



Blockchain Cuties Universe  
Governance Token  
**Smart Contract  
Audit Report**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## [Audited Details](#)

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

## [Summary](#)

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

## [Conclusion](#)

## [Audit Results](#)

## [Smart Contract Analysis](#)

- Detected Vulnerabilities

## [Disclaimer](#)

## [About Us](#)

# AUDITED DETAILS

## Audited Project

Project name	Token ticker	Blockchain
Blockchain Cuties Universe Governance Token	BCUG	Ethereum

## Addresses

Contract address	0x14da7b27b2e0fedefe0a664118b0c9bc68e2e9af
Contract deployer address	0x9D056B46cBa330adAe643FBb57F0a47b1155Ce56

## Project Website

<https://blockchaincuties.com/>

## Codebase

<https://etherscan.io/address/0x14da7b27b2e0fedefe0a664118b0c9bc68e2e9af#code>

# SUMMARY

Blockchain Cuties is a new collectible crypto game with adventures where you get to play with puppies, lizards, bear cubs, cats and other real and fantasy creatures alike. Each cutie is unique and 100% belongs to you. You get to collect them, breed them, test their skills in battles, arm them and even level them up! In-game economy lets you trade cuties using smart contracts on Ethereum, EOS, TRON and NEO blockchains. Each cutie, which is a non-fungible, can be transferred or sold to other players just like a regular cryptocurrency.

## Contract Summary

### Documentation Quality

Blockchain Cuties Universe Governance Token provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

- The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also don't have any high risk issue.

### Code Quality

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

- Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by Blockchain Cuties Universe Governance Token with the discovery of several low issues.

### Test Coverage

Test coverage of the project is 100% ( Through Codebase )

## Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-100 SWC-108 | Explicitly define visibility for all state variables on lines 761.
- SWC-101 | It is recommended to use vetted safe math libraries for arithmetic operations consistently on lines 269, 287, 308, 335, 336, 355, 356, 378, 379, 779, 888, 926, 1085, 1116, 1127, 1210, 1214, 1218, 1222, 1228, 1280 and 1288.
- SWC-103 | Pragma statements can be allowed to float when a contract is intended on lines 7.
- SWC-110 SWC-123 | It is recommended to use of revert(), assert(), and require() in Solidity, and the new REVERT opcode in the EVM on lines 780, 781, 782, 1082, 1086, 1086, 1113, 1117, 1124, 1128, 1211, 1215, 1219, 1222, 1282, 1282, 1290 and 1290.

## CONCLUSION

We have audited the Blockchain Cuties Universe Governance Token project released on March 2021 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in Blockchain Cuties Universe Governance Token Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides a satisfactory result with some low-risk issues.

The issues found in the Blockchain Cuties Universe Governance Token smart contract code do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues found are some arithmetic operation issues, a floating pragma is set, a state variable visibility is not set and out of bounds array access which the index access expression can cause an exception in case of the use of an invalid array index value.

# AUDIT RESULT

Article	Category	Description	Result
Default Visibility	SWC-100 SWC-108	Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously.	<b>ISSUE FOUND</b>
Integer Overflow and Underflow	SWC-101	If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.	<b>ISSUE FOUND</b>
Outdated Compiler Version	SWC-102	It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.	<b>PASS</b>
Floating Pragma	SWC-103	Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.	<b>ISSUE FOUND</b>
Unchecked Call Return Value	SWC-104	The return value of a message call should be checked.	<b>PASS</b>
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	SWC-105	Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract.	<b>PASS</b>
SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	SWC-106	The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.	<b>PASS</b>
Reentrancy	SWC-107	Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call.	<b>PASS</b>
Uninitialized Storage Pointer	SWC-109	Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract.	<b>PASS</b>
Assert Violation	SWC-110 SWC-123	Properly functioning code should never reach a failing assert statement.	<b>ISSUE FOUND</b>
Deprecated Solidity Functions	SWC-111	Deprecated built-in functions should never be used.	<b>PASS</b>
Delegate call to Untrusted Callee	SWC-112	Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.	<b>PASS</b>

DoS (Denial of Service)	SWC-113 SWC-128	Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required.	PASS
Race Conditions	SWC-114	Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.	PASS
Authorization through tx.origin	SWC-115	tx.origin should not be used for authorization.	PASS
Block values as a proxy for time	SWC-116	Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.	PASS
Signature Unique ID	SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122	Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id.	PASS
Incorrect Constructor Name	SWC-118	Constructors are special functions that are called only once during the contract creation.	PASS
Shadowing State Variable	SWC-119	State variables should not be shadowed.	PASS
Weak Sources of Randomness	SWC-120	Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable.	PASS
Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	SWC-124	The contract is responsible for ensuring that only authorized user or contract accounts may write to sensitive storage locations.	PASS
Incorrect Inheritance Order	SWC-125	When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have identical functions, a developer should carefully specify inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is to inherit contracts from more /general/ to more /specific/.	PASS
Insufficient Gas Griefing	SWC-126	Insufficient gas griefing attacks can be performed on contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on another contract.	PASS
Arbitrary Jump Function	SWC-127	As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value.	PASS

Typographical Error	SWC-129	A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable.	PASS
Override control character	SWC-130	Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract.	PASS
Unused variables	SWC-131 SWC-135	Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue.	PASS
Unexpected Ether balance	SWC-132	Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance.	PASS
Hash Collisions Variable	SWC-133	Using <code>abi.encodePacked()</code> with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision.	PASS
Hardcoded gas amount	SWC-134	The <code>transfer()</code> and <code>send()</code> functions forward a fixed amount of 2300 gas.	PASS
Unencrypted Private Data	SWC-136	It is a common misconception that private type variables cannot be read.	PASS



# SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS

Started	Sunday Mar 14 2021 22:14:31 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)
Finished	Monday Mar 15 2021 12:46:29 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)
Mode	Standard
Main Source File	BCUG.sol

## Detected Issues

ID	Title	Severity	Status
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged

SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-108	STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged
SWC-110	OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS	low	acknowledged



## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 269

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
268     require(currentAllowance >= amount, "ERC20: transfer amount exceeds allowance");
269     _approve(sender, _msgSender(), currentAllowance - amount);
270
271     return true;
272 }
273
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED

LINE 287

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
286  function increaseAllowance(address spender, uint256 addedValue) public virtual
returns (bool) {
287  _approve(_msgSender(), spender, _allowances[_msgSender()][spender] + addedValue);
288  return true;
289  }
290
291
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 308

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
307   require(currentAllowance >= subtractedValue, "ERC20: decreased allowance below
zero");
308   _approve(_msgSender(), spender, currentAllowance - subtractedValue);
309
310   return true;
311   }
312
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 335

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
334   require(senderBalance >= amount, "ERC20: transfer amount exceeds balance");
335   _balances[sender] = senderBalance - amount;
336   _balances[recipient] += amount;
337
338   emit Transfer(sender, recipient, amount);
339
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED

LINE 336

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
335  _balances[sender] = senderBalance - amount;  
336  _balances[recipient] += amount;  
337  
338  emit Transfer(sender, recipient, amount);  
339  }  
340
```



# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED

LINE 355

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- BCUG.sol

## Locations

```
354
355  _totalSupply += amount;
356  _balances[account] += amount;
357  emit Transfer(address(0), account, amount);
358  }
359
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED

LINE 356

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
355  _totalSupply += amount;  
356  _balances[account] += amount;  
357  emit Transfer(address(0), account, amount);  
358  }  
359  
360
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 378

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
377     require(accountBalance >= amount, "ERC20: burn amount exceeds balance");
378     _balances[account] = accountBalance - amount;
379     _totalSupply -= amount;
380
381     emit Transfer(account, address(0), amount);
382
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-=" DISCOVERED

LINE 379

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
378  _balances[account] = accountBalance - amount;  
379  _totalSupply -= amount;  
380  
381  emit Transfer(account, address(0), amount);  
382  }  
383
```

# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

LINE 779

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- BCUG.sol

## Locations

```
778
779   for (uint8 i = 0; i < allowedSigners.length; i++) {
780       require(allowedSigners[i] != address(0), "AccessControl: Invalid signer address");
781       require(!signers[allowedSigners[i]], "AccessControl: Signer address duplication");
782       signers[allowedSigners[i]] = true;
783   }
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 888

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
887     require(currentAllowance >= amount, "BCUG: burn amount exceeds allowance");
888     _approve(account, _msgSender(), currentAllowance - amount);
889     _burn(account, amount);
890 }
891 }
892
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED

LINE 926

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
925     if (from == address(0)) { // When minting tokens
926         require(totalSupply() + amount <= cap(), "ERC20Capped: cap exceeded");
927     }
928 }
929 }
930
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

LINE 1085

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1084
1085   for (uint i = 0; i < target.length; i++) {
1086     _mint(target[i], amount[i]);
1087   }
1088 }
1089
```



## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

LINE 1116

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1115
1116   for (uint i = 0; i < target.length; i++) {
1117     _freeze(target[i]);
1118   }
1119 }
1120
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

LINE 1127

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1126
1127   for (uint i = 0; i < target.length; i++) {
1128     _unfreeze(target[i]);
1129   }
1130   }
1131
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED

LINE 1210

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1209
1210     address[] memory recovered = new address[](signatures.length + 1);
1211     recovered[0] = msg.sender;
1212     emit SignedBy(msg.sender);
1213
1214
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

LINE 1214

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1213
1214   for (uint i = 0; i < signatures.length; i++) {
1215     address addr = operationHash.recover(signatures[i]);
1216     require(isSigner(addr), "AccessControl: recovered address is not signer");
1217
1218
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

LINE 1218

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1217
1218   for (uint j = 0; j < recovered.length; j++) {
1219     require(recovered[j] != addr, "AccessControl: signer address used more than
once");
1220   }
1221
1222
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED

LINE 1222

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1221
1222   recovered[i + 1] = addr;
1223   emit SignedBy(addr);
1224   }
1225
1226
```

# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

LINE 1228

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- BCUG.sol

## Locations

```
1227
1228     nonce++;
1229     }
1230     }
1231
1232
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

LINE 1280

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1279     require(to.length == tokens.length, "transferBulk: to.length != tokens.length");
1280     for (uint i = 0; i < to.length; i++)
1281     {
1282         _transfer(msg.sender, to[i], tokens[i]);
1283     }
1284
```



## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "++" DISCOVERED

LINE 1288

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1287     require(spender.length == tokens.length, "approveBulk: spender.length !=
tokens.length");
1288     for (uint i = 0; i < spender.length; i++)
1289     {
1290         _approve(msg.sender, spender[i], tokens[i]);
1291     }
1292
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 7

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is `""^0.8.0""`. It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
6
7  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
8
9  /**
10   * @dev Interface of the ERC165 standard, as defined in the
11
```

## SWC-108 | STATE VARIABLE VISIBILITY IS NOT SET.

LINE 761

### low SEVERITY

It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "signers" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
760 // The addresses that can co-sign transactions on the wallet
761 mapping(address => bool) signers;
762
763 // Frozen account that cant move funds
764 mapping (address => bool) private _frozen;
765
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 780

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
779   for (uint8 i = 0; i < allowedSigners.length; i++) {  
780     require(allowedSigners[i] != address(0), "AccessControl: Invalid signer address");  
781     require(!signers[allowedSigners[i]], "AccessControl: Signer address duplication");  
782     signers[allowedSigners[i]] = true;  
783   }  
784
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 781

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
780     require(allowedSigners[i] != address(0), "AccessControl: Invalid signer address");
781     require(!signers[allowedSigners[i]], "AccessControl: Signer address duplication");
782     signers[allowedSigners[i]] = true;
783 }
784 }
785
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 782

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
781     require(!signers[allowedSigners[i]], "AccessControl: Signer address duplication");
782     signers[allowedSigners[i]] = true;
783 }
784 }
785
786
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 1082

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1081
1082 bytes32 operationHash = getOperationHash(MINT_BULK_TYPEHASH, target[0],
target.length).toEthSignedMessageHash();
1083 _verifySignatures(signatures, operationHash);
1084
1085 for (uint i = 0; i < target.length; i++) {
1086
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 1086

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1085   for (uint i = 0; i < target.length; i++) {
1086     _mint(target[i], amount[i]);
1087   }
1088 }
1089
1090
```



## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 1086

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1085   for (uint i = 0; i < target.length; i++) {  
1086     _mint(target[i], amount[i]);  
1087   }  
1088 }  
1089  
1090
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 1113

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1112
1113     bytes32 operationHash = getOperationHash(FREEZE_BULK_TYPEHASH, target[0],
target.length).toEthSignedMessageHash();
1114     _verifySignatures(signatures, operationHash);
1115
1116     for (uint i = 0; i < target.length; i++) {
1117
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 1117

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1116   for (uint i = 0; i < target.length; i++) {  
1117     _freeze(target[i]);  
1118   }  
1119 }  
1120  
1121
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 1124

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1123
1124 bytes32 operationHash = getOperationHash(FREEZE_BULK_TYPEHASH, target[0],
target.length).toEthSignedMessageHash();
1125 _verifySignatures(signatures, operationHash);
1126
1127 for (uint i = 0; i < target.length; i++) {
1128
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 1128

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1127   for (uint i = 0; i < target.length; i++) {  
1128     _unfreeze(target[i]);  
1129   }  
1130 }  
1131  
1132
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 1211

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1210 address[] memory recovered = new address[](signatures.length + 1);
1211 recovered[0] = msg.sender;
1212 emit SignedBy(msg.sender);
1213
1214 for (uint i = 0; i < signatures.length; i++) {
1215
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 1215

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1214   for (uint i = 0; i < signatures.length; i++) {  
1215     address addr = operationHash.recover(signatures[i]);  
1216     require(isSigner(addr), "AccessControl: recovered address is not signer");  
1217  
1218     for (uint j = 0; j < recovered.length; j++) {  
1219
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 1219

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1218   for (uint j = 0; j < recovered.length; j++) {
1219     require(recovered[j] != addr, "AccessControl: signer address used more than
once");
1220   }
1221
1222   recovered[i + 1] = addr;
1223
```



## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 1222

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1221
1222   recovered[i + 1] = addr;
1223   emit SignedBy(addr);
1224   }
1225
1226
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 1282

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1281  {
1282  _transfer(msg.sender, to[i], tokens[i]);
1283  }
1284  }
1285
1286
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 1282

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1281  {  
1282  _transfer(msg.sender, to[i], tokens[i]);  
1283  }  
1284  }  
1285  
1286
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 1290

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1289 {  
1290   _approve(msg.sender, spender[i], tokens[i]);  
1291 }  
1292 }  
1293  
1294
```

## SWC-110 | OUT OF BOUNDS ARRAY ACCESS

LINE 1290

### low SEVERITY

The index access expression can cause an exception in case of use of invalid array index value.

### Source File

- BCUG.sol

### Locations

```
1289  {  
1290  _approve(msg.sender, spender[i], tokens[i]);  
1291  }  
1292  }  
1293  
1294
```

# DISCLAIMER

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you (“Customer” or the “Company”) in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed’s prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an “endorsement” or “disapproval” of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any “product” or “asset” created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn’t say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.

## ABOUT US

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.