC-106	The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.	hile it PASS	
Reentrancy	SWC-107	Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call.	d be followed PASS	
Uninitialized Storage Pointer	SWC-109	Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract.	· PASS	
Assert Violation	SWC-110 SWC-123	Properly functioning code should never reach a ISSUE failing assert statement.		
Deprecated Solidity Functions	SWC-111	Deprecated built-in functions should never be used.	tions should never be used. PASS	
Delegate call to Untrusted Callee	SWC-112	Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.		



DoS (Denial of Service)	SWC-113 SWC-128	Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required.	
Race Conditions	SWC-114	Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.	
Authorization through tx.origin	SWC-115	tx.origin should not be used for authorization.	
Block values as a proxy for time	SWC-116	Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.	
Signature Unique ID	SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122	Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id.	
Incorrect Constructor Name	SWC-118	Constructors are special functions that are called only once during the contract creation.	
Shadowing State Variable	SWC-119	State variables should not be shadowed.	
Weak Sources of Randomness	SWC-120	Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable.	
Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	SWC-124	The contract is responsible for ensuring that only authorized user or contract accounts may write to sensitive storage locations.	
Incorrect Inheritance Order	SWC-125	When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have identical functions, a developer should carefully specify inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is to inherit contracts from more /general/ to more /specific/.	
Insufficient Gas Griefing	SWC-126	Insufficient gas griefing attacks can be performed on contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on another contract.	
Arbitrary Jump Function	SWC-127	As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value.	PASS



Typographical Error	SWC-129	A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable.	
Override control character	SWC-130	Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract.	
Unused variables	SWC-131 SWC-135	Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue.	PASS
Unexpected Ether balance	SWC-132	Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance.	
Hash Collisions Variable	SWC-133	Using abi.encodePacked() with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision.	
Hardcoded gas amount	SWC-134	The transfer() and send() functions forward a fixed amount of 2300 gas.	
Unencrypted Private Data	SWC-136	It is a common misconception that private type variables cannot be read.	PASS



SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS

Started	Sunday Sep 26 2021 23:46:16 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Finished	Monday Sep 27 2021 02:17:51 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)		
Mode	Standard		
Main Source File	FINN.sol		

Detected Issues

ID	Title	Severity	Status
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged



SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	COMPILER-REWRITABLE " <uint> - 1" DISCOVERED</uint>	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged



SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-108	STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-108	STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED

LINE 147

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
146  function tryAdd(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (bool, uint256) {
147    uint256 c = a + b;
148    if (c < a) return (false, 0);
149    return (true, c);
150  }
151</pre>
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 159

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
158  if (b > a) return (false, 0);
159  return (true, a - b);
160  }
161
162  /**
163
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED

LINE 172

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
171 if (a == 0) return (true, 0);

172 uint256 c = a * b;

173 if (c / a != b) return (false, 0);

174 return (true, c);

175 }

176
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED

LINE 173

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
172  uint256 c = a * b;
173  if (c / a != b) return (false, 0);
174  return (true, c);
175  }
176
177
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED

LINE 184

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
183  if (b == 0) return (false, 0);
184  return (true, a / b);
185  }
186
187  /**
188
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED

LINE 194

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
193  if (b == 0) return (false, 0);
194  return (true, a % b);
195  }
196
197  /**
198
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED

LINE 208

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
function add(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
  uint256 c = a + b;
  require(c >= a, "SafeMath: addition overflow");
  return c;
  }
  }
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 225

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
224  require(b <= a, "SafeMath: subtraction overflow");
225  return a - b;
226  }
227
228  /**
229</pre>
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "*" DISCOVERED

LINE 240

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
239  if (a == 0) return 0;
240  uint256 c = a * b;
241  require(c / a == b, "SafeMath: multiplication overflow");
242  return c;
243  }
244
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED

LINE 241

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
240    uint256    c = a * b;
241    require(c / a == b, "SafeMath: multiplication overflow");
242    return c;
243    }
244
245
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED

LINE 259

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
258 require(b > 0, "SafeMath: division by zero");
259 return a / b;
260 }
261
262 /**
263
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED

LINE 276

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
275 require(b > 0, "SafeMath: modulo by zero");
276 return a % b;
277 }
278
279 /**
280
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 294

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
293 require(b <= a, errorMessage);
294 return a - b;
295 }
296
297 /**
298
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "/" DISCOVERED

LINE 314

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
313 require(b > 0, errorMessage);
314 return a / b;
315 }
316
317 /**
318
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED

LINE 334

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
333 require(b > 0, errorMessage);
334 return a % b;
335 }
336 }
337
338
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 657

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
656  _tTotal = initialSupply;
657  _rTotal = (MAX - (MAX % _tTotal));
658
659  _rOwned[_msgSender()] = _rTotal;
660  emit Transfer(address(0), _msgSender(), _tTotal);
661
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "%" DISCOVERED

LINE 657

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
656  _tTotal = initialSupply;
657  _rTotal = (MAX - (MAX % _tTotal));
658
659  _rOwned[_msgSender()] = _rTotal;
660  emit Transfer(address(0), _msgSender(), _tTotal);
661
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

LINE 762

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
761 require(_isExcluded[account], "Account is already excluded");
762 for (uint256 i = 0; i < _excluded.length; i++) {
763  if (_excluded[i] == account) {
764   _excluded[i] = _excluded[_excluded.length - 1];
765   _tOwned[account] = 0;
766</pre>
```



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 764

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol



SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

LINE 873

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
872  uint256 tSupply = _tTotal;
873  for (uint256 i = 0; i < _excluded.length; i++) {
874   if (_rOwned[_excluded[i]] > rSupply || _tOwned[_excluded[i]] > tSupply) return
(_rTotal, _tTotal);
875   rSupply = rSupply.sub(_rOwned[_excluded[i]]);
876   tSupply = tSupply.sub(_tOwned[_excluded[i]]);
877
```



SWC-101 | COMPILER-REWRITABLE "<UINT> - 1" DISCOVERED

LINE 764

low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

Source File

- FINN.sol



LINE 12

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is "">=0.6.0<0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
11
12 pragma solidity >=0.6.0 <0.8.0;
13
14 /*
15 * @dev Provides information about the current execution context, including the
16
```



LINE 39

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is "">=0.6.0<0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
38
39 pragma solidity >=0.6.0 <0.8.0;
40
41 // File: @openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/IERC20.sol
42
43</pre>
```



LINE 45

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is "">=0.6.0<0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
44
45 pragma solidity >=0.6.0 <0.8.0;
46
47 /**
48 * @dev Interface of the ERC20 standard as defined in the EIP.
49
```



LINE 125

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is "">=0.6.0<0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
124
125 pragma solidity >=0.6.0 <0.8.0;
126
127 /**
128 * @dev Wrappers over Solidity's arithmetic operations with added overflow
129
```



SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 342

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is "">=0.6.2<0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
341
342 pragma solidity >=0.6.2 <0.8.0;
343
344 /**
345 * @dev Collection of functions related to the address type
346
```



SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 534

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is "">=0.6.0<0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
533
534 pragma solidity >=0.6.0 <0.8.0;
535
536 /**
537 * @dev Contract module which provides a basic access control mechanism, where
538
```



SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 603

low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.6.2"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
602
603 pragma solidity ^0.6.2;
604
605
606 /*
607
```



SWC-108 | STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.

LINE 633

low SEVERITY

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "_taxFee" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
632

633  uint256 _taxFee = 100; // 1%

634  uint256 _maxTaxFee = 1000; // 10%

635  uint256 private constant _GRANULARITY = 100;

636

637
```



SWC-108 | STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.

LINE 634

low SEVERITY

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "_maxTaxFee" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
633     uint256 _taxFee = 100; // 1%
634     uint256 _maxTaxFee = 1000; // 10%
635     uint256 private constant _GRANULARITY = 100;
636
637     uint256 private constant MAX = ~uint256(0);
638
```



LINE 763

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
762  for (uint256 i = 0; i < _excluded.length; i++) {
763   if (_excluded[i] == account) {
764    _excluded[i] = _excluded[_excluded.length - 1];
765    _tOwned[account] = 0;
766    _isExcluded[account] = false;
767</pre>
```



LINE 764

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- FINN.sol



LINE 764

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- FINN.sol



LINE 874

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
873  for (uint256 i = 0; i < _excluded.length; i++) {
874   if (_rOwned[_excluded[i]] > rSupply || _tOwned[_excluded[i]] > tSupply) return
(_rTotal, _tTotal);
875   rSupply = rSupply.sub(_rOwned[_excluded[i]]);
876   tSupply = tSupply.sub(_tOwned[_excluded[i]]);
877  }
878
```



LINE 874

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
873  for (uint256 i = 0; i < _excluded.length; i++) {
874   if (_rOwned[_excluded[i]] > rSupply || _tOwned[_excluded[i]] > tSupply) return
(_rTotal, _tTotal);
875   rSupply = rSupply.sub(_rOwned[_excluded[i]]);
876   tSupply = tSupply.sub(_tOwned[_excluded[i]]);
877  }
878
```



LINE 875

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
874  if (_rOwned[_excluded[i]] > rSupply || _tOwned[_excluded[i]] > tSupply) return
(_rTotal, _tTotal);
875   rSupply = rSupply.sub(_rOwned[_excluded[i]]);
876   tSupply = tSupply.sub(_tOwned[_excluded[i]]);
877  }
878   if (rSupply < _rTotal.div(_tTotal)) return (_rTotal, _tTotal);
879</pre>
```



LINE 876

low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

Source File

- FINN.sol

```
875  rSupply = rSupply.sub(_rOwned[_excluded[i]]);
876  tSupply = tSupply.sub(_tOwned[_excluded[i]]);
877  }
878  if (rSupply < _rTotal.div(_tTotal)) return (_rTotal, _tTotal);
879  return (rSupply, tSupply);
880</pre>
```



DISCLAIMER

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.



ABOUT US

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.