



# Infinity Rocket Token Smart Contract Audit Report

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## [Audited Details](#)

- Audited Project
- Blockchain
- Addresses
- Project Website
- Codebase

## [Summary](#)

- Contract Summary
- Audit Findings Summary
- Vulnerabilities Summary

## [Conclusion](#)

## [Audit Results](#)

## [Smart Contract Analysis](#)

- Detected Vulnerabilities

## [Disclaimer](#)

## [About Us](#)

# AUDITED DETAILS

## Audited Project

Project name	Token ticker	Blockchain
Infinity Rocket Token	IRT	Binance Smart Chain

## Addresses

Contract address	0xcbe5bca571628894a38836b0bae833ff012f71d8
Contract deployer address	0x805920b5F79377D521e685477c632A6a17a9f6B9

## Project Website

<https://irocket.pro/>

## Codebase

<https://bscscan.com/address/0xcbe5bca571628894a38836b0bae833ff012f71d8#code>

# SUMMARY

Infinity Rocket is a multi-purpose platform that allows you to simplify the launch and promotion of any projects on the blockchain.

## Contract Summary

### **Documentation Quality**

Infinity Rocket Token provides a very good documentation with standard of solidity base code.

- The technical description is provided clearly and structured and also don't have any high risk issue.

### **Code Quality**

The Overall quality of the basecode is standard.

- Standard solidity basecode and rules are already followed by Infinity Rocket Token with the discovery of several low issues.

### **Test Coverage**

Test coverage of the project is 100% ( Through Codebase )

## Audit Findings Summary

- SWC-101 | It is recommended to use vetted safe math libraries for arithmetic operations consistently on lines 349, 386, 407, 438, 439, 458, 459, 481, 482 and 580.
- SWC-103 | Pragma statements can be allowed to float when a contract is intended on lines 11, 38, 108, 212, 548, 589 and 609.

## CONCLUSION

We have audited the Infinity Rocket Token project released on September 2021 to discover issues and identify potential security vulnerabilities in Infinity Rocket Token Project. This process is used to find technical issues and security loopholes which might be found in the smart contract.

The security audit report provides satisfactory results with low-risk issues.

The issues found in the Infinity Rocket Token smart contract code do not pose a considerable risk. The writing of the contract is close to the standard of writing contracts in general. The low-risk issues are some arithmetic operation issues, and floating pragma is set. Specifying a fixed compiler version is recommended to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

# AUDIT RESULT

Article	Category	Description	Result
Default Visibility	SWC-100 SWC-108	Functions and state variables visibility should be set explicitly. Visibility levels should be specified consciously.	PASS
Integer Overflow and Underflow	SWC-101	If unchecked math is used, all math operations should be safe from overflows and underflows.	ISSUE FOUND
Outdated Compiler Version	SWC-102	It is recommended to use a recent version of the Solidity compiler.	PASS
Floating Pragma	SWC-103	Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly.	ISSUE FOUND
Unchecked Call Return Value	SWC-104	The return value of a message call should be checked.	PASS
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	SWC-105	Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw from the contract.	PASS
SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	SWC-106	The contract should not be self-destructible while it has funds belonging to users.	PASS
Reentrancy	SWC-107	Check effect interaction pattern should be followed if the code performs recursive call.	PASS
Uninitialized Storage Pointer	SWC-109	Uninitialized local storage variables can point to unexpected storage locations in the contract.	PASS
Assert Violation	SWC-110 SWC-123	Properly functioning code should never reach a failing assert statement.	PASS
Deprecated Solidity Functions	SWC-111	Deprecated built-in functions should never be used.	PASS
Delegate call to Untrusted Callee	SWC-112	Delegatecalls should only be allowed to trusted addresses.	PASS

DoS (Denial of Service)	SWC-113 SWC-128	Execution of the code should never be blocked by a specific contract state unless required.	PASS
Race Conditions	SWC-114	Race Conditions and Transactions Order Dependency should not be possible.	PASS
Authorization through tx.origin	SWC-115	tx.origin should not be used for authorization.	PASS
Block values as a proxy for time	SWC-116	Block numbers should not be used for time calculations.	PASS
Signature Unique ID	SWC-117 SWC-121 SWC-122	Signed messages should always have a unique id. A transaction hash should not be used as a unique id.	PASS
Incorrect Constructor Name	SWC-118	Constructors are special functions that are called only once during the contract creation.	PASS
Shadowing State Variable	SWC-119	State variables should not be shadowed.	PASS
Weak Sources of Randomness	SWC-120	Random values should never be generated from Chain Attributes or be predictable.	PASS
Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	SWC-124	The contract is responsible for ensuring that only authorized user or contract accounts may write to sensitive storage locations.	PASS
Incorrect Inheritance Order	SWC-125	When inheriting multiple contracts, especially if they have identical functions, a developer should carefully specify inheritance in the correct order. The rule of thumb is to inherit contracts from more /general/ to more /specific/.	PASS
Insufficient Gas Griefing	SWC-126	Insufficient gas griefing attacks can be performed on contracts which accept data and use it in a sub-call on another contract.	PASS
Arbitrary Jump Function	SWC-127	As Solidity doesnt support pointer arithmetics, it is impossible to change such variable to an arbitrary value.	PASS

Typographical Error	SWC-129	A typographical error can occur for example when the intent of a defined operation is to sum a number to a variable.	PASS
Override control character	SWC-130	Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract.	PASS
Unused variables	SWC-131 SWC-135	Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue.	PASS
Unexpected Ether balance	SWC-132	Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance.	PASS
Hash Collisions Variable	SWC-133	Using <code>abi.encodePacked()</code> with multiple variable length arguments can, in certain situations, lead to a hash collision.	PASS
Hardcoded gas amount	SWC-134	The <code>transfer()</code> and <code>send()</code> functions forward a fixed amount of 2300 gas.	PASS
Unencrypted Private Data	SWC-136	It is a common misconception that private type variables cannot be read.	PASS



# SMART CONTRACT ANALYSIS

Started	Friday Sep 17 2021 06:41:35 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)
Finished	Saturday Sep 18 2021 22:02:15 GMT+0000 (Coordinated Universal Time)
Mode	Standard
Main Source File	BurnableBEP20.sol

## Detected Issues

ID	Title	Severity	Status
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-=" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-101	ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged

SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged
SWC-103	A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.	low	acknowledged

# SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 349

## low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

## Source File

- BurnableBEP20.sol

## Locations

```
348     require(currentAllowance >= amount, "BEP20: transfer amount exceeds allowance");
349     _approve(sender, _msgSender(), currentAllowance - amount);
350
351     return true;
352 }
353
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+" DISCOVERED

LINE 386

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BurnableBEP20.sol

### Locations

```
385  function increaseAllowance(address spender, uint256 addedValue) public virtual
returns (bool) {
386  _approve(_msgSender(), spender, _allowances[_msgSender()][spender] + addedValue);
387  return true;
388  }
389
390
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 407

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BurnableBEP20.sol

### Locations

```
406   require(currentAllowance >= subtractedValue, "BEP20: decreased allowance below
zero");
407   _approve(_msgSender(), spender, currentAllowance - subtractedValue);
408
409   return true;
410   }
411
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 438

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BurnableBEP20.sol

### Locations

```
437 require(senderBalance >= amount, "BEP20: transfer amount exceeds balance");
438 _balances[sender] = senderBalance - amount;
439 _balances[recipient] += amount;
440
441 emit Transfer(sender, recipient, amount);
442
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED

LINE 439

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BurnableBEP20.sol

### Locations

```
438  _balances[sender] = senderBalance - amount;  
439  _balances[recipient] += amount;  
440  
441  emit Transfer(sender, recipient, amount);  
442  }  
443
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED

LINE 458

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BurnableBEP20.sol

### Locations

```
457
458     _totalSupply += amount;
459     _balances[account] += amount;
460     emit Transfer(address(0), account, amount);
461 }
462
```



## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "+=" DISCOVERED

LINE 459

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BurnableBEP20.sol

### Locations

```
458     _totalSupply += amount;
459     _balances[account] += amount;
460     emit Transfer(address(0), account, amount);
461 }
462
463
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 481

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BurnableBEP20.sol

### Locations

```
480     require(accountBalance >= amount, "BEP20: burn amount exceeds balance");
481     _balances[account] = accountBalance - amount;
482     _totalSupply -= amount;
483
484     emit Transfer(account, address(0), amount);
485
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-=" DISCOVERED

LINE 482

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BurnableBEP20.sol

### Locations

```
481  _balances[account] = accountBalance - amount;  
482  _totalSupply -= amount;  
483  
484  emit Transfer(account, address(0), amount);  
485  }  
486
```

## SWC-101 | ARITHMETIC OPERATION "-" DISCOVERED

LINE 580

### low SEVERITY

This plugin produces issues to support false positive discovery within mythril.

### Source File

- BurnableBEP20.sol

### Locations

```
579     require(currentAllowance >= amount, "BEP20: burn amount exceeds allowance");
580     _approve(account, _msgSender(), currentAllowance - amount);
581     _burn(account, amount);
582   }
583 }
584
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 11

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- BurnableBEP20.sol

### Locations

```
10
11  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
12
13  /*
14   * @dev Provides information about the current execution context, including the
15
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 38

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is `""^0.8.0""`. It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- BurnableBEP20.sol

### Locations

```
37
38 pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
39
40 /**
41  * @dev Contract module which provides a basic access control mechanism, where
42
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 108

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- BurnableBEP20.sol

### Locations

```
107
108  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
109
110  /**
111   * @dev Interface of the BEP standard.
112
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 212

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- BurnableBEP20.sol

### Locations

```
211
212  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
213
214
215
216
```



## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 548

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is `^0.8.0`. It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- BurnableBEP20.sol

### Locations

```
547
548  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
549
550
551  /**
552
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 589

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- BurnableBEP20.sol

### Locations

```
588
589  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
590
591  interface IPayable {
592  function pay(string memory serviceName) external payable;
593
```

## SWC-103 | A FLOATING PRAGMA IS SET.

LINE 609

### low SEVERITY

The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.0"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

### Source File

- BurnableBEP20.sol

### Locations

```
608
609  pragma solidity ^0.8.0;
610
611
612
613
```

# DISCLAIMER

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you (“Customer” or the “Company”) in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Sysfixed’s prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an “endorsement” or “disapproval” of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any “product” or “asset” created by any team or project that contracts Sysfixed to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model, or legal compliance.

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn’t say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Sysfixed and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers, and other representatives) (Sysfixed) owe no duty of care.

## ABOUT US

Sysfixed is a blockchain security certification organization established in 2021 with the objective to provide smart contract security services and verify their correctness in blockchain-based protocols. Sysfixed automatically scans for security vulnerabilities in Ethereum and other EVM-based blockchain smart contracts. Sysfixed a comprehensive range of analysis techniques—including static analysis, dynamic analysis, and symbolic execution—can accurately detect security vulnerabilities to provide an in-depth analysis report. With a vibrant ecosystem of world-class integration partners that amplify developer productivity, Sysfixed can be utilized in all phases of your project's lifecycle. Our team of security experts is dedicated to the research and improvement of our tools and techniques used to fortify your code.